

#### **ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION**

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): June 7, 2021.

ORM Number: SPK-2020-00455. Associated JDs: SPK-2020-00615.

Review Area Location<sup>1</sup>: State/Territory: California. City: Chico. County/Parish/Borough: Butte County.

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 39.74086. Longitude -121.79084.

#### Ш

<b>FINDINGS Summary:</b> Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
<ul> <li>The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A.</li> <li>There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).</li> <li>There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).</li> <li>There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).</li> </ul>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.



## B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)<sup>2</sup>

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size		§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A	N/A.

#### C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): <sup>3</sup>						
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Siz	e	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A.	N/A.		

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):					
(a)(2)	(a)(2) Size		(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination	
Name					
IS-1	0.16	acres	(a)(2) Intermittent tributary contributes surface water flow directly or indirectly to an (a)(1) water in a typical year.	This feature is an intermittent stream that flows into an unnamed perennial stream which flows into the Sacramento River, which is an (a)(1) water.	

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):						
(a)(3)	(a)(3) Size		(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination		
Name						
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A	N/A.		

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):						
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size		(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination		
N/A.	N/A.	N/A	N/A	N/A.		

#### D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): <sup>4</sup>					
Exclusion	Exclusion Size		Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion	
Name				Determination	
VP-01	0.02	acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	This feature is a remote, physically isolated feature that does not have a direct hydrologic surface water	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



Excluded wa	Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))$ : <sup>4</sup>					
Exclusion Name	Exclusion	on Size	Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination		
				connection to an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water in a typical year.		
VP-02.	0.59	acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The April 20, 2016, aerial photo shows hydrologic connection between VP-02, VP-04, and VP-06; however, the features are physically isolated within themselves and do not have a direct hydrologic surface water connection to an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water in a typical year.		
VP-03	0.13	acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The April 20, 2016, aerial photo shows hydrologic connection between VP-03 and VP-05; however, the features are physically isolated within themselves and do not have a direct hydrologic surface water connection to an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water in a typical year.		
VP-04	0.04	acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The April 20, 2016, aerial photo shows hydrologic connection between VP-04, VP-02, and VP-06; however, the features are physically isolated within themselves and do not have a direct hydrologic surface water connection to an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water in a typical year.		
VP-05	0.01	acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The April 20, 2016, aerial photo shows hydrologic connection between VP-05 and VP-03; however, the features are physically isolated within themselves and do not have a direct hydrologic surface water connection to an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water in a typical year.		
VP-06	0.004	acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	The April 20, 2016, aerial photo shows hydrologic connection between VP-06, VP-02, and VP-04; however, the features are physically isolated within themselves and do not have a direct hydrologic surface water connection to an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water in a typical year.		

### **III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION**



document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Draft Aquatic Resources

Delineatoin Report Borge-Bruce Road/ SR 32 Site Development Project, dated April 2020, prepared by

A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this

Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Draft Aquatic Resources

Delineatoin Report Borge-Bruce Road/ SR 32 Site Development Project, dated April 2020, prepared by

NorthStart Designing Solutions.

This information is. sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: N/A.

Data sheets prepared by the Corps: LiDAR: Borge-Bruce Road Aquatic Resources, dated

Photographs: Aerial and Other. 1) Ground photos: Draft Aquatic Resources Delineatoin Report Borge-Bruce Road/ SR 32 Site Development Project, dated April 2020, prepared by NorthStart Designing Solutions. 2) Aerial Imagery: Digital Globe version 2021.Q1.R1.2724:2021-03-23T03:10:32Z (April 21, 2019) Butte County, California. Latitude 39.742905, Longitude -121.76254, Retrieved June 3, 2021, from https://evwhs.digitalglobe.com; 3) GoogleEarth 7.3.3.7692 (April 20, 2016) Chico, California, Latitude 39.74086, Longitude -121.79084, eye alt 1822, Retrieved June 7, 2021, from http://www.earth.google.com; 4) historicalaerials.com (1969, 1998, 2005), retrieved on June 7, 2021, Butte County, California, Latitude 39.742905, Longitude -121.76254.

te County, California, Latitude 39.742905, Longitude -121.76254.
Corps site visit(s) conducted on:
Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): SPK-2020-00615.
Antecedent Precipitation Tool: provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.
USDA NRCS Soil Survey:
USFWS NWI maps: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Publication date June 2

USFWS NWI maps: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Publication date June 2021. National Wetlands Inventory website. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. https://www.fws.gov/wetlands/data/Mapper.html.

USGS topographic maps: USGS. (2018). Topographical Map Chico, California. 1:24,000 scale. Retrieved from https://ngmdb.usgs.gov/topoview/viewer/#13/39.7404/-121.7888.

#### Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

June 3, 2021, prepared by Hillary Kraft USACE.

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Issues	N/A.

**B. Typical year assessment(s):** The Antecedent Precipitation Tool (APT) was used to give context to the April 21, 2019, Digital Globe image. The APT showed wetter than normal conditions, the drought index (PDSI) showed moderate wetness during the wet season. The APT was also used to give context to the April 20, 2016, Googel Earth image. The APT showed normal conditions and the drought index (PDSI) showed normal conditions during the wet season.



C. Additional comments to support AJD: Based on the April 21, 2019, Digital Globe photo, topographic map, and historic aerials, IS-1 is an a(2) intermittent stream. VP-02, VP-04, and VP-06 are hydrologically connected; however the April 20, 2016, Google Earth and April 21, 2019, Digital Globe images show no hydrologic connection to an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water. VP-03 and VP-05 are hydrologically connected however; the April 20, 2016, Google Earth and April 21, 2019, Digital Globe images show no hydrologic connectio to an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water. Based on the April 20, 2016, Google Earth and April 21, 2019, Digital Globe images and the SPK-2020-00615, February 11, 2019, Digital Globe image which shows extreme wetness conditions, VP-01 is an isolated wetland without hydrologic connection to an (a)(1)-(a)(3) water. The LiDAR imagery also shows no hydrologic connection between VP-01 and IS-1.