# JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Sacramento District

File Number: SPK-2006-00279

# PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: California County: Tehama

Center Coordinates of Site: Latitude 40.235833°, Longitude -122.2988° Approximate size of area (parcel) reviewed, including uplands: 36.8 acres Name of nearest waterway: Clough Creek, North Fork Dibble Creek Name of watershed: Sacramento-Lower Thomes. California., 18020103

#### JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION

**Completed:** Desktop determination [X] Date: July 21, 2010

Site visit [X] Date: January 20th &25<sup>th</sup>, 2010

**Jurisdictional Determination (JD):** 

- [] Preliminary JD Based on available information, [] *there appear to be* (or) [] *there appear to be no* "waters of the United States" and/or "navigable waters of the United States" on the project site. A preliminary JD is not appealable (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
- [X] Approved JD An approved JD is an appealable action (Reference 33 CFR part 331).
  - [] *There are* "navigable waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 329 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area:
  - [X] *There are* "waters of the United States" (as defined by 33 CFR part 328 and associated guidance) within the reviewed area. Approximate size of jurisdictional area: 4.032 acres of waters of the United States
  - [X] There are "isolated, non-navigable, intra-state waters or wetlands" within the reviewed area. 0.083 acres of isolated waters
    - [] Decision supported by SWANCC/Migratory Bird Rule Information Sheet for Determination of No Jurisdiction.

# BASIS OF JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION:

# A. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 329 as "navigable waters of the United States":

[] The presence of waters that are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide and/or are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

# B. Waters defined under 33 CFR part 328.3(a) as "waters of the United States":

- [] (1) The presence of waters, which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
- [] (2) The presence of interstate waters including interstate wetlands<sup>1</sup>.
- [] (3) The presence of other waters such as intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds, the use, degradation or destruction of which could affect interstate commerce including any such waters (check all that apply):
  - [] (i) which could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.
  - [] (ii) from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.
  - [] (iii) which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.
- [] (4) Impoundments of waters otherwise defined as waters of the U.S.
- [X] (5) The presence of a tributary to a water identified in (1) (4) above.
- [] (6) The presence of territorial seas.
- [X] (7) The presence of wetlands adjacent to other waters of the U.S., except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands.

Rationale for the Basis of Jurisdictional Determination (applies to any boxes checked above). If the jurisdictional water or wetland is not itself a navigable water of the United States, describe connection(s) to the downstream navigable waters. If B(1) or B(3) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document navigability and/or interstate commerce connection (i.e., discuss site conditions, including why the waterbody is navigable and/or how the destruction of the waterbody could affect interstate or foreign commerce). If B(2, 4, 5 or 6) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make the determination. If B(7) is used as the Basis of Jurisdiction, document the rationale used to make adjacency determination: North Fork Dibble Creek, which is a tributary of the Sacramento River, a Traditionally Navigable Water of the United States, bisects the project area. The following features depicted on the Figure 2, Waters of the United States map flow directly and continuously into and include North Fork Dibble Creek: All features depicted as wet meadow or wet swale and directly abutting or adjacent and hydrologically connected to North Fork Dibble Creek(12-IS) 7-WS to 9-WS, 11-WS, 14-WS to 16-WS, 19-WS, and 20-WS, and the following features depicted as intermittent stream or constructed channel: 1-IS to 4-IS, 12-IS, 13-IS, 17-CC, 18-CC.

| Sac         | ramento District Jurisdictional Determination  | n (SPK-2006-00279)   |   |  |  |
|-------------|--|--|---|--|--|
|             | Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by:  [X] clear, natural line impressed on the ban.  [X] the presence of litter and debris.  [] changes in the character of soil.  [X] destruction of terrestrial vegetation.  [] shelving.  [X] other: visual observations of flows during.   | ık   | <ul> <li>[] High Tide Line indicated by:</li> <li>[] oil or scum line along shore objects</li> <li>[] fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore)</li> <li>[] physical markings/characteristics</li> <li>[] tidal gages</li> <li>[] other:</li> </ul> |  |  |
| []          | Mean High Water Mark indicated by: [] survey to available datum;   | [] physical markings;  | [] vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.  |  |  |
|             | [X] Wetland boundaries, as shown on the attached wetland delineation map and/or in a delineation report prepared by: July 19, 2010, Figure 2 Waters of the United States drawing prepared by ENPLAN, Inc.  |  |   |  |  |
| Bas         | [] Unable to confirm the presence of waters [] Headquarters declined to approve jurisdic [X] The Corps has made a case-specific det [] Waste treatment systems, including tre [] Artificially irrigated areas, which woul [] Artificial lakes and ponds created by expurposes as stock watering, irrigation, [] Artificial reflecting or swimming pools water for primarily aesthetic reasons. [] Water-filled depressions created in dry fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the definition of waters of the United State [X] Isolated, intrastate wetland with no n [] Prior converted cropland, as determine   | The reviewed area consists entirely of uplands.  Unable to confirm the presence of waters in 33 CFR part 328(a)(1, 2, or 4-7).  Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 33 CFR part 328.3(a)(3).  ] The Corps has made a case-specific determination that the following waters present on the site are not Waters of the United States:  [] Waste treatment systems, including treatment ponds or lagoons, pursuant to 33 CFR part 328.3.  [] Artificially irrigated areas, which would revert to upland if the irrigation ceased.  [] Artificial lakes and ponds created by excavating and/or diking dry land to collect and retain water and which are used exclusively for such purposes as stock watering, irrigation, settling basins, or rice growing.  [] Artificial reflecting or swimming pools or other small ornamental bodies of water created by excavating and/or diking dry land to retain water for primarily aesthetic reasons.  [] Water-filled depressions created in dry land incidental to construction activity and pits excavated in dry land for the purpose of obtaining fill, sand, or gravel unless and until the construction or excavation operation is abandoned and the resulting body of water meets the definition of waters of the United States found at 33 CFR 328.3(a).  [X] Isolated, intrastate wetland with no nexus to interstate commerce.  [] Prior converted cropland, as determined by the Natural Resources Conservation Service. Explain rationale:  [] Non-tidal drainage or irrigation ditches excavated on dry land. Explain rationale:  [] Other (explain): |   |  |  |
| Uni<br>dete | 6-W M, 10-WM, and 5-Pond all terminate before reaching other waters of the United States. No connection to other waters of the United States is observable or can be demonstrated for these features. A site investigation was conducted on January 20 <sup>th</sup> and 25 <sup>th</sup> , 2010, to determine connectivity. Approximately 3.5 inches of rain fell in the proceeding 4 days and no connectivity to waters of the US could be established. No nexus to interstate commerce could be established for the isolated pond or wetland features totaling 0.083 acres.   |  |   |  |  |
| DA          | DATA REVIEWED FOR JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (mark all that apply)   |  |   |  |  |
|             | [X] Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by [X] Data sheets prepared/submitted by or or [X] This office concurs with the delineative [] This office does not concur with the delineative [] This office does not concur with the delineative [] Use office does not c | on behalf of the applicant. Sion report Silineation report, dated, prepart series: pographic maps: Enterprise 7.5 poric quadrangles: ric quadrangles: n Service Soil Survey: 1974 Sh   | red by .  5 Min Quadrangle, Shasta County California hasta County California  |  |  |

[X] Site visit/determination conducted on: January 20, 2010, and January 25, 2010

[] Applicable/supporting case law: [] Other information (please specify):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The term "adjacent" means bordering, contiguous, or neighboring. Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers,

Sacramento District Jurisdictional Determination (SPK-2006-00279)

natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.