APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 09-Sep-2008

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Sacramento District, SPK-2005-00419-JD3

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

State: CA - California

County/parish/borough: Lake

City: Middletown (historical)

Lat: 38.754583 Long: -122.58651

Universal Transverse Mercator: [

Name of nearest waterbody: Putah Creek
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW): Sacramento River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 18020117

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION:

Office Determination Date: 26-Aug-2008

02-Apr-2008

Field Determination Date

(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION

There [] "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.

Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There [] "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area:1

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
RPW -Tributary	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Area: 419.81 (m²)

Linear: (m)

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:

based on: 1987 Delineation

Manual.

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

1.TNW

Not Applicable.

2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW

Not Applicable.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 5509 acres

Drainage area: 419 acres

Average annual rainfall: 30 inches

Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics

(a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are 30 (or more) river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are 30 (or more) aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

Explain:

Drainage at the southern end of project site's waters do not cross any state boundaries.

Identify flow route to TNW:⁵ Drainage flows northwest into Putah Creek.

Tributary Stream Order, if known:

Order	Tributary Name
2	RPW -Tributary

(b) General Tributary Characteristics:

Tributary is:

Tributary Name	Natural	Artificial	Explain	Manipulated	Explain
RPW -Tributary	Х	-	-	Х	Drainage has been diverted after it leaves the project boundary to feed into Long Valley Creek

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Tributary Name	Width (ft)	Depth (ft)	Side Slopes
RPW -Tributary	2.5		2:1

Primary tributary substrate composition:

	Tributary Name	Silt	Sands	Concrete	Cobble	Gravel	Muck	Bedrock	Vegetation	Other
RP۱	W -Tributary	Х	Х	-	-	Х	Х	-	-	-

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Tributary Name	Condition\Stability	Run\Riffle\Pool Complexes	Geometry	Gradient (%)
RPW -Tributary	Drainage is stable with no eroding banks.	No run/riffle pools are associated with this drainage system.	Meandering	.2

(c) Flow:

Tributary Name	Provides for	Events Per Year	Flow Regime	Duration & Volume
RPW -Tributary	Ephemeral flow	2-5	Water flow is during wet seasons. Water flows northwest and into Long Valley Creek. Water is slow moving with slight meandering.	-

Surface Flow is:

Tributary Name	Surface Flow	Characteristics
RPW -Tributary	Confined	Water is confined to drainage. The drainage captures water from nearby wetlands and then flows into Long Valley Creek.

Subsurface Flow:

Tributary Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
RPW -Tributary	Unknown	-	-

Tributary has:

Tributary Name	Bed & Banks	OHWM	Discontinuous OHWM ⁷	Explain
RPW -Tributary	X	X	-	-

Tributaries with OHWM⁶ - (as indicated above)

Tributary Name	OHWM	Clear	Litter	Changes in Soil	Destruction Vegetation	Shelving	Wrack Line	Matted\Absent Vegetation	Sediment Sorting	Leaf Litter	Scour	Sediment Deposition	Flow Events	Water Staining	Changes Plant	Other
RPW -Tributary	Х	Х	X	Х	X	-	-	X	-	X	Х	Х	X	Х	Х	-

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by:

Not Applicable.

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

Not Applicable.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Tributary Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
RPW -Tributary	Water is clear in drainage.	No known pollutants have been identified within project sites waters.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

` '		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Tributary N	lame Ri _l	parian Corridor	Characteristics	Wetland Fringe	Characteristics	Habitat	
RPW -Tributary		-	-	-	-	-	

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Not Applicable.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is:

Not Applicable.

Surface flow is:

Not Applicable.

Subsurface flow:

Not Applicable.

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Not Applicable.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Not Applicable.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:

Not Applicable.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Findings for: RPW -Tributary

Drainage 2 flows into Long Valley Creek. Long Valley Creek is an RPW that flows into Putah Creek. Putah Creek flows into the

Yolo Bypass, which flows into the Sacramento River Water Channel, a traditional navigable water of the US.

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Wetland Name	Flow	Evalain
Welland Name	FIOW	Explain
	I)	
DDM/ Tributory	CEVCUNIVI	Water flows parthwest off of project site and into Long Valley Crook, Water is present in draining during the west account and in dry most of the year.
ILL M - HIDUIALA	DEAGUNAL	ivvaler nows northwest on or project site and into Long valley Greek, water is present in drainage during the wet season, and is dry most of the year.
RPW -Tributary	SEASONAL	Water flows northwest off of project site and into Long Valley Creek. Water is present in drainage during the wet season, and is dry most of the ye

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
RPW -Tributary	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	8219.164536
Total:		0	8219.164536

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:8

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

Not Applicable.

M Printer Friendly JD Form
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.
5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.
Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.
6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.
7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters: ⁹ Not Applicable.
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10 Not Applicable.
Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.
F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):
Other (Explain):

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment: Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction.

Not Applicable.

SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	elineation of Waters of US for Steil Property, July 2007	-
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	elineation of Waters of US for Steil Property, July 2007	-
Photographs	Delineation of Waters of U.S. for Steil Property, July 2007	-
Photographs	elineation of Waters of US for Steil Property, July 2007	-

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable.

¹-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

^{7&}lt;sub>-Ibid</sub>

⁸⁻See Footnote #3.

⁹ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰⁻Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.