

## U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

#### I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): 17 June 2021 ORM Number: SPK-2019-00278 Associated JDs: SPK-2019-00278 (verified August 7, 2019) Review Area Location<sup>1</sup>: State/Territory: UT City: Provo County/Parish/Borough: Utah County Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 40.214151 Longitude -111.710549

## II. FINDINGS

- **A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.
  - The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A or describe rationale.
  - There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in section II.B).
  - There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in section II.C).
  - There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in section II.D).

#### B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)<sup>2</sup>

[	§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

#### C. Clean Water Act Section 404

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters)<sup>3</sup>

(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):

(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):

(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

#### Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):

(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

 $^{1}$  Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form. <sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclexclusions but simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



#### U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

# D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters  $((b)(1) - (b)(12))^4$ :

<b>Exclusion Name</b>	Exclusion Size	Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
Airport Moat	4487.5 feet/	(b)(5) Ditch that is not an (a)(1) or	The section of Airport Moat within the review area was
	7.62 acres	(a)(2) water, and those portions of a	constructed sometime between 1946 and 1958.
		ditch constructed in an (a)(4) water	Construction of this moat was to help remove storm
Wetland A	0.09 acre	that do not satisfy the conditions of	water from the existing airport and prevent agricultural
Wetland B	0.22 acre	(c)(1)	water from adjacent fields flowing onto airport property.
Wetland C	0.24 acre		The moat was constructed in upland agricultural fields
Wetland D	2.65 acres		and upland areas disturbed from the construction of the
			airport. Wetland A, B, and C developed on the edges of the moat.
			or the moat.
			Wetland D is an irrigation ditch that contains hydrophytic vegetation. This ditch was constructed around the same time as the moat. This ditch was constructed to convey flood irrigation to the fields north and northeast of the review area and to prevent irrigation waters entering the airport property.
			Both the moat and associated Wetlands A through C, and Wetland D (irrigation ditch) are not tributaries and were not constructed in wetlands. Therefore, these waters are excluded from the Clean Water Act.

## III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

- A. Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
  - \_X\_ Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Provo Airport Terminal 48.6-Acres, Wetland Delineation, prepared by Civil Solutions Group. This information *is* sufficient for purposes of this AJD. Rationale: N/A.
    - \_\_\_\_ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: *Title(s) and/or date(s)*.
  - \_X\_ Photographs: GoogleEarth 7.3.3.7692. (1993 August 13, 1997, 2003 August 5, 2004 September 30, September 11, 2005 July 11, 2006 July 12, 2010 June 17, 2011 October 20, 2013 June 4, 2015 June 16, 2017 June 17, 2019 July 18, 2020 May 31). Spanish Fork, Utah. 40.214151° latitude,-111.710549° longitude, eye alt 8658 ft. Retrieved June 11, 2021, from http://www.earth.google.com; Historic Aerials by NETRonline. Aerials. 1946, 1958, 1965, 1972, 1983, 1993, 1997, 2011, and 2016. Retrieved June 11, 2021 from https://www.historicaerials.com/viewer..
    - \_ Corps Site visit(s) conducted on: *Date(s)*.
  - **X** Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): Aquatic Resource Verification, August 7, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form. <sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclexclusions but simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



#### U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

- \_ Antecedent Precipitation Tool: *provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.*
- \_\_\_\_ USDA NRCS Soil Survey: *Title(s) and/or date(s).*
- \_\_\_\_ USFWS NWI maps: *Title(s) and/or date(s)*.
- \_\_\_\_ USGS topographic maps: Title(s) and/or date(s).

#### Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	N/A.

- B. Typical year assessment(s): N/A.
- C. Additional comments to support AJD: N/A.

<sup>1</sup> Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclexclusions but simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.
<sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.