APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): July 28, 2010

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Sacramento District, Griff Creek, SPK-2008-1122

	,,,,,,,,,,
c.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: California County/parish/borough: Placer City: Kings Bearch Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 39.26° N, Long120.02° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Griff Creek Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Lake Tahoe Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Lake Tahoe - California/Nevada 16050101 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form. Ephemeral drainages and roadside ditch are assessed on another form. This form assesses the RPW Griff Creek, associated RPW tributaries and abutting/adjacent wetlands.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: July 28, 2010 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the iew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters ² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or 0.13 acres. Wetlands: 2.54 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional Explain: .
SE4	CTION III. CWA ANAI VSIS

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 2815 acres
Drainage area: 2815 acres

Average annual rainfall: 31.42 inches Average annual snowfall: 190.3 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 1-2 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: Griff Creek is located entirely within California and it appears that most of the drainage basin is also located entirely within California. However, Lake Tahoe is located in California and Nevada and is therefore an interstate water..

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Griff Creek flows directly into Lake Tahoe. The associated drainages flow into Griff Creek and then to Lake Tahoe.

Tributary stream order, if known: Tributaries of Griff Creek are 1st order streams. Griff Creek is 2nd order.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	Tributary is: ⊠ Natural ☐ Artificial (man-made). Explain: ☐ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:	plain: .
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimated Average width: 5-10 feet Average depth: <1 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.	ate):
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that a Silts Sands Gravel Bedrock Wegetation. Type/% c Other. Explain:	☐ Concrete ☐ Muck
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloug Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: . Tributary geometry: Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 2-5 %	hing banks]. Explain: Appears to be relatively stable.
(c)	(c) Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/ Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume:	year: 2-5
	Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristics	: .
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed: .	
	changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition	the presence of litter and debris destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting scour multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community
		lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): Mean High Water Mark indicated by: survey to available datum; physical markings; vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
Cha		oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). in the National Forest. Main inputs will be from sediment during dential and commercial development along Lake Tahoe.
\boxtimes	Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all the Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width) and scrub shrub habitats present.	nat apply): : From relatively narrow (5-ft) to approximately 45-ft with

(iii)

(iv)

emergent

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

			Wetland fringe. Characterist Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Fish/spawn areas. Explain	Explain findings: n findings:		
			Other environmentally-se		n findings:	
2.	. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW					
	(i)		Wetland quality. Explain	Mostly scrub shrub river n: Probably of medium o	rine with some emergent along cha quality – no assessment included in Explain: Wetlands do not serve as s	documentation.
line	es.					
		(b)	General Flow Relationship v Flow is: Perennial flow . Exp			
			Surface flow is: Discrete an Characteristics:	d confined		
			Subsurface flow: Unknown. Dye (or other) test pe			
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determi ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland hyd ☐ Ecological connection ☐ Separated by berm/b	lrologic connection. Ex	plain: .	
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to Project wetlands are 1-2 rive Project waters are 1-2 aerial Flow is from: Wetland to na Estimate approximate location	r miles from TNW. (straight) miles from Tl avigable waters.	NW. the 2-year or less floodplain.	
	(ii)	Cha		n: The wetlands are loc	own, oil film on surface; water qua cated in the upper basin within the	
	(iii)	Bio	logical Characteristics. Wet Riparian buffer. Characteris Vegetation type/percent cove Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Fish/spawn areas. Explai Other environmentally-se Aquatic/wildlife diversity	tics (type, average widther. Explain: Dominated Explain findings: n findings: ensitive species. Explain	n): Not Specified. I by shrubs - mountain alder, Lemn	non's willow and lodgepole pine.
3.	Cha	All	eristics of all wetlands adjact wetland(s) being considered in proximately (2.54) acres in to	n the cumulative analysi	is: 3	
	Approximately (2.54) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. For each wetland, specify the following:					
		101	Directly abuts? (Y/N) Y Y	Size (in acres) 2.15 0.03	Directly abuts? (Y/N) Y	Size (in acres) .36

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Perform flood flow attenuation, water quality functions, habitat, erosion and shoreline stabilization, production of organic matter and its export into Lake Tahoe, habitat for aquatic invertebrates, wetland associated mammals.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus. NA

DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL

TH	AT APPLY):
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. ☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: As per the wetlands delineation report - it indicates that flow in Griff Creek is perennial. ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: 0.13acres. Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly

abutting an RPW:

conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 2.54 acres.

Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this

⁸See Footnote # 3.

		Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	DEC SUC	LATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Iden	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
		ride estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	facto	ride acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional ment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
		ride acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
000		N.W. DATA GOVIDATE

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
and requested, appropriately reference sources below):
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:
☐ Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.
Data sheets prepared by the Corps: .
Corps navigable waters' study:
U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
USGS NHD data.
USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K; CA-MARTIS PEAK.
USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:
National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: .
State/Local wetland inventory map(s):
FEMA/FIRM maps:
100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth.
or Other (Name & Date):
Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
Applicable/supporting case law:
Applicable/supporting scientific literature:
Other information (please specify): Entrix, Inc. 2006. Kings Beach Watershed Improvement Project: Final Hydrologic Condition
Report. Placer County, Truckee, California.
•
B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Griff Creek is a perennial tributary of Lake Tahoe, a Traditionally Navigable Water