# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION	V
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVE	ED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 17-Sep-2008
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER	R: Sacramento District, SPK-2007-01072-JD7
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND IN	FORMATION:
State :	CA - California
County/parish/borough:	Sacramento
City:	Folsom
Lat:	38.629167
Long:	-121.131944
Universal Transverse Mercator	Folder UTM List
	UTM list determined by folder location
	NAD83 / UTM zone 37S
	Waters UTM List
	UTM list determined by waters location
Name of page at waterbady.	NAD83 / UTM zone 37S  Alder Creek
Name of nearest waterbody:  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (T	Alder Creek
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (H	•
Name of watershed of Trydrologic Offic Gode (Tit	70). 10020111
Check if map/diagram of review area and/or p	otential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation site	es, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATIO	N:
✓ Office Determination Date: 03-Sep-2008	
Field Determination Date(s): 28-Apr-2008	
	,
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	,
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURIS	SDICTION
There [] "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rive	rs and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
Waters subject to the ebb and flow of	
	een used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport intersate or foreign commerce.
Explain:	
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JUR	ISDICTION.
There [] "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water	Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
	•

#### 1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:1

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

**Area:** 3825 (m²) **Linear:** (m)

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:

based on: Established by OHWM.

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:<sup>3</sup>

#### Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

The wetlands VP-1, SW-1 and SW-2 are located upslope from Pond-2 and are small, man-made depressions that were constructed entirely within uplands. The wetlands total 0.006 acre of the site. VP-1 is a 0.004 acre depression located approximately 200 feet north-east of SWS-3, SW-2 is a 0.001 acre depression located approximately 50-feet north of ED-3. The wetlands collect water for a sufficient period of time to establish hydrophytic vegetation and soils, however, none of these wetlands have an outlet or other hydrologic connection to the on-site relatively permanent waters (i.e. Pond-1, Pond-2 or ED-1), or navigable waters.

# A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

# 1.TNW

Not Applicable.

2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW

Not Applicable.

- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
- 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 299 square miles
Drainage area: 9 square miles
Average annual rainfall: 19 inches
Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

(ii)	Physical	Charact	eristics
(a)	Relations	ship with	n TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are 5-10 river miles	from TNW.
-------------------------------------	-----------

Project waters are 5-10 river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 2-5 aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

# Explain:

# Identify flow route to TNW:5

ED-1 is an ephemeral drainage the flows south off of the project site and then north-east into Alder Creek. Ader Creek is an RPW and a tributary to the American River. The American River is a TNW, as determined by the Sacramento District on February 4, 2008 (file number SPK-2008-00099). The American River is a tributary to the Sacramento River, a navigable water subject to Section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act and Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

#### Tributary Stream Order, if known:

Order	Tributary Name
-	Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072

#### (b) General Tributary Characteristics:

#### Tributary is:

<b>Tributary Name</b>	Natural	Artificial	Explain	Manipulated	Explain
Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072	-	Х	The two ponds were previously created through excavation.	-	-

#### Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Tributary Name	Width (ft)	Depth (ft)	Side Slopes
Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072	315	10	2:1

#### Primary tributary substrate composition:

Tributary Name	Silt	Sands	Concrete	Cobble	Gravel	Muck	Bedrock	Vegetation	Other
Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072	Х	-	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-

#### Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Tributary Name	Condition\Stability	Run\Riffle\Pool Complexes	Geometry	Gradient (%)
Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072	Pond-1 and Pond-2 have well vegetated side slopes.	-	-	-

#### (c) Flow:

(-)					
Tributary Name	Provides for	<b>Events Per Year</b>	Flow Regime	<b>Duration &amp; Volume</b>	
Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072	Perennial flow	-	-	-	

#### Surface Flow is:

ouriado Fiotrio.			
Tributary Name	Surface Flow	Characteristics	
Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072	Confined	The ponds contain water throughout the year and during the rainy season, flow into the adjacent Alder Creek.	

#### Subsurface Flow:

Tributary Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072	-	-	-

Tributary has:

Tributary Name	Bed & Banks	онwм	Discontinuous OHWM <sup>7</sup>	Explain	
Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072	X	Х	-	-	

Tributaries with OHWM<sup>6</sup> - (as indicated above)

Tributary Name	онwм	Clear	Litter	Changes in Soil	Destruction Vegetation	Shelving	Wrack Line	Matted\Absent Vegetation	Sediment Sorting	Leaf Litter	Scour	Sediment Deposition	Flow Events	Water Staining	Changes Plant	Other
Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072	Х	Х	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

**High Tide Line indicated by:** Not Applicable.

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

Not Applicable.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.).

• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	g., mater color to clour, alcociorea, city mini, mater quanty, general materiories color, citely.	
Tributary Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072	The water within the ponds contain algae, and is murky. There was no obvious oily film and water quality is unknown.	-

(iv) Biological Characteristics, Channel supports:

(IV) Biological Character	Try biological characteriotics. Charmor capports.							
Tributary Name	Riparian Corridor	Characteristics	Wetland Fringe	Characteristics	Habitat			
Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072	X	There is a small oak corridor surrounding the pond.	-	-	-			

- 2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
- (i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Not Applicable.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is:

Not Applicable.

Surface flow is:

Not Applicable.

Subsurface flow:

Not Applicable.

2/5/2009 4:23 PM 4 of 7

n	RM	1 Pr	inter	Frien	dlv	ID	Form
U	'IXIV	1 F I.	me	LITE	uιν	JD	T'UIII.

(c) Wetland Adjacency	Determination	with	Non-TNW:
Not Applicable.			

# (d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Not Applicable.

#### (ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

#### (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:

Not Applicable.

#### 3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

Not Applicable.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and thefunctions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of waterin the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance(e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

#### 1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Not Applicable.

#### 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Wetland Name	Flow	Explain
Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072	PERENNIAL	Pond-1 and Pond-2 contain water year round.

### Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
Pond-1, 2; 2007-01072	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	10262.826816
Total:		0	10262.826816

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: <sup>8</sup> Not Applicable.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:  Not Applicable.
4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Not Applicable.
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.
5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:  Not Applicable.
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:  Not Applicable.
6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.
7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters: <sup>9</sup> Not Applicable.
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTIONOF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10 Not Applicable.
Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.
F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
<ul> <li>Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based sdey on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):</li> <li>Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):</li> </ul>

There is no visible surface or subsurface connection of VP-1, SW-1 or SW-2 to any uplands. There is no outlet from these wetlands to any waters. Therefore, there is no			ters. These wetlands are small, man-mae depressions that were constructed within and entirely surrounded by
Other (Explain):			
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, who irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:  Not Applicable.	ere the sole poter	itial basis of jurisdiction	is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of waterfor
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that Not Applicable.	t do not meet the	"Significant Nexus" stan	dard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.
SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.			
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD  (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference.)	ce below):		
Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description	
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-	
			,
B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Not Applicable.			
Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below	<i>.</i>		

- 2-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).
- 3-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.
- 4-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.
- 5-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.
- 6-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
- 7<sub>-Ibid.</sub>
- 8-See Footnote #3.
- 9 -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
- 10-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

2/5/2009 4:23 PM 7 of 7