APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

JD Status: DRAFT

| SECTION I: BACKGROUND INF | ORMATION | _ | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR | R APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 14-Apr-2009 | | | | | |
| B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AI | ND NUMBER: Sacramento District, SPK-2009-00339-JD1 | | | | | |
| C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACK | GROUND INFORMATION: | | | | | |
| State : | CA - California | | | | | |
| County/parish/borough: | Merced | | | | | |
| City: | | | | | | |
| Lat: | 37.03686863037355 | | | | | |
| Long: | -121.09394245086476 | | | | | |
| Universal Transverse Mercator | Folder UTM List | | | | | |
| | UTM list determined by folder location | | | | | |
| | NAD83 / UTM zone 37S | | | | | |
| | Waters UTM List | | | | | |
| | UTM list determined by waters location | | | | | |
| Name of managed works also also | NAD83 / UTM zone 37S On Lyie Programia | | | | | |
| Name of nearest waterbody: | San Luis Reservoir | | | | | |
| Name of nearest Traditional Navigat | nit Code (HUC): Panoche-San Luis Reservoir. California., 18040014 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Check if map/diagram of review a | Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. | | | | | |
| Check if other sites (e.g., offsite n form. | nitigation sites, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD | | | | | |
| D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE I | EVALUATION: | | | | | |
| ✓ Office Determination Date: 14- | Apr-2009 | | | | | |
| | .p. 2000 | | | | | |
| Field Determination Date(s): | | | | | | |
| | | , | | | | |
| SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINE | DINGS | _ | | | | |
| A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATIO | ON OF JURISDICTION | | | | | |
| There [] "navigable waters of the U.S. | " within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. | | | | | |
| Waters subject to the eb | b and flow of the tide. | | | | | |
| Waters are presently use | ed, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign | | | | | |
| commerce. | a, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign | | | | | |
| Explain: | | | | | | |
| D OWA OFFICE AND DETERMINAT | ION OF HIDIODICTION | | | | | |
| B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINAT | | | | | | |
| There are "waters of the U.S." within | Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. | , | | | | |
| 1. Waters of the U.S. | 4 | | | | | |
| a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. | | | | | | |
| Water Name | Water Type(s) Present | | | | | |
| SPK-2009-00339, San Luis Reservior | Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Area: 52609134 (m²)

| Linear: (m) | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| c. Limits (boundaries) of j | jurisdiction: | |
| based on: Establis OHWM Elevation: 554 (if I | shed by OHWM. known) | |
| 2. Non-regulated waters/w | vetlands: ³ | |
| Potentially jurisdictional | waters and/or wetlands wer | e assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain: |
| SECTION III: CWA AN | NALYSIS | |
| A. TNWs AND WETLANI | DS ADJACENT TO TNWs | , |
| 1.TNW Not Applicable. | | |
| 2. Wetland Adjacent to TN Not Applicable. | w | |
| B. CHARACTERISTICS OF | F TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NO | T A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY): |
| 1. Characteristics of non- | TNWs that flow directly or in | ndirectly into TNW |
| (i) General Area Condition | ns: | |
| Watershed size: | | |
| Drainage area: | [] | |
| Average annual rainfall: Average annual snowfall: | | |
| (ii) Physical Characteristic | | |
| (a) Relationship with TNW | l: | |
| Tributary flows directly | into TNW. | |
| Tributary flows through :Number of tributaries | h [] tributaries before entering | TNW. |
| Project waters are [] rive | r miles from TNW. | |
| Project waters are [] rive | r miles from RPW. | |
| Project Waters are [] aeri | ial (straight) miles from TNW. | |
| Project waters are [] aeri | ial(straight) miles from RPW. | |
| Project waters cross of | or serve as state boundaries. | |
| Explain: | | |
| Identify flow route to TNV | N: ⁵ | |

Tributary Stream Order, if known:

| Order | Tributary Name | |
|-------|------------------------------------|--|
| - | SPK-2009-00339, San Luis Reservior | |

(b) General Tributary Characteristics: Tributary is:

| mbddiy io. | | | | | | |
|------------|---------------------------------------|---------|------------|---------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Tributary Name | Natural | Artificial | Explain | Manipulated | Explain |
| | SPK-2009-00339, San Luis Reservior | - | - | - | Х | Impoundment. Water is pumped into the reservoir from the California Aqueduct and Delta Mendota Canal. |

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

| Tributary Name | Width (ft) | Depth (ft) | Side Slopes |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| SPK-2009-00339, San Luis Reservior | - | - | - |

Primary tributary substrate composition:

| | Tributary Name | Silt | Sands | Concrete | Cobble | Gravel | Muck | Bedrock | Vegetation | Other |
|------|--------------------------------|------|-------|----------|--------|--------|------|---------|------------|-------|
| SPK- | 2009-00339, San Luis Reservior | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

| Tributary Name | Condition\Stability | Run\Riffle\Pool Complexes | Geometry | Gradient (%) |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------|--------------|
| SPK-2009-00339, San Luis Reservior | - | - | - | - |

(c) Flow:

| Tributary Name | Provides for | Events Per Year | Flow Regime | Duration & Volume |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| SPK-2009-00339, San Luis Reservior | Perennial flow | - | Water releases from the reservoir enter the O'Niell forebay and the California Aqueduct | - |

Surface Flow is:

| Tributary Name | Surface Flow | Characteristics |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| SPK-2009-00339, San Luis Reservior | - | - |

Subsurface Flow:

| Tributary Name | Subsurface Flow | Explain Findings | Dye (or other) Test | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------------------|--|
| SPK-2009-00339, San Luis Reservior | - | - | - | |

Tributary has:

| Tributary Name | Bed & Banks | OHWM | Discontinuous OHWM ⁷ | Explain |
|------------------------------------|-------------|------|------------------------------------|---------|
| SPK-2009-00339, San Luis Reservior | - | - | - | - |

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by:

Not Applicable.

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

Not Applicable.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.).

| Tributary Name | Explain | Identify specific pollutants, if known |
|------------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------------|
| SPK-2009-00339, San Luis Reservior | - | - |

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

| Tributary Name | Riparian Corridor | Characteristics | Wetland Fringe | Characteristics | Habitat |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------|
| SPK-2009-00339, San Luis Reservior | - | - | - | - | - |

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Not Applicable.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is:

Not Applicable.

Surface flow is:

Not Applicable.

Subsurface flow:

Not Applicable.

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Not Applicable.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Not Applicable.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:

Not Applicable.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

| Wetland Name | Flow | Explain |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| SPK-2009-00339, San Luis Reservior | PERENNIAL | Perennial reservoir with seasonal draw down due to water releases and hydroelectric production. |

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

| Wetland Name | Туре | Size (Linear) (m) | Size (Area) (m²) |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| SPK-2009-00339, San Luis Reservior | Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs | - | 52609128 |
| Total: | | 0 | 52609128 |

| 3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: ⁸ Not Applicable. |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: |
| Not Applicable. |
| 4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Not Applicable. |
| Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable. |
| 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable. |
| Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable. |
| 6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable. |
| Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable. |
| 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters: ⁹ Not Applicable. |
| E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10 Not Applicable. |
| Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable. |
| Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable. |
| F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS |
| If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements: |
| Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce: |
| Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR): |
| Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain): |
| Other (Explain): |
| Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment: Not Applicable. |
| Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable. |
| SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES. |

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

| Data Reviewed | Source Label | Source Description |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant | San Luis Reservoir State Recreation Area | Map of San Luis Reservoir and O'Niell Forebay |
| U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas | - | - |
| USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps | 18040014 | Panoche-San Luis Reservoir. California |
| U.S. Geological Survey map(s). | CA- San Luis Dam | USGS 7.5 minute 1:24K topo |
| Other information | California Department of Water Resources | California Data Exchange Center: http://cdec.water.ca.gov/cgi-progs/profile? s=SLF&type=dam |



B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable.

¹-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²⁻For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

 $^{^{3}\}mbox{-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.$

⁴-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶⁻A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.
7-lbid.

⁸-See Footnote #3.

 $^{^{9}}$ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰⁻Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

