# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BAC	KGROUND INFORMATION	
A. REPORT COMP	LETION DATE FOR APPROVED	JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 22-Apr-2009
B. DISTRICT OFFIC	CE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:	Sacramento District, SPK-2008-00555-JD1
C. PROJECT LOCA	ATION AND BACKGROUND INFO	ORMATION:
State :		CA - California
County/parish/bor	ough:	Fresno
City:		Firebaugh
Lat:		36.8600797146913
Long:		-120.453886895361
Universal Transve	rse Mercator	Folder UTM List
		UTM list determined by folder location
		NAD83 / UTM zone 37S  Waters UTM List
		UTM list determined by waters location
		NAD83 / UTM zone 37S
Name of nearest w	vaterbodv:	San Joaquin River
	raditional Navigable Water (TN\	·
Name of watershe	d or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC	C): Middle San Joaquin-Lower Chowchilla, 18040001
Check if map/o	diagram of review area and/or pote	ential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other form.	sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites,	disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD
D. REVIEW PERFO	RMED FOR SITE EVALUATION	:
✓ Office Determine	nation Date: 22-Apr-2009	
Field Determin	lation Date(s):	
SECTION II: SUN	MARY OF FINDINGS	
A. RHA SECTION 1	0 DETERMINATION OF JURISD	DICTION
There are "navigab	le waters of the U.S." within River	s and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
Wate	rs subject to the ebb and flow of th	ne tide.
Wate commerce		en used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign
		previously and is currently used for navigation.
B. CWA SECTION	404 DETERMINATION OF JURIS	SDICTION.
There [1 "waters of	of the U.S." within Clean Water Ac	et (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.
1. Waters of the U.S.		
a. Indicate presence	of waters of U.S. in review area	<u>:</u> 1
Water Name	Water Type(s) Present	
San Joaquin River	TNWs, including territorial seas	
o. Identify (estimate)	size of waters of the U.S. in the	e review area:
Area: (m²)		

https://orm.usace.army.mil/orm2/f?p=106:34:2563088862003661::NO::

**Linear:** 113 (m)

c. Limits (k	boundaries) of	jurisdiction:
--------------	----------------	---------------

based on: Established by OHWM.

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:3

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

**SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS** A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

#### 1.TNW

TNW Name	Summarize rationale supporting determination:	
San Joaquin	The San Joaquin River has been determined to navigable at this location and subject to Section 10 of the Rivers and	
River	Harbors Act of 1899	

### 2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW

Not Applicable.

- B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
- 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:			
Watershed size:	[]		
Drainage area:	[]		
Average annual rainfall:	inches		
Average annual snowfall:	inches		

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.	
Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TN	1W

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are [] river miles from TNW.
Project waters are [] river miles from RPW.
Project Waters are [] aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are [] aerial(straight) miles from RPW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

Identify flow route to TNW:5

# Tributary Stream Order, if known:

Not Applicable.

# (b) General Tributary Characteristics:

Tributary is:

Not Applicable.

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Not Applicable.

Primary tributary substrate composition:

Not Applicable.

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Not Applicable.
(c) Flow: Not Applicable.
Surface Flow is: Not Applicable.
Subsurface Flow: Not Applicable.
Tributary has: Not Applicable.
If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:
High Tide Line indicated by: Not Applicable.
Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Not Applicable.
(iii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.
(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports: Not Applicable.
2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i) Physical Characteristics: (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Not Applicable.
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
Flow is: Not Applicable.
Surface flow is: Not Applicable.
Subsurface flow: Not Applicable.
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Not Applicable.
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW: Not Applicable.
(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.
(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports: Not Applicable.
3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

Not Applicable.

# C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

#### D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

#### 1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Wetland Name Type		Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
San Joaquin River	TNWs, including territorial seas	112.776	-
Total:		112.776	0

### 2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:8

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area:

Not Applicable.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters:9

Not Applicable.

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10 Not Applicable.

Identify water	body and	summarize	rationale	supporting	determination:
Not Applicable.					

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.

#### F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):
Other (Explain):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment:

Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.

Not Applicable.

#### SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

#### A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	Riverbank Repair Firebaugh	Figure 2, 5/8/08, Live Oak Associates, Inc.

# **B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:**

Not Applicable.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>2-</sup>For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

<sup>4-</sup>Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>5-</sup>Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

<sup>6-</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7-</sup>Ibid.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>-See Footnote #3.

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$  -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>10-</sup>Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.









