APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

A.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION	(JD): I	December 30,	2016
----	------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	------	--------------	------

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Sacramento District, US 550-160 Reconnect; SPK-2002-75568
с.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Colorado County/parish/borough: La Plata City: near Durango
	Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 37.217778°N; Long-107.595833°W Universal Transverse Mercator:
	Name of nearest waterbody: NA Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: NA
	Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Animas, 14080104 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
	Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
	☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: 11/10/2016 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s): 10/22/2014
SE	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the
	ew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
	Waters subject to the ebb and now of the fide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	 Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
	TNWs, including territorial seas
	Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³
	Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

PREAMBLE WATERS

A total of 0.35 acre of aquatic resources are stock ponds that were excavated in uplands. This determination is in accordance with the 1986 preamble to 33 CFR Part 328.3, which states that the Corps generally does not consider artificial lakes or ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which is used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, or settling basins to be water of the US. These features

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

include areas 39-2 (0.07 ac), 39-2c (0.01 ac), 39-3 (0.01 ac), 39-6a (0.15 ac), 39-6b (0.05 ac), and 40-4 (0.06 ac). Area 39-11(0.11 ac) is a pond created for ornamental or swimming purposes. This determination is in accordance with the 1986 preamble to 33 CFR Part 328.3, which states that the Corps generally does not consider artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons to the considered water of the US.

ISOLATED WATERS

Area 39-10 (0.01 ac) and Area 39-4 (0.08 ac) are isolated depressions. A total of 0.55 acre of aquatic resources were assessed within the review area and were determined to be not jurisdictional.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW Identify TNW:	
	Summarize rationale supporting determination: .	
2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":	

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)	General Area Condi	tions:	
	Watershed size:	Pick List	
	Drainage area:	Pick List	
	Average annual rainfa	all: i	nches
	Average annual snow	fall:	inches
	· ·		
(ii)	Physical Characteris	stics:	
. ,	(a) Relationship wit		
	Tributary flo	ws directly	into TNW.
	= -	2	Pick List tributaries before entering TNW.
		s unough	The state of the s

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

	Project waters are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List river miles from RPW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
	Identify flow route to TNW^5 : Tributary stream order, if known:
(b)	General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply): Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Pick List.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply): Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain:
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pick List Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:
	Subsurface flow: Pick List . Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Oil or scum line along shore objects In the objects of the shell or debris deposits (foreshore) Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Survey to available datum; In physical markings;

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW. ⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

				 □ physical markings/characteristics □ tidal gauges □ other (list): □ vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. 	
		(iii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: uracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, et Explain: https://example.com/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolored/film/recolor	tc.)
· \	ъ.				
(IV)	B10	logica		Aracteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	
	2.	Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW	
		(i)		General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:	
			(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain: Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:	
			(c)	Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:	
			(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.	
		(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: uracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: ntify specific pollutants, if known:	
		(iii)	Bio	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:	

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being c Approximately (the cumulative analysis: al are being considered in	Pick List n the cumulative analysis.	
For each wetland, spec	cify the follo	wing:		
Directly abuts? (<u>Y/N)</u>	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	 Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres.

	Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁹ As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
SUC SUC SUC SUC SUC SUC SUC SUC SUC SUC	CLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.

E.

 ⁸See Footnote # 3.
 ⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 ¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

F.		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.							
		Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).							
		Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain							
	\boxtimes	Other: (explain, if not covered above): PREAMBLE WATERS							
		A total of 0.35 acre of aquatic resources are stock ponds that were excavated in uplands. These features includes areas 39-2 (0.07 ac), 39-2c (0.01 ac), 39-3 (0.01 ac), 39-6a (0.15 ac), 39-6b (0.05 ac), and 40-4 (0.06 ac). Area 39-11(0.11 ac) is a pond created for ornamental or swimming purposes. The determination that the above features are not jurisdictional is in accordance with the 1986 preamble to 33 CFR Part 328.4 (page 41217). An ephemeral stream channel starts approximatel 100 feet below the toe of the berm of 39-11, at which point the terrain substantially steepens. On October 22, 2014, we fiel verified that a drainage containing an ordinary high-water mark does not exist from below feature 39-11 through 39-2. The ponds in question are all lined with impermeable material and historically were supported by irrigation water but now onl receives surface flow. Since an ordinary high-water mark is not currently present and is not evident in aerials dating back to 1993, we have determined that resources 39-2, 39-2c, 39-3, 39-6a, 39-6b, and 39-11 are stock or ornamental ponds constructed in upland areas.							
		ISOLATED WATERS							
		Feature 39-4 (0.08 ac) was originally designed as a stock pond but since the cessation of irrigation practices and the accumulation of sediment this feature has converted to a wetland. This wetland is approximately 750 linear feet from the closest tributary to the Animas River and exists due to a constructed berm that now collects stormwater. The outfall structures to the feature was designed to convey excess irrigation water and is now higher than the normal high water level of the pond. Water is unlikely to leave this resource except during extreme rain events. Water discharging from this feature would likely be captured in one of the two ponds below this feature and is not likely to reach the Animas River. Feature 39-10 (0.01 ac) is a small depression likely formed as a result of the highway construction and collects only stormwater. This depressional wetland does not have an outlet.							
	COMMERCE CLAUSE								
		There is no information available to show that the aquatic resources in review area 1) is or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes, 2) produces fish or shellfish which are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce, or 3) is or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in the interstate commerce.							
	fact	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): Lakes/ponds: acres.							
		Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: 0.09acres.							
		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).							
		Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.							
SE	CTIC	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.							
A.		PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below):							
	pre	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: October 2016 Wetland Delineation Report, pared by SME Environmental Consultants Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.							

	Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
	Corps navigable waters' study: .
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
	USGS NHD data.
	☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name 1:24K; CO-Loma Linda
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: .
	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
	FEMA/FIRM maps:
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): GoogleEarth Images (10/2015, 7/2006, 9/1993)
	or Other (Name & Date):
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
\boxtimes	Applicable/supporting case law: SWANCC.
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
\bowtie	Other information (please specify): La Plata County LiDAR

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

REFERENCES:

Regulatory Programs of the Corps of Engineers, as amended (33 CFR 326), dated 13 November 1986.
CECW-CO, Memorandum for Commanders, Major Subordinate Commands and District, SUBJECT: Updated Standard Operating Procedures for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Regulatory Program, 1 July 2009 (Sections 4 and 5).
Regulatory Guidance Letters (RGL) 08-02 on Jurisdictional Determinations (JD) effective 26 June 2008; RGL 07-01 and the Coordination Memo between EPA and the Corps as modified, for documenting JDs effective 5 June 2007; RGL 05-02 on Expiration of Geographic JDs of WOUS effective 14 June 2005; and RGL 16-01 on Jurisdictional Determinations effective October 2016.
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Jurisdictional Determinations Form Instructional Guidebook
October 2016 Wetland Delineation Report, prepared by SME Environmental Consultants
Response and Supplemental Information in Support of Reconsideration and Administration Appeal of Approved Jurisdictional