SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 05-Jan-2009

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Sacramento District, SPK-2009-0018-JD1

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: NV - Nevada
County/parish/borough: Clark
City: Moapa
Lat: 36.710060392440724
Long: -114.69464311236895

Name of nearest waterbody: Muddy River
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Lake Mead
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 15010005

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:

Office Determination Date: 05-Jan-2009
Field Determination Date(s): 03-Dec-2008

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.
Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.
   a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Name</th>
<th>Water Type(s) Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muddy River</td>
<td>Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

   b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

   Area: 242.811 (m²)
   Linear: 15.24 (m)

   c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:

   based on: Established by OHWM.
   OHWM Elevation: (if known)

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:

   Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

1. TNW
   Not Applicable.

2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW
   Not Applicable.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

   (i) General Area Conditions:
   Watershed size: 
   Drainage area: 
   Average annual rainfall: inches
   Average annual snowfall: inches

   (ii) Physical Characteristics
   (a) Relationship with TNW:
   | Tributary flows directly into TNW. |
   | Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW. |
   | Number of tributaries |

   Project waters are river miles from TNW.
   Project waters are river miles from RPW.
Project Waters are aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Identify flow route to TNW:

(b) General Tributary Characteristics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tributary Name</th>
<th>Natural</th>
<th>Artificial</th>
<th>Explain</th>
<th>Manipulated</th>
<th>Explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muddy River</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tributary Name</th>
<th>Width (ft)</th>
<th>Depth (ft)</th>
<th>Side Slopes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muddy River</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vertical (1:1 or less)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Primary tributary substrate composition:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tributary Name</th>
<th>Silt</th>
<th>Sands</th>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>Cobble</th>
<th>Gravel</th>
<th>Muck</th>
<th>Bedrock</th>
<th>Vegetation</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muddy River</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tributary Name</th>
<th>Condition/Stability</th>
<th>Run/Riffle/Pool Complexes</th>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>Gradient (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muddy River</td>
<td>Sloughing banks</td>
<td>pool, riffle, run complexes within project area</td>
<td>Relatively straight</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) Flow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tributary Name</th>
<th>Provides for</th>
<th>Events Per Year</th>
<th>Flow Regime</th>
<th>Duration &amp; Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muddy River</td>
<td>Perennial flow</td>
<td>2-5</td>
<td>Year round flow fed by numerous warm springs upstream</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surface Flow is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tributary Name</th>
<th>Surface Flow Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muddy River</td>
<td>Confined</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subsurface Flow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tributary Name</th>
<th>Subsurface Flow</th>
<th>Explain Findings</th>
<th>Dye (or other) Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muddy River</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tributaries with OHWM² - (as indicated above)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tributary Name</th>
<th>OHWM</th>
<th>Clear</th>
<th>Litter</th>
<th>Changes in Soil</th>
<th>Destruction</th>
<th>Vegetation</th>
<th>Shelving</th>
<th>Wrack Line</th>
<th>Matted/Absent Vegetation</th>
<th>Sediment Sorting</th>
<th>Leaf Litter</th>
<th>Scour</th>
<th>Sediment Deposition</th>
<th>Flow Events</th>
<th>Water Staining</th>
<th>Ch. P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muddy River</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by:
Not Applicable.

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:
Not Applicable.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:
Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tributary Name</th>
<th>Explain</th>
<th>Identify specific pollutants, if known</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muddy River</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tributary Name</th>
<th>Riparian Corridor Characteristics</th>
<th>Wetland Fringe Characteristics</th>
<th>Habitat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muddy River</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Habitat for: (as indicated above)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tributary Name</th>
<th>Federally Listed Species</th>
<th>Explain Findings</th>
<th>Fish/Spawn Areas</th>
<th>Explain Findings</th>
<th>Other Environmentally Sensitive Species</th>
<th>Explain Findings</th>
<th>Aquatic/Wildlife Diversity</th>
<th>Ex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muddy River</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:
(a) General Wetland Characteristics:
Properties:
Not Applicable.
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
Flow is:
Not Applicable.
Surface flow is:
Not Applicable.
Subsurface flow:
Not Applicable.

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
Not Applicable.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:
Not Applicable.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:
Not Applicable.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):
All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:
Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:
Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION
A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to, the volume, duration, and frequency of flow in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:
Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wetland Name</th>
<th>Flow Explain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muddy River</td>
<td>PERENNIAL Flows year round within project area - historically was a major tributary of the Virgin River - now flows directly into Lake Mead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wetland Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Size (Linear) (m)</th>
<th>Size (Area) (m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muddy River</td>
<td>Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>242.81136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total:</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>242.81136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:
Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:
Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:
Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:
Not Applicable.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:
Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:
Not Applicable.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:
Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:
Not Applicable.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:
Not Applicable.

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING WATERS:
Not Applicable.

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:
Not Applicable.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:

- Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
- Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in “SWANCC,” the review area would have been regulated based solely on the “Migratory Bird Rule” (MBR):
- Waters do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):

Other (Explain):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the “Significant Nexus” standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.

Not Applicable.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Reviewed</th>
<th>Source Label</th>
<th>Source Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable.

1. Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.
2. For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least “seasonally” (e.g., typically 3 months).
3. Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
4. Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
5. Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
6. A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
7. Ibid.
8. Ibid.
9. To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
10. Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following