

# U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

### I. ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Completion Date of Approved Jurisdictional Determination (AJD):01/19/2021.

ORM Number: SPK-2012-00295.

Associated JDs: N/A.

Review Area Location<sup>1</sup>: State/Territory: Utah. City: Spanish Fork. County/Parish/Borough: Utah.

Center Coordinates of Review Area: Latitude 40.13209. Longitude -111.63611.

### **II. FINDINGS**

**A. Summary:** Check all that apply. At least one box from the following list MUST be selected. Complete the corresponding sections/tables and summarize data sources.

The review area is comprised entirely of dry land (i.e., there are no waters or water features, including wetlands, of any kind in the entire review area). Rationale: The 13.16-acre review area is located within
Canyon Creek Development. This review area was removed from a November 5, 2012 preliminary
jurisdictional determination that covered the surrounding development, since this review area was
believed to be entirely dry land and could not by verified in 2015. Historically, this area was a large
playa/saline wet meadow complex that extended to the west and east. Climatic conditions and
hydrologic modifications from surrounding development activities have resulted in the drying of sections
of this playa/saline wet meadow complex This is evident when reviewing the monitoring well
information provided in the aquatic resource delineation and the historical aerials that extended back to
1958. Aerial photographs analyzed focused primarily on recent wet years, 2006 and 2011. Within the
recent year photos, dating back to 1993, none of the 13.16-acre review area appeared to be saturated.
However, adjacent properties to the east of the Canyon Creek Parkway are saturated in most photos.
This area is clearly separated from the review area by high point comprised of sagebrush and the road.
The lack of review area hydrology is also evident considering the area is dominated by upland species, such as, cheatgrass (Bromus tectorum) and rubber rabbitsbrush (Ericameria nauseosa). Due to the
lack of hydrology indicators in the field and off of recent aerials photographs, it has been determined
that the entire 13.16-acre review area is dry land.
There are "navigable waters of the United States" within Rivers and Harbors Act jurisdiction within the
review area (complete table in Section II.B).
There are "waters of the United States" within Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area
(complete appropriate tables in Section II.C).
There are waters or water features excluded from Clean Water Act jurisdiction within the review area
(complete table in Section II.D).

## B. Rivers and Harbors Act of 1899 Section 10 (§ 10)<sup>2</sup>

§ 10 Name	§ 10 Size		§ 10 Criteria	Rationale for § 10 Determination
N/A.	N/A.	acres	N/A	N/A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Map(s)/figure(s) are attached to the AJD provided to the requestor.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the navigable water is not subject to the ebb and flow of the tide or included on the District's list of Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigable waters list, do NOT use this document to make the determination. The District must continue to follow the procedure outlined in 33 CFR part 329.14 to make a Rivers and Harbors Act Section 10 navigability determination.



## U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

### C. Clean Water Act Section 404

(a)(1) Name	as and Traditional Na (a)(1) Size		(a)(1) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(1) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	acres	N/A.	N/A
	•	•		•
Tributaries ((a	)(2) waters	s):		
(a)(2)			(a)(2) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(2) Determination
Name				
N/A.	N/A.	acres	N/A	N/A.
			ents of jurisdictional waters	
(a)(3)	(a)(3) Size	9	(a)(3) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(3) Determination
Name				
N/A.	N/A.	acres	N/A	N/A.
A 11	1 (/ ) / /	1) 4 )		
Adjacent wetla				
(a)(4) Name	(a)(4) Size		(a)(4) Criteria	Rationale for (a)(4) Determination
N/A.	N/A.	acres	N/A	N/A.
D. Excluded \ Excluded wate				
Exclusion Exclusion Size		Exclusion <sup>5</sup>	Rationale for Exclusion	
LACIGOIOII				Determination
Name			N/A.	N/A.

**A. Select/enter all resources** that were used to aid in this determination and attach data/maps to this document and/or references/citations in the administrative record, as appropriate.

er
).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A stand-alone TNW determination is completed independently of a request for an AJD. A stand-alone TNW determination is conducted for a specific segment of river or stream or other type of waterbody, such as a lake, where upstream or downstream limits or lake borders are established. A stand-alone TNW determination should be completed following applicable guidance and should NOT be documented on the AJD Form.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Some excluded waters, such as (b)(2) and (b)(4), may not be specifically identified on the AJD form unless a requestor specifically asks a Corps district to do so. Corps districts may, in case-by-case instances, choose to identify some or all of these waters within the review area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Because of the broad nature of the (b)(1) exclusion and in an effort to collect data on specific types of waters that would be covered by the (b)(1) exclusion, four sub-categories of (b)(1) exclusions were administratively created for the purposes of the AJD Form. These four sub-categories are not new exclusions, but are simply administrative distinctions and remain (b)(1) exclusions as defined by the NWPR.



# U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS REGULATORY PROGRAM APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM (INTERIM) NAVIGABLE WATERS PROTECTION RULE

Photographs: Aerial: GoogleEarth 7.3.3.7692. (1993 August 13, 1997, 2003 August 5, 2004				
September 30, September 11, 2005 July 11, 2006 July 12, 2010 June 17, 2011 October 20, 2013 June 4,				
2015 June 16, 2017 June 17, 2019 July 18, 2020 May 31). Springville, Utah. 40.13209° latitude,-				
111.63611° longitude, eye alt 7643 ft. Retrieved Janaury 14, 2021, from http://www.earth.google.com;				
Historic Aerials by NETRonline. Aerials. 1958, 1965, 1972, 1983, 1993, 1997, 2011, and 2016. Retrieved				
January 14, 2021 from https://www.historicaerials.com/viewer.				
Corps site visit(s) conducted on:				
Previous Jurisdictional Determinations (AJDs or PJDs):				
Antecedent Precipitation Tool: <u>provide detailed discussion in Section III.B</u> .				
USDA NRCS Soil Survey: .				
USFWS NWI maps: .				
USGS topographic maps:				

### Other data sources used to aid in this determination:

Data Source (select)	Name and/or date and other relevant information
USGS Sources	N/A.
USDA Sources	N/A.
NOAA Sources	N/A.
USACE Sources	N/A.
State/Local/Tribal Sources	N/A.
Other Issues	N/A.

B. Typical year assessment(s): N/A.

C. Additional comments to support AJD: N/A.