APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I:	BACKGROUND	INFORMATION

Α.	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL	DETERMINATION (JD): <u>December 7, 2012</u> .
----	----------------------------	-------------------------	--

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Sacramento District, SLI Commercial Property, SPK-2007-02247-UO. Name of water being evaluated on this JD form: Wetland M, Wetland G C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: County: Davis City: Farmington State: Utah Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat: 40.9896716351211° N, Long: -111.926326235623° W Universal Transverse Mercator: 12 422081.9 4538023.68. Name of nearest waterbody: Unnamed Channel. Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Great Salt Lake. Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Lower Weber. Utah., 16020102. Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form. List other JDs: Wetland H (0.08 acre) is being evaluated as a jurisdictional wetland on a separate approved JD form. D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: November 8, 2012. Field Determination. Date(s): November 2, 2012. SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required] 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1 TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: _____ linear feet _____ width (ft) and/or _____ acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List and Pick List

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): _____.

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: Wetland M (0.13 acre) and Wetland G (0.63 acre) are both located in closed topographical depressions, surrounded by uplands. Both wetlands are part of a historic channel or swale system that has been highly disturbed by agriculture, residential development and an elevated railroad. Likely water sources are ground water and/or ponding

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

Wetlands: acres.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

from precipitation events. The nearest jurisdictional water is approximately 340 feet from wetland G and approximately 980 feet from wetland M. The nearest TNW, Great Salt Lake, is approximately 1 mile to the west. A site visit was conducted on November 2, 2012 which further verified that no direct or indirect connection to jurisdictional waters exists. In addition, wetlands M and G have no apparent interstate or foreign commerce connection. These wetlands have been determined by the Corps to be non-jurisdictional due to isolation.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A.	TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: NOT APPLICABLE
В.	CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS: NOT APPLICABLE
c.	SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION: NOT APPLICABLE
D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE: NOT APPLICABLE
E.	ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS: ☐ If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. ☐ Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. ☐ Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). ☐ Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: <u>0.76</u> acres.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such

Lakes/ponds: _____ acres.

Wetlands: _____ acres.

a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):

Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): _____ linear feet _____ width (ft).

Other non-wetland waters: ____ acres. List type of aquatic resource: ____.

⁴ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

. :	SUPI	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked	
	and	requested, appropriately reference sources below):	
	\boxtimes	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Adam Morrill- 04/24/2012.	
	\boxtimes	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.	
		Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.	
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.	
		Data sheets prepared by the Corps:	
		Corps navigable waters' study:	
		U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:	
		USGS NHD data.	
	_	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.	
		U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K; UT-FARMINGTON	
	\boxtimes	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:	
	\boxtimes	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:	
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s):	
	\boxtimes	FEMA/FIRM maps:	
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)	
	\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth	
	_	or 🔀 Other (Name & Date): <u>Adam Morrill- 04/10/2012, Pectol- 11/02/2012</u> .	
		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:	
		Applicable/supporting case law:	
		Applicable/supporting scientific literature:	
		Other information (please specify):	

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Wetland M (0.13 acre) and Wetland G (0.63 acre) are isolated intrastate wetlands that have no connection to jurisdictional waters. Wetland M is located in a closed depression, bordered on the east by an abandoned railroad grade, and on the north, west and south by uplands. The nearest channel to Wetland M, a dry irrigation ditch with no discernable ordinary high water mark, is approximately 150 feet to the northwest. This irrigation ditch has not been used to convey water since 2007. The nearest jurisdictional water to Wetland M is approximately 980 feet to the southwest. Wetland G is also located in a closed depression with development an all sides. The nearest channel to Wetland G is a jurisdictional unnamed seasonal channel approximately 340 feet to the south. The study area was historically used for agricultural purposes. Surrounding development in the immediate area in recent years has contributed to the isolation of these wetlands. Wetlands G and M have been dtermined to be non-jurisdictional isolated wetlands by the Corps. Wetland H (0.08 acre) is being evaluated as a jurisdictional wetland on a separate JD form.

A