APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): November, 2008

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Sacramento District, Redding Office, Salt Creek Heights, SPK-2007-2130.

с.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State:California County/parish/borough: Shasta City: Redding Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 40.584° N. Long122.441° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: Name of nearest waterbody: Sacramento River Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Sacramento River Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 18020101 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: October 16, 2008 Field Determination. Date(s): May 7, 2008
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the iew area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	 b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet: width (ft) and/or 0.35 acres. Wetlands: 0.51 acres. c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: 1987 Delineation Manual
	Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): ³ Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional Explain: .

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	INW Identify TNW:
	Summarize rationale supporting determination: .
2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i)	General Area Conditions: Watershed size: 423square miles
	Drainage area: 200 acres
	Average annual rainfall: 35 inches
	Average annual snowfall: 2 inches
	Average annual snowran: 2 inches
(ii)	Physical Characteristics:
` ′	(a) Relationship with TNW:
	☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.
	☐ Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.
	Z Thousand now amough a modulates before entering 11
	Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW.
	Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.
	Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
	Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.
	Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: .
	Project waters cross of serve as state boundaries. Explain.
	Identify flow route to TNW ⁵ : The ephemeral drainages flow directly into an RPW, ID-1/PD-1 which flows into the
	Sacramento River just offsite.
	Tributary stream order, if known: 1-2.
	(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):
	Tributary is: Natural
	Artificial (man-made). Explain:

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	☐ Manipulated (man-altered). E	xplain: .	
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (esting Average width: 3 feet Average depth: 2 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.	nate):	
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that Silts Sands Cobbles Gravel Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% Other. Explain: .		☐ Concrete ☐ Muck
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, slou Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: none, Tributary geometry: Relatively straight Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 30-40	gradient too st	
.,	Tributary provides for: Ephemeral flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area Describe flow regime: Flow for a limited amount Other information on duration and volume: these tribu ess of the volume of rain. At a minimum, 20 rain events	of time during taries would ca	and immediately after storm events. arry water during and for a short time after every rain
	Surface flow is: Discrete and confined. Characteristic	cs: Due to topo	ography, water is confined to channel.
	Subsurface flow: Unknown . Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:		
	Tributary has (check all that apply): ☐ Bed and banks ☐ OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the bank ☐ changes in the character of soil ☐ shelving ☐ vegetation matted down, bent, or absent ☐ leaf litter disturbed or washed away ☐ sediment deposition ☐ water staining ☐ other (list): ☐ Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:	destruction the present sediment scour multiple	ence of litter and debris on of terrestrial vegetation ence of wrack line t sorting observed or predicted flow events nange in plant community
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determin High Tide Line indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):	Mean High W ☐ survey to a ☐ physical n	ater Mark indicated by: available datum;
	emical Characteristics: aracterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, Explain: Water color and clarity is highly variable dep amounts of sediments into the streams. Generally, the development. Near the far east end of the project site, they drain into has been altered and is not functioning which was observed having water at the time of the vis assumed the the tributaries feeding it also do.	ending on the streams are cl ED-62 has so at peak levels.	volume of rainfall that would introduce higher ear with high water quality and little encroaching ome influence from development. The watershed The streams flow into ID-1 which turns into PD-1

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷Ibid.

Identify specific pollutants, if known: Potential for oil, gas, etc. from road traffic (HWY 299) as well as residential development to the East. (iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): some portions of the streams have riparian vegetation but not large corridors. Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Portions of the stream near had some wetland fringe habitat. Habitat for: ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: These streams provide the water, nutrients, and organic carbons that makes up the habitat in ID-1/PD-1 which contains federally listed Salmonids. 🛮 Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Smolt were observed in pools in Salt Creek and it is assumed they were present in ID-1/PD-1 as well. Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: Upland birds, mammals, amphibians, reptiles all can be found here and may use it for food, water, and habitat. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW **Physical Characteristics:** (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size:0.51 acres Wetland type. Explain: Riparian/Seasonal Wetland. Wetland quality. Explain: Excellent. Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: no. (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: The Riparian Wetlands all abut the ephemeral streams and the seasonal wetlands are nearly abutting and have a surface water connection during rain events. Surface flow is: Overland sheetflow Characteristics: water passes freely between wetland and stream when sufficient hydrology is present. Subsurface flow: Yes. Explain findings: The close proximity of the wetland to the stream indicates the likelyhood of subsurface flow. No test were performed. Dye (or other) test performed: (c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Directly abutting Not directly abutting Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Close proximity and topography easily allow for surface and subsurface connection between ephemeral stream and wetland during and after rain events. Ecological connection. Explain: Wetland provides higher quality filtered water, nutrients, and food to the ephemeral stream. Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW Project wetlands are 1 (or less) river miles from TNW. Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Wetland to navigable waters. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the **20 - 50-year** floodplain. (ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain: color is clear, water quality high, aids in improving general watershed conditions. Identify specific pollutants, if known: (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply): Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):3-5 ft. willows, and other riparian species for the riparian wetlands.

Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
 ☐ Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):3-5 ft. willows, and other riparian species for the riparian wetlan
 ☐ Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:Rumex, Eleocharis, willows, 70% cover.
 ☐ Habitat for:
 ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 ☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: aquatic invertebrates, amphibians, etc. use wetlands like this one.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: **1** Approximately (0.51) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)
SW-1 (N)	0.01	SW-2(N)	0.01
SW-4 (Y)	0.01	R-1(Y)	0.44
R-2(Y)	0.04		

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: The wetlands are filtering polluntants, holding floodwaters, providing nutrients and food to ID-1/PD-1 which flows into the Sacramento River. It provides high quality water and habitat for numberous species.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D: The non-RPW's reviewed for this determination are
- 8. ED-2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,62,63. All these Ephemeral Drainages are being reviewed individually and cumulatively here and all possess similar topographic & geographic distribution, have nearly identical flow regimes and duration, have similar biological, physical, and chemical make-ups and are therefore similarily situated. All these ephemeral streams flow into ID-1/PD-1, a RPW. SW-1,2 are wetlands adjacent to ED-2, SW-4 is abutting ED-63. R-1, R-2 are Riparian Wetlands abutting ED-1. All these tributaries have the capacity to carry pollutants/floodwaters to the Sacramento River and also potentially reduce the amount of pollutants/floodwaters. The wetlands functions more in reduction of floodwaters and pollutants whereas the streams transport. These tributaries and the adjacent/abutting wetlands provide an extremely pivitol function in providing habitat and lifecycle functions to fish and other species. Juvenile Salmonids were observed within Salt Creek. It is assumed they are also present or could potentially be present in ID-1/PD-1. The nutrients and water provided from these ephemeral streams and their wetlands are what make ID-1/PD-1 into viable spawning and rearing habitat for fish. Food, oxygen rich water and organic carbons are transferred to this RPW via these streams. ID1/PD-1 is a Relatively Permanent Water and has therefore already been established to have a significant nexus with the Sacramento River. Without these streams and other similarily situated streams feeding it, ID-1/PD-1 would lose it's chemical, physical, and biological integrity as well as it's significant nexus with the TNW. Therefore, these streams individually and cumulatively have a significant nexus with the Sacramento River. Since ID-1/PD-1 is

tributary to the TNW and there are listed anadromous fish species within Salt Creek, these species obviously use the Sacramento River to get to this creek and spawn here. Nutrients and organic carbons found and distributed by these ephemeral drainages enter ID-1 and pass through the entire Sacramento River providing these functions throughout it's entire reach. All species within the Sacramento are therefore benefitted by these ephemeral streams. These tributaries and their adjacent/abutting wetlands affect the chemical, physical and biological integrity of the TNW and have a significant nexus. The close proximity to the TNW and these waters makes a clear link to their connection and direct affects upon each other.

4. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL

TH	THAT APPLY):		
1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area: ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres. ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.		
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial: Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:		
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .		
3.	Non-RPWs ⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.		
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: 5856 linear feet average 3 width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .		
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:		
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:		
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.		
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.		
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.		

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review erges 0.51 cores
		Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 0.51 acres.
	7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters. ⁹ As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional. Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
E.	DEC SUC 	CLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain: Other factors. Explain:
	Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
		vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply): Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft). Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements. Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce. Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR). Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	fact	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
		wide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ading is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply): Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft). Lakes/ponds: acres. Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTIO	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
Α.	and Rep	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below): Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: Figure 1, Salt Creek Heights Wetland Delineation ort prepared by Jamie Galos, ESA. Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant. Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report. Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.

 9 To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

Data sheets prepared by the Corps:

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Corps navigable waters' study: .
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: .
	USGS NHD data.
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
\boxtimes	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:USGS 1992, Redding.
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: .
	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: .
	State/Local wetland inventory map(s): .
	FEMA/FIRM maps: .
	100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
\boxtimes	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):Terraserver, multiple years.
	or Other (Name & Date):
	Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .
	Applicable/supporting case law: .
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
	Other information (please specify):site visit.

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: The complete delineation report covers two main direct tributaries to the Sacramento River and multiple other intermittent and ephemeral drainages tributary to these. This delineation report form covers all ephemeral streams tributary to ID-1/PD-1 and the adjacent/abutting wetlands. There are two other JD forms which require a significant nexus for non-RPW's and their adjacent or abutting wetlands. ED-62 connects to the two artificially affected streams. Residential water runoff and stormwater is discharged into PD-2 and PD-3. Where PD-3 turns into ED-62, the water suddenly and distinctly goes sub-surface. This portion of the stream is highly permeable and allows the stream to go subsurface other than during rain events. Without this artificial hydrology, the entire strecth of ED-62 would be ephemeral (including the portion of PD-2 and PD-3). Therefore, it is assessed as if it was a direct ephemeral stream tributary to ID-1/PD-1 like the other non-RPW's being assessed on this form. All mapped waters on-site are being called out as jurisdictional due to either having a significant nexus or being features designated as jurisdictional features not requiring a significant nexus evaluation.