APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SEC	CTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION
	REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): April 27, 2012.
В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Sacramento District, NAME, SPK-2007-00464.
	Name of water being evaluated on this JD form: Colorado River
c.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:
	State: Nevada County: Clark City: Laughlin Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat:N, Long:W
	Universal Transverse Mercator:
	Name of nearest waterbody: <u>Lake Mohave</u> . Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: <u>Colorado River</u> .
	Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 15030101 Colorado River/Lake Mohave.
	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc.) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different
	JD form. List other JDs:
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
	Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 27, 2012.
	Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS THE STREET OF
A. J	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review
area	. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
	Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
	Explain: Colorado River has previously been determined to be a traditionally navigable water.
В. (CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Pick List "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.
	a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1
	TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
	Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Relatively permanent waters (RPWs) that now directly of indirectly into TNWs
	Non-RPWs that flow directly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

 $\textbf{c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction} \ \ \textbf{based on:} \ \ \textbf{Established by OHWM.} \ \ \textbf{and} \ \ \textbf{Pick List}$

Non-wetland waters: ____ linear feet ____ width (ft) and/or $\underline{2.0}$ acres.

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

Elevation of established OHWM (if known): 629-ft.

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: _____.

Wetlands: acres.

Version 2-8-08 TNW Only

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A.	TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs		
	1.	TNW Identify TNW: Colorado River/Lake Mead. Summarize rationale supporting determination: The Colorado River is a traditionally navigable water of the U.S.	
	2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent": NA.	
B.	СН	ARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS - Not applicable	
C.	SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION - Not applicable		
D.	DE	TERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:	
	1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), or 2.0 acres. ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.	
Е.	DE	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS – NOT APPLICABLE	
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Not applicable		
SEC	CTIC	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.	
		Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report Data sheets prepared by the Corps: Corps navigable waters' study: The waterbody is on the Section 10 Navigable Waterway List for Sacramento District. U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: USGS NHD data USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):	
	boun	DITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The Colorado River from the Arizona/Nevada boundary to the Nevada/California dary is considered a Traditionally Navigable Water and is listed as such on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers website at: //www.spk.usace.army.mil/organizations/cespk-co/regulatory/nv_waterways.html.	

Version 2-8-08 TNW Only 2 of 2