



US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS (USACE)
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
2023 RULE

OMB Control Number: 0710-0024
Expiration Date: 09/30/2023

AGENCY DISCLOSURE NOTICE

The public reporting burden for this collection of information, 0710-0024, is estimated to average 4 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or burden reduction suggestions to the Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, at whs.mc-alex.esd.mbx.dd-dod-information-collections@mail.mil. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): [6/28/2023](#)

ORM Project Name: [9250 Walerga Road](#)

ORM Identification Number: [SPK-2023-00120](#)

- Other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites or other review areas, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different jurisdictional determination (JD) form(s).

Associated JD Names and Numbers: [N/A](#)

Review Area Location: State/Territory: California City: [Roseville](#)

County/Parish/Borough: [Placer County](#)

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude: [38.73418°N](#), Longitude: [-121.36701°W](#)

Limits of review area: [The Study Area consists of the approximately 41-acre APN 023-221-004-000 and adjacent planned improvement area, totalling 43 acres together.](#)

II. SUMMARY²

Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding tables in Section III., summarize data sources in Section IV., and attach completed Appendices A and/or B when specified.

- The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters such as streams, rivers, wetlands, lakes, ponds, tidal waters, ditches, and the like in the entire review area). Rationale: [Provide Rationale for Dry Land Determination](#)

There are “navigable waters of the United States” within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete the table in Section III.A.).

There are “waters of the United States” within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section III.B. and complete and attach appendices as appropriate).

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or features were assessed within the review area and determined to be non-jurisdictional (complete appropriate tables in Section III.C. and complete and attach appendices as appropriate).

¹ The final rule “Revised Definition of ‘Waters of the United States’” (2023 Rule) was published in the *Federal Register* on 18 January 2023 and the effective date is 20 March 2023. See <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2023/01/18/2022-28595/revised-definition-of-waters-of-the-united-states>.

² Map(s)/figure(s) or descriptions of the review area and any jurisdictional waters are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.



**US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS (USACE)
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
2023 RULE**

III. FINDINGS IN THE REVIEW AREA

A. Jurisdictional under the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899³ (Section 10)⁴

Section 10 Waters			
Section 10 water name	Section 10 size in review area		Type of Section 10 water
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A.
Rationale for determination: N/A			

B. Jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act

Paragraph (a)(1) waters: ⁵ Waters which are: (i) Currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (Traditional Navigable Waters); (ii) The territorial seas; or (iii) Interstate waters, including interstate wetlands			
(a)(1) water name	(a)(1) size in review area		Type of paragraph (a)(1) water
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A.
Rationale for determination: N/A			

Paragraph (a)(2) waters: Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the United States under this definition, other than impoundments of waters identified under paragraph (a)(5)			
(a)(2) water name	(a)(2) size in review area		Type of paragraph (a)(2) water
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A.
Rationale for determination: N/A			

³ If the navigable water of the United States is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and not included on the district's list of Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) Section 10 navigable waters of the United States list do NOT use this form to make a report of findings to support a determination that the water is a navigable water of the United States. The district must follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a determination that water is a navigable water of the United States subject to Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act.

⁴ USACE has authority under both Section 9 and Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 but for convenience, in this AJD form, jurisdiction under RHA will be referred to as Section 10.

⁵ A stand-alone TNW determination for a water that is not subject to Section 9 or 10 of RHA is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.



US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS (USACE)
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
2023 RULE

Paragraph (a)(3) waters: Tributaries of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (2): (i) That are relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water; or (ii) That either alone or in combination with similarly situated waters in the region, significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1)

(a)(3) water name	(a)(3) size in review area		Type of paragraph (a)(3) water
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A.
Rationale for determination: N/A			

Paragraph (a)(4) waters: Wetlands adjacent to the following waters: (i) Waters identified in paragraph (a)(1); or (ii) Relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water identified in paragraph (a)(2) or (a)(3)(i) and with a continuous surface connection to those waters; or (iii) Waters identified in paragraph (a)(2) or (3) when the wetlands either alone or in combination with similarly situated waters in the region, significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1)

(a)(4) water name	(a)(4) size in review area		Adjacency criteria
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Type of paragraph (a)(4) water	N/A		
Rationale for determination: N/A			

Paragraph (a)(5) waters: Intrastate lakes and ponds, streams, or wetlands not identified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (4): (i) That are relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water with a continuous surface connection to the waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (a)(3)(i); or (ii) That either alone or in combination with similarly situated waters in the region, significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1).⁶

(a)(5) water name	(a)(5) size in review area		Type of paragraph (a)(5) water
N/A	N/A.	N/A	N/A
Rationale for determination: N/A			

⁶ In implementing the significant nexus standard, the agencies generally intend to analyze waters under paragraph (a)(5) individually to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of a paragraph (a)(1) water.



US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS (USACE)
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
2023 RULE

C. Waters or features that are not jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act

Waters analyzed under paragraph (a)(3)(ii), (a)(4)(iii), or (a)(5)(ii) and determined non-jurisdictional: Tributaries of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (2); and/or wetlands adjacent to waters identified in paragraph (a)(2) or (3); and/or intrastate lakes and ponds, streams, or wetlands not identified as (a)(1) through (4) waters; that either alone or in combination with similarly situated waters in the region, do not significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1).			
Water name	Water size in review area		Type of water for which significant nexus was not met:
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rationale for determination: N/A			

(b)(1) – (b)(8) Excluded Features ⁷			
Excluded feature name	Excluded feature size in review area		Exclusion ⁸
Ditch	0.099	N/A	(b)(3) Ditches (including roadside ditches) excavated wholly in and draining only dry land and that do not carry a relatively permanent flow of water
Rationale for determination: None of the mapped resources identify this feature as a stream or wetland and all confirm that it was excavated wholly and draining only dry land. The feature can be seen very faintly starting in 1993 and continues to appear on the aerial photos over the years, faintly on some years, without any standing water in it, confirming that the ditch does not carry relatively permanent flow of water. The property was modified in 2020 when a fire break was constructed and now the ditch just stops at a berm where it intersects with the fire break. While some portions of the ditch contain wetland characteristics, these areas are entirely part of the ditch feature.			
Detention Pond	1.022	N/A	(b)(5) Artificial lakes or ponds created by excavating or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.
Rationale for determination: The pond first appears on the 1977 topo map and first shows up on the 1984 aerial photos. Aerial photos prior to 1984 (1947, 1957, 1964, 1966) clearly show this area was dry land. The pond is well defined and appears to have originally been built as an irrigation pond. In 2021 the pond was regraded, and a storm drain outfall was installed to the south, and an overflow weir to the north. The pond currently acts as a stormwater detention basin, i.e., settling basin. While the entire size of the pond is approximately 1.722 ac., only 1.022 ac. are within the review area.			

⁷ Transient features on the landscape that are difficult to document due to their non-permanent nature, such as rills and gullies, may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a USACE district to do so. USACE districts may, in case-by-case instances, elect to document any such feature on a case-by-case basis, such as when the feature is relevant to analysis of the jurisdictional status of another water.

⁸ Note the full text of the exclusions for (b)(1)-(6) and (b)(8) are included in the dropdown list, while the text for the (b)(7) exclusion is truncated due to space limitations. The full text of the (b)(7) exclusion is as follows: (b)(7) Waterfilled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States



**US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS (USACE)
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
2023 RULE**

IV. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

A. Paragraph (a)(1) water that is outside the review area:

- a. Provide the name of the paragraph (a)(1) water: *N/A*
- b. Type of paragraph (a)(1) water: *N/A*.
- c. Provide the rationale for jurisdiction of the paragraph (a)(1) water: *N/A*

B. Significant nexus analyses

- Appendix A is attached and includes the significant nexus analysis for any waters in the review area that were evaluated under paragraph (a)(3)(ii) and/or paragraph (a)(4)(iii).
- Appendix B is attached and includes the significant nexus analyses for any waters in the review area that were evaluated under paragraph (a)(5)(ii).
- There are no waters in the review area that require evaluation under the significant nexus standard. Therefore, neither Appendix A nor Appendix B are included with this form

C. Data, models, and other relevant methods Select/enter all resources that were used to support this determination and include data/maps and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

- Aquatic resources delineation submitted by, or on behalf of, the requestor: [Aquatic Resources Delineation Report Walerga 40, prepared by Madrone Ecological Consulting and dated 13 September 2022. Additional datasheet and pictures provided on June 7, 2023](#)
The aquatic resources delineation submitted by or on behalf of the requestor is sufficient for purposes of this AJD *Yes*
Rationale: *N/A*
- Aquatic resources delineation prepared by the USACE: *N/A*
- Wetland field data sheets prepared by the USACE: *N/A*
- OHWM data sheets prepared by the USACE: *N/A*
- USACE site visit: Date(s) of site visit(s): *N/A, N/A*
- Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs) addressing the same (or portions of the same) review area: [SPK-2005-00685 JD dated February 8, 2019; SPK-2005-00953 dated October 5, 2005](#)
- Photographs: [Provided in the Aquatic Resources Delineation Report Walerga 40, prepared by Madrone Ecological Consulting and dated 13 September 2022.](#)
- Aerial Imagery: [GoogleEarth 7.3.3.7692. \(1993, May 22; 1998, August 17; 2002, April 30; 2004, December 31; 2007, May 31; 2014, May 21; 2016, October 25; 2019, September 12; 2020, June 16; 2022, February 18\). Roseville, California. Latitude 38.73418°N, Longitude -121.36701°W, Retrieved April 2, 2023, from <http://www.earth.google.com>.](#)
- LiDAR: [9250 Walerga Road LiDAR \[map\]. 1:900. Generated by the Army Corps of Engineers, April 27, 2023. Using ArcGis Pro.](#)
- USDA NRCS Soil Survey: [NRCS. \(2023, April 2\). Custom Soil Resource Report for Placer County, California, Western Part – Hydric Rating by Map Unit for SPK-2023-00120. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Dept. of Agriculture. Retrieved from <https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm>.](#)
- USFWS NWI maps: [USFWS. \(n.d.\). National Wetland Inventory. Project area: Citrus Heights, California. Source imagery date: 1987. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Dept. of the Interior. Retrieved April 2nd, 2023, from Wetland Mapper: <https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/mapper.html>.](#)
- USGS topographic maps: [USGS topo maps dated 1902-2021 and obtained on \[historicaerials.com\]\(http://historicaerials.com\), 2022](#)



**US ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS (USACE)
REGULATORY PROGRAM
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)
2023 RULE**

- USGS NHD data/maps: [USGS. \(2022, October\). National Hydrography Dataset Plus High Resolution \(NHDPlus HR\) for 4-digit Hydrologic Unit - 1601. Washington, D.C., USA. Retrieved from https://apps.nationalmap.gov/viewer/](https://apps.nationalmap.gov/viewer/)
- USGS Dynamic Surface Water Extent: [N/A](#)
- Section 10 navigability resource used: [N/A](#)

Other data sources or models used to aid in this determination:

Data source or model (Select)	Name, date, and other relevant information
USGS Sources	The National Map, https://apps.nationalmap.gov/viewer/
USEPA Sources	N/A
USDA Sources⁹	N/A
NOAA Sources	N/A
USACE Sources	N/A
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A
Other Sources	N/A

D. Additional comments to support AJD: [N/A](#)

⁹ Including Certified Wetland Determination from the NRCS.