SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION


C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
State: Nevada
County: Clark
City: Laughlin
Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat: _____ N, Long: _____ W
Universal Transverse Mercator: _______
Name of nearest waterbody: Lake Mohave.
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Colorado River.
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 15030101 Colorado River/Lake Mohave.
☐ Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
☐ Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form. List other JDs: ______

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
☐ Field Determination. Date(s): ______.

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There are “navigable waters of the U.S.” within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]
☐ Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
☐ Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
Explain: Colorado River has previously been determined to be a traditionally navigable water.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
There are “waters of the U.S.” within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

1. Waters of the U.S. (check all that apply):
   a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:
      ☐ TNWs, including territorial seas
      ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
      ☐ Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☐ Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☐ Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☐ Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☐ Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
      ☐ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters
      ☐ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

   b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
      Non-wetland waters: ______ linear feet ______ width (ft) and/or 2.0 acres.
      Wetlands: ______ acres.

   c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM, and Pick List
      Elevation of established OHWM (if known): 629-ft.

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):
   ☐ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional.
   Explain: ______.

---
1 Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
2 For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).
3 Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

1. TNW
   **Identify TNW:** Colorado River/Lake Mead.
   Summarize rationale supporting determination: The Colorado River is a traditionally navigable water of the U.S.

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW
   Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is “adjacent”: NA.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS - Not applicable

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION - Not applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. **TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands.** Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:
   - ☑ TNWs: _____ linear feet _____ width (ft), or 2.0 acres.
   - ☑ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: _____ acres.

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS – NOT APPLICABLE

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Not applicable

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD:
   - ☑ Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: _____.
   - ☑ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
   - ☑ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
   - ☑ Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
   - ☑ Data sheets prepared by the Corps: _____.
   - ☑ Corps navigable waters’ study: The waterbody is on the Section 10 Navigable Waterway List for Sacramento District.
   - ☑ U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: _____.
   - ☑ USGS NHD data.
   - ☑ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
   - ☑ U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: _____.
   - ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: _____.
   - ☑ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: _____.
   - ☑ State/Local wetland inventory map(s): _____.
   - ☑ FEMA/FIRM maps: _____.
   - ☑ 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: _____ (National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929)
   - ☑ Photographs: ☑ Aerial (Name & Date): _____
     or ☐ Other (Name & Date): _____.
   - ☑ Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: _____.
   - ☑ Applicable/supporting case law: _____.
   - ☑ Applicable/supporting scientific literature: _____.
   - ☑ Other information (please specify): _____.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The Colorado River from the Arizona/Nevada boundary to the Nevada/California boundary is considered a Traditionally Navigable Water and is listed as such on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers website at: http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/organizations/cespk-co/regulatory/nv_waterways.html.