DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS, SACRAMENTO DISTRICT
1325 J STREET
SACRAMENTO CA 95814-2922

CESPK-RDI-U 26 MARCH 2025

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) Pre-2015 Regulatory Regime Approved Jurisdictional Determination in Light of *Sackett v. EPA*, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023) ,[1] [SPK-2025-00154]

BACKGROUND. An Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD) is a Corps document stating the presence or absence of waters of the United States on a parcel or a written statement and map identifying the limits of waters of the United States on a parcel. AJDs are clearly designated appealable actions and will include a basis of JD with the document.[2] AJDs are case-specific and are typically made in response to a request. AJDs are valid for a period of five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date or a District Engineer has identified, after public notice and comment, that specific geographic areas with rapidly changing environmental conditions merit re-verification on a more frequent basis.[3] For the purposes of this AJD, we have relied on section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 (RHA),[4] the Clean Water Act (CWA) implementing regulations published by the Department of the Army in 1986 and amended in 1993 (references 2.a. and 2.b. respectively), the 2008 *Rapanos-Carabell* guidance (reference 2.c.), and other applicable guidance, relevant case law and longstanding practice, (collectively the pre-2015 regulatory regime), and the *Sackett* decision (reference 2.d.) in evaluating jurisdiction.

This Memorandum for Record (MFR) constitutes the basis of jurisdiction for a Corps AJD as defined in 33 CFR §331.2. The features addressed in this AJD were evaluated consistent with the definition of “waters of the United States” found in the pre-2015 regulatory regime and consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. This AJD did not rely on the 2023 “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States,’” as amended on 8 September 2023 (Amended 2023 Rule) because, as of the date of this decision, the Amended 2023 Rule is not applicable in this state due to litigation.

---

[1] While the Supreme Court’s decision in *Sackett* had no effect on some categories of waters covered under the CWA, and no effect on any waters covered under RHA, all categories are included in this Memorandum for Record for efficiency.
[2] 33 CFR 331.2.
[3] Regulatory Guidance Letter 05-02.
[4] USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this MFR, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

1. SUMMARY OF CONCLUSIONS.

a. The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters such as streams, rivers, wetlands, lakes, ponds, tidal waters, ditches, and the like in the entire review area and there are no areas that have previously been determined to be jurisdictional under the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 in the review area). Based on the results of the associated aquatic resources delineation report and corroboration of supporting data, including aerial imagery and LiDAR map layers, the review area is comprised entirely of uplands.

2. REFERENCES.

a. Final Rule for Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers, 51 FR 41206 (November 13, 1986).

b. Clean Water Act Regulatory Programs, 58 FR 45008 (August 25, 1993).

c. U.S. EPA & U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Clean Water Act Jurisdiction Following the U.S. Supreme Court's Decision in *Rapanos v. United States & Carabell v. United States* (December 2, 2008)

d. *Sackett v. EPA*, 598 U.S. _, 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023)

3. REVIEW AREA. The approximately 20 acre review area is located approximately 1,000 feet northwest of the intersection of State Route 48 and Bacchus Highway, Latitude 40.5790039751441°, Longitude -112.065851741074°, West Jordan, Salt Lake County, Utah (MFR Enclosure 1).

4. NEAREST TRADITIONAL NAVIGABLE WATER (TNW), INTERSTATE WATER, OR THE TERRITORIAL SEAS TO WHICH THE AQUATIC RESOURCE IS CONNECTED. The nearest TNW is the Great Salt Lake (GSL). The GSL is a "navigable water" for purposes of the Clean Water Act (CWA) and is considered a "traditional navigable water" and therefore jurisdictional under 33 C.F.R. §328.3(a)(1) and 40 C.F.R. §230.3(s)(1). Waters are traditional navigable waters if they meet one of the following criteria:

a. Are subject to section 9 or 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Appropriations Act of 1899;

b. Have been determined by a Federal court to be navigable-in-fact under Federal law;

c. Are waters currently being used for commercial navigation, including commercial waterborne recreation (for example, boat rentals, guided fishing trips, or water ski tournaments);

d. Have historically been used for commercial navigation, including commercial waterborne recreation; or

e. Are susceptible to being used in the future for commercial navigation, including commercial waterborne recreation.

The GSL meets Criteria b, above, having been found navigable-in-fact under Federal law in *Utah v. United States,* 403 U.S. 9 (1971). Thus, the GSL is a "traditional navigable water" and is regulated by the Corps under Section 404 of the CWA.

5. FLOWPATH FROM THE SUBJECT AQUATIC RESOURCES TO A TNW, INTERSTATE WATER, OR THE TERRITORIAL SEAS. The AJD study area is comprised entirely of dry land. There is no flowpath from the site to a TNW, interstate water, or the territorial seas (Enclosure 2).

6. SECTION 10 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS[5]: Describe aquatic resources or other features within the review area determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899. Include the size of each aquatic resource or other feature within the review area and how it was determined to be jurisdictional in accordance with Section 10.[6] N/A

7. SECTION 404 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS: Describe the aquatic resources within the review area that were found to meet the definition of waters of the United States in accordance with the pre-2015 regulatory regime and consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett*. List each aquatic resource separately, by name, consistent with the naming convention used in section 1, above. Include a rationale for each aquatic resource, supporting that the aquatic resource meets the relevant category of "waters of the United States" in the pre-2015 regulatory regime. The rationale should also include a written description of, or reference to a map in the administrative record that shows, the lateral limits of jurisdiction for each aquatic resource, including how that limit was determined, and incorporate relevant references used. Include the size of each aquatic resource in acres or linear feet and attach and reference related figures as needed.

a. TNWs (a)(1): N/A

b. Interstate Waters (a)(2): N/A

c. Other Waters (a)(3): N/A

[5] 33 CFR 329.9(a) A waterbody which was navigable in its natural or improved state, or which was susceptible of reasonable improvement (as discussed in § 329.8(b) of this part) retains its character as "navigable in law" even though it is not presently used for commerce, or is presently incapable of such use because of changed conditions or the presence of obstructions.

[6] This MFR is not to be used to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedures outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the RHA.

d. Impoundments (a)(4): N/A

e. Tributaries (a)(5): N/A

f. The territorial seas (a)(6): N/A

g. Adjacent wetlands (a)(7): N/A

8. NON-JURISDICTIONAL AQUATIC RESOURCES AND FEATURES

a. Describe aquatic resources and other features within the review area identified as "generally non-jurisdictional" in the preamble to the 1986 regulations (referred to as "preamble waters").[7] Include size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be non-jurisdictional under the CWA as a preamble water. N/A

b. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area identified as "generally not jurisdictional" in the *Rapanos* guidance. Include size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be non-jurisdictional under the CWA based on the criteria listed in the guidance. N/A

c. Describe aquatic resources and features identified within the review area as waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons designed to meet the requirements of CWA. Include the size of the waste treatment system within the review area and describe how it was determined to be a waste treatment system. N/A

d. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area determined to be prior converted cropland in accordance with the 1993 regulations (reference 2.b.). Include the size of the aquatic resource or feature within the review area and describe how it was determined to be prior converted cropland. N/A

e. Describe aquatic resources (i.e. lakes and ponds) within the review area, which do not have a nexus to interstate or foreign commerce, and prior to the January 2001 Supreme Court decision in "*SWANCC*," would have been jurisdictional based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule." Include the size of the aquatic resource or feature, and how it was determined to be an "isolated water" in accordance with *SWANCC*. N/A

f. Describe aquatic resources and features within the review area that were determined to be non-jurisdictional because they do not meet one or more categories of waters of the United States under the pre-2015 regulatory regime consistent with the Supreme Court's decision in *Sackett* (e.g., tributaries that are non-relatively permanent waters; non-tidal wetlands that do not have a continuous surface connection to a jurisdictional water). N/A

[7] 51 FR 41217, November 13, 1986.

9 DATA SOURCES. List sources of data/information used in making determination. Include titles and dates of sources used and ensure that information referenced is available in the administrative record.

a. Aquatic resources delineation report titled “Waters Delineation and Jurisdictional Review” prepared by [redacted], dated January 16, 2025. The consultant prepared the delineation report in accordance with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1987 Wetland Delineation Manual and the USACE Regional Supplement for the Arid West Region.

b. Photographs: Aerial images dated (May 2022, August 2021, July 2016, and May 2015). Summit County, Latitude 40.710173°, Longitude -111.342995°. Retrieved 15 January 2025, from http://www.earth.google.com (MFR Enclosure 3)

c. LiDAR – National Layer in the National Regulatory Viewer for the South Pacific Division. Retrieved 7 January 2024 (MFR Enclosure 4)

10. OTHER SUPPORTING INFORMATION. N/A

11. NOTE: The structure and format of this MFR were developed in coordination with the EPA and Department of the Army. The MFR’s structure and format may be subject to future modification or may be rescinded as needed to implement additional guidance from the agencies; however, the approved jurisdictional determination described herein is a final agency action.

[redacted]

4 Encls
Enclosure 1: Location Map
Enclosure 2: AR Map
Enclosure 3: Aerial photos
Enclosure 4: LiDAR-Digital elevation model and hillshade map

[redacted]

LEGEND

Project Area (~20 acres)

[redacted]

Regional Topography

Project Location: Salt Lake County, Utah

N

0 1,000 2,000 Feet

FIGURE 1

[redacted]

Date: 2025-01-06



[redacted]

LEGEND

Project Area (~20 acres)

Data/Photo Point

[redacted]

Field-Identified Waters

Project Location: Salt Lake County, Utah

N

0 150 300 Feet

FIGURE 4

[redacted]

Date: 2025-01-07


N
111
Google Earth
1985
lat 40.578531° lon -112.066535° elev 5178 ft eye alt 8166 ft


6/2022
1985
2023
N
111
Image © 2025 Maxar Technologies
Google Earth
1985
Imagery Date: 6/20/2022 lat 40.578531° lon -112.066535° elev 5180 ft eye alt 8166 ft


5/2022
1985
2023
N
111
Google Earth
1985
Imagery Date: 5/24/2022 lat 40.581277° lon -112.072537° elev 5249 ft eye alt 8166 ft

8/2021
1985
2023
N
111
Google Earth
1985
Imagery Date: 8/28/2021 lat 40.578531° lon -112.066535° elev 5180 ft eye alt 8166 ft


40.580608, -112.0649211
Show search results for 40.580608, -1...
Imagery
300ft
40.582305 -112.070334 Degrees
USGS National Map 3D Elevation Program (3DEP), January 02, 2025. | Salt Lake County, Maxar
esri