

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD): January 26, 2021

ORM Number: SPK-2012-00295-UO

Associated JDs: January 20, 2021, AJD for 13.6-acres located directly east for separate site

Review Area Location1:

State/Territory: UT City: Spanish Fork County/Parish/Borough: Utah County Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 40.13143 Longitude -111.63770

Summary: Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources. The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: N/A. There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in section II.B). There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete appropriate tables in section II.C). There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area (complete table in section II.D). Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10) ²			
§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size	§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Clean Water A Territorial Seas		nal Navigable Waters ((a	1)(1) waters)3
(a)(1) Name	(a)(1) Size	(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
(a)(1) Name N/A Tributaries ((a) (a)(2) Name	(a)(1) Size N/A (2) waters): (a)(2) Size	(a)(1) Criteria N/A (a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination N/A Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
(a)(1) Name N/A Tributaries ((a) (a)(2) Name N/A	(a)(1) Size N/A (2) waters): (a)(2) Size N/A ds, and impou	(a)(1) Criteria N/A (a)(2) Criteria N/A Indments of jurisdictiona (a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination N/A Rationale for (a)(2) Determination N/A Al waters ((a)(3) waters): Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
(a)(1) Name N/A Tributaries ((a) (a)(2) Name N/A Lakes and pon (a)(3) Name N/A	(a)(1) Size N/A (2) waters): (a)(2) Size N/A ds, and impou	(a)(1) Criteria N/A (a)(2) Criteria N/A Indments of jurisdictions (a)(3) Criteria N/A	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination N/A Rationale for (a)(2) Determination N/A al waters ((a)(3) waters):
(a)(1) Name N/A Tributaries ((a) (a)(2) Name N/A Lakes and pon (a)(3) Name	(a)(1) Size N/A (2) waters): (a)(2) Size N/A ds, and impou	(a)(1) Criteria N/A (a)(2) Criteria N/A Indments of jurisdictions (a)(3) Criteria N/A	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination N/A Rationale for (a)(2) Determination N/A Al waters ((a)(3) waters): Rationale for (a)(3) Determination

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

D. Excluded Waters or Features

Excluded waters $((b)(1) - (b)(12))^4$:

Exclusion Name	Exclusion Size	Exclusion ⁵	Rationale for Exclusion Determination
201200295-W	0.37 acres	(b)(1) Non-adjacent wetland	The review area is comprised of 9.9-acre which
			contains a 0.37-acres of palustrine emergent wetland.
			This wetland flows to the northwest and terminates
			approximately 120 feet before the Canyon Creek
			compensatory wetland mitigation site. During a site
			visit and aerial photograph analysis, no current surface
			hydrologic connection could be made to Canyon Creek.
			This wetland meets the definition of paragraph (c)(16);
			however, it does not abut, nor is it inundated by
			flooding from, an $(a)(1) - (a)(3)$ water in a typical year,
			nor is it physically separated from an $(a)(1) - (a)(3)$
			water by a natural or artificial barrier. Furthermore,
			there is no direct surface hydrologic connection
			between this wetland and an $(a)(1) - (a)(3)$ water.

III. SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Α.	Select/enter all resources that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this
	document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

ocum	ient and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.
X	Information submitted by, or on behalf of, the applicant/consultant: Canyon Creek Commercial
	Center, Aquatic Resources Investigation Report, Utah County, Utah, prepared by Bio-West,
	Inc, dated June 2020.
	This information is sufficient for purposes of this AJD.
	Rationale: N/A.
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
X	Photographs: GoogleEarth 7.3.3.7692. (1993 August 13, 1997, 2003 August 5, 2004
	September 30, September 11, 2005 July 11, 2006 July 12, 2010 June 17, 2011 October 20,
	0040 line 4 0045 line 40 0047 line 47 0040 lile 40 0000 May 04\ Onder alle High

X	Photographs: GoogleEarth 7.3.3.7692. (1993 August 13, 1997, 2003 August 5, 2004
	September 30, September 11, 2005 July 11, 2006 July 12, 2010 June 17, 2011 October 20,
	2013 June 4, 2015 June 16, 2017 June 17, 2019 July 18, 2020 May 31). Springville, Utah.
	40.13143° latitude,-111.63770° longitude, eye alt 7643 ft. Retrieved Janaury 25, 2021, from
	http://www.earth.google.com; Historic Aerials by NETRonline. Aerials. 1958, 1965, 1972,
	1983, 1993, 1997, 2011, and 2016. Retrieved January 25, 2021 from
	https://www.historicaerials.com/viewer.
	0:(: ://)

	Corps one visit(s) conducted on. N/A
X	Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs): AJD for 13.6-acres (Dry Land) located
	directly east for separate site, January 20, 2021
	Antecedent Precipitation Tool: N/A
	USDA NRCS Soil Survey: N/A

USDA NRCS Soil Survey: N/A
USFWS NWI maps: N/A
USGS topographic maps: N/A

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

⁵ Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Sources	N/A.

B. Typical year assessment(s): N/A.

C. Additional comments to support AJD: N/A.

¹ Map(s)/Figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

² If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.

³ A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where independent upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD form.

⁴ Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district

to do so. Corps Districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

5 Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1)

exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.