APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. RE	PORT COMPLETION	DATE FOR APP	'ROVED JURISDICT'	IONAL DETERMINA'	TION (JD):	September 30.	2010
-------	-----------------	--------------	-------------------	------------------	------------	---------------	------

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Sacramento District, CLEAR CREEK RANCH & GOLF CLUB
	DOUGLAS CO, SPK-2002-25077-NO

C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: Nevada County/parish/borough: Douglas Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 39.107759°, Long119.839996° Universal Transverse Mercator: 11 254432.47 4332575.54 Name of nearest waterbody: Clear Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Carson River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Upper Carson. California, Nevada., 16050201 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form: Wetlands 4, 5, 6, and 8 and Drainages 4 and 5 are addressed on separate forms and will be assessed based on a Significant Nexus.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: September 30, 2010 Field Determination. Date(s):
SEC	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS
A.	RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Pick List "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 6970 linear feet, wide, and/or acres. Wetlands: 35.76 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual and the Arid West Supplement Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs: NA

 $^{^{1}}$ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

(ii)

Watershed size: 934 square miles Drainage area: 462 acres Average annual rainfall: 15.0 inches	
Average annual snowfall: 60.0 inches	
Project waters are Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Eldentify flow route to TNW ⁵ : Clear Creek flows of	W. TNW. s from RPW. Explain: Project Waters do not cross or serve as a state boundary
Tributary stream order, if known: 2 nd order (b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that ap Tributary is: Natural Artificial (man-made). Exp Manipulated (man-altered)	plain:
Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (6) Average width: 6 feet Average depth: 0.10 feet Average side slopes: Vertical (1:1 or less).	estimate):
Primary tributary substrate composition (check all Silts Sands Cobbles Gravel Bedrock □ Vegetation. Type	☐ Concrete ☐ Muck

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

		Other. Explain:				
		Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Appear to be stable Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: None noted Tributary geometry: Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 8 %				
	(Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 2-5 Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:				
		Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics:				
		Subsurface flow: Unknown . Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:				
		Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain: If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics were defined apply: wegetation the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation wegetation the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation wegetation wegetation her presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation wegetation wegetation Her presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation wegetation Her presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation wegetation Her presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation wegetation Her presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation wegetation Her presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation wegetation Her presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation wegetation Her presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation wegetation Her presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation wegetation Her presence of wrack line sediment sorting wegetation Her presence of wrack line sediment sorting wegetation Her presence of wrack line sediment sorting wegetation Her presence of wrack line wegetation Her presence of wrack line sediment sorting wegetation Her presence of wrack line sediment sorting wegetation Her presence of wrack line wegetation Her presence of wrack line sediment sorting wegetation Her presence of wrack line wegetation				
	` (other (list): Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: Water is clear, watershed is generally stable, concerns for sediment entering creek from US 50. Identify specific pollutants, if known: Sediment, road run-off				
		Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Aquatic insect breeding				
2.	Char	acteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW				
		Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: 35.76 acres Wetland type. Explain: Palustrine emergent				

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

quality.

Wetland quality. Explain: Of medium quality, probably provides for flood flow attenuation and storage, water

Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Wetlands do not serve or cross a state boundary

	(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain:
		Surface flow is: Discrete and confined Characteristics:
		Subsurface flow: Unknown . Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:
	(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain: ☐ Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
	(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 2-5 river miles from TNW. Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 2-year or less floodplain.
(ii)	Cha cl	emical Characteristics: uracterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed haracteristics; etc.). Explain: utify specific pollutants, if known:
(iii)		logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width): Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: (90% emergent vegetation Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings: Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: aquatic insects
Cha	All	eristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 3 proximately 35.76 acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.
	For	each wetland, specify the following:

Wetland Name	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)
SPK-2002-25077(WL1a) (RPWWN)	16.78	Υ
SPK-2002-25077(WL2) (RPWWN)	0.3	Υ
SPK-2002-25077(WL1b) (RPWWN)	18.42	Υ
SPK-2002-25077(WL3) (RPWWN)	0.1	Υ
SPK-2002-25077(WL7) (RPWWN)	0.16	Υ

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

3.

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent

wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus. NA

D.	DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):				
	1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet, wide, Or acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.			
	2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:			
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 6970 linear feet wide. Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:			
	3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.			
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet, wide. Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters:			
	4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:			
		Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Wetlands are located within the 2 year flood plain and receive flood waters from Clear Creek.			
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 35.76 acres.			
	5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.			
		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.			
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.			
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.			
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. ☐ Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or ☐ Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or			

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

	Demonstrate that	water is isolated	with a nexus to commerce	(see E below)
--	------------------	-------------------	--------------------------	---------------

- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 NA
- F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): NA

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where	checked
	and requested, appropriately reference sources below):	
	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:	
	☐ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.	
	☐ Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.	
	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.	
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps:	
	Corps navigable waters' study:	
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:	
	USGS NHD data.	
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.	
	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K; NV-GENOA	
	☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:	
	☐ National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:	
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):	
	FEMA/FIRM maps:	
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)	
	☐ Photographs: ☐ Aerial (Name & Date):	
	or Other (Name & Date):	
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:	
	Applicable/supporting case law:	
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature:	
	Other information (please specify):	

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

The Applicant has stated that Wetlands 2 and 3 were "non-jurisdictional" based on the hydrologic regime being based on groundwater interception. The Corps, because of the proximity to Wetland 1 and Clear Creek, have determined that these wetlands are jurisdictional. It appears from aerial photography that Wetlands 2 and 3 are actually part of the larger Wetland 1 complex, that they most likely have a surface water connection to Clear Creek and provide base flow support to Clear Creek.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.