



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

**I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION**

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): [February 5, 2021](#).

ORM Number: [SPK-2004-00575](#).

Associated JDs: [N/A](#).

Review Area Location<sup>1</sup>: State/Territory: [California](#). City: [Rocklin](#). County/Parish/Borough: [Placer](#).

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude [38.79873](#). Longitude [-121.20790](#).

**II. FINDINGS**

**A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list **MUST** be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

- The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: [N/A](#).
- There are “navigable waters of the United States” within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.B).
- There are “waters of the United States” within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
- There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in Section II.D).

---

<sup>1</sup> Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

**B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)<sup>2</sup>**

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A. acres	N/A.	N/A.

**C. Clean Water Act Section 404**

Territorial Seas and Traditional Navigable Waters ((a)(1) waters): <sup>3</sup>			
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A.	N/A. acres	N/A.	N/A.

Tributaries ((a)(2) waters):			
(a)(2) Name	(a)(2) Size	(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
N/A.	N/A. acres	N/A.	N/A.

Lakes and ponds, and impoundments of jurisdictional waters ((a)(3) waters):			
(a)(3) Name	(a)(3) Size	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
N/A.	N/A. acres	N/A.	N/A.

Adjacent wetlands ((a)(4) waters):			
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size	(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
N/A.	N/A. acres	N/A.	N/A.

**D. Excluded Waters or Features**

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): <sup>4</sup>			
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
DSW-1	0.006 acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	This wetland meets the definition of paragraph (c)(16); however, it does not abut, nor is it inundated by flooding from, an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water in a typical year, nor is it physically separated from an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water by a natural or artificial barrier which

<sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

<sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.

<sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
 REGULATORY PROGRAM  
 APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
 NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): <sup>4</sup>				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
				allows for a direct hydrologic surface connection between the wetlands and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) in a typical year. Furthermore, there is no hydrologic surface water connection between the wetland and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water as flow is physically separated by an earthen berm.
DSW-2	0.028	acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	This wetland meets the definition of paragraph (c)(16); however, it does not abut, nor is it inundated by flooding from, an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water in a typical year, nor is it physically separated from an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water by a natural or artificial barrier which allows for a direct hydrologic surface connection between the wetlands and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) in a typical year. Furthermore, there is no hydrologic surface water connection between the wetland and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water as flow is physically separated by an earthen berm.
DSW-3	0.001	acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	This wetland meets the definition of paragraph (c)(16); however, it does not abut, nor is it inundated by flooding from, an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water in a typical year, nor is it physically separated from an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water by a natural or artificial barrier which allows for a direct hydrologic surface connection between the wetlands and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) in a typical year. Furthermore, there is no hydrologic surface water connection between the wetland and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water as flow is physically separated by an earthen berm.
DSW-4	0.01	acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	This wetland meets the definition of paragraph (c)(16); however, it does not abut, nor is it inundated by flooding from, an



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): <sup>4</sup>				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
				(a)(1) – (a)(3) water in a typical year, nor is it physically separated from an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water by a natural or artificial barrier which allows for a direct hydrologic surface connection between the wetlands and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) in a typical year. Furthermore, there is no hydrologic surface water connection between the wetland and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water as flow is physically separated by an earthen berm.
ED-1	0.002	acres	(b)(3) Ephemeral feature, including an ephemeral stream, swale, gully, rill, or pool.	This feature intercepts water only during precipitation events, is surrounded by uplands, and shows no signs of drainage. Furthermore, there is no hydrologic surface connection between the ED-1 and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water.
SWS-1	0.017	acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	This wetland meets the definition of paragraph (c)(16); however, it does not abut, nor is it inundated by flooding from, an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water in a typical year, nor is it physically separated from an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water by a natural or artificial barrier which allows for a direct hydrologic surface connection between the wetlands and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) in a typical year. Furthermore, there is no hydrologic surface connection between the wetland and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water as flow is physically separated by an earthen berm.
SWS-2	0.051	acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	This wetland meets the definition of paragraph (c)(16); however, it does not abut, nor is it inundated by flooding from, an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water in a typical year, nor is it physically separated from an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water by a natural or artificial barrier which allows for a direct hydrologic surface connection between the wetlands and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) in a



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

Excluded waters ((b)(1) – (b)(12)): <sup>4</sup>				
Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size		Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
				typical year. Furthermore, there is no hydrologic surface connection between the wetland and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water as flow is physically separated by an earthen berm.
SWS-3	0.021	acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland.	This wetland meets the definition of paragraph (c)(16); however, it does not abut, nor is it inundated by flooding from, an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water in a typical year, nor is it physically separated from an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water by a natural or artificial barrier which allows for a direct hydrologic surface connection between the wetlands and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) in a typical year. Furthermore, there is no hydrologic surface connection between the wetland and an (a)(1) – (a)(3) water as flow is physically separated by an earthen berm.

**III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

**A. Select/enter all resources** that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Revised Analysis of Waters of the U.S. for the LIFEhouse Church Site, Placer County, California (SPK-2004-00575), dated August 13, 2020, Madrone Ecological Consulting.

This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.

Rationale: This report sufficiently describes each aquatic resource boundary, source, and flow path.

Data sheets prepared by the Corps: N/A.

Photographs: Aerial and Other. (1) Ground photos: Revised Analysis of Waters of the U.S. for the LIFEhouse Church Site, Placer County, California (SPK-2004-00575), dated August 13, 2020, prepared by Madrone Ecological Consulting, Attachment B; (2) GoogleEarth 7.3.3.7692. ( 21 May 1993, 27 July 1999, 30 April 2002, 9 August 2003, 20 November 2003, 21 November 2003, 30 June 2004, 31 December 2004, 27 April 2006, 25 June 2006, 31 May 2007, 24 February 2008, 24 May 2009, 24 April 2010, 30 December 2010, 30 October 2011, 30 December 2012, 21 April 2013, April 2014, 19 April 2017, 2 February 2018, 12 September 2019....) Placer County, California, Latitude 38.798727°N, Longitude 121.207902°W, Retrieved January 29, 2021, from http://www.earth.google.com. 3) Digital Globe



**U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS  
REGULATORY PROGRAM  
APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM)  
NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE**

version 2020.Q3.R2.2582 (14 April 2017) Place County, California. Latitude 38.798727°N, longitude 121.207902°W, Retrieved February 2, 2021, from <https://evwhs.digitalglobe.com>.

- Corps site visit(s) conducted on: N/A.
- Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): [SPK-2004-00575](#), dated March 9, 2016.
- Antecedent Precipitation Tool: *provide detailed discussion in Section III.B.*
- USDA NRCS Soil Survey: N/A.
- USFWS NWI maps: N/A.
- USGS topographic maps: N/A).

**Other data sources used to aid in this determination:**

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
<a href="#">USGS Sources</a>	N/A.
<a href="#">USDA Sources</a>	N/A.
<a href="#">NOAA Sources</a>	N/A.
<a href="#">USACE Sources</a>	LiDAR.
<a href="#">State/Local/Tribal Sources</a>	N/A.
<a href="#">Other Issues</a>	N/A.

**B. Typical year assessment(s):** The Corps Antecedent Precipitation Tool indicated at the time of the April 14, 2017, Digital Globe arial photo that was taken, the study area was wetter than normal and in the wet season. The drought index indicated that the study area was extremely wet. The April 14, 2017, photo did not show clear and evident signatures of surface hydrology that would convey water directly or indirectly to an A(1)-(3) water.

**C. Additional comments to support AJD:** The aquatic features SWS-1, SWS-2, SWS-3, and DSW-1 intercept flows through direct precipitation only. The upstream watershed has been completely truncated and is now an elevation pad supported by a retaining wall. Downstream, the feature has no definition and spreads out into a level grassy field offsite with no defined flow path apparent. The aquatic features drain to an offsite pond which is physically separtated from an (a)(1) or (a)(2) water by an earthen berm.