APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

OLOTION II DAGGIOGIAD IIII ORIIIA								
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 28-Oct-2008								
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Sacramento District, SPK-2008-01426-DC-JD1								
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFO	ORMATION:							
State :	CO - Colorado							
County/parish/borough:	Dolores							
City:	Dolores							
Lat:	37.75719							
Long:	-108.1274976							
Universal Transverse Mercator	Folder UTM List							
	UTM list determined by folder location							
	NAD83 / UTM zone 37S							
	Waters UTM List UTM list determined by waters location							
	NAD83 / UTM zone 37S							
Name of nearest waterbody:	Dolores River							
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW):	Dolores River							
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):	14030002							
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION	oosal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.							
Office Determination Date:								
Field Determination Date(s):								
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS								
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISD	ICTION							
There [] "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers	and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.							
Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide	e.							
Waters are presently used, or have been use Explain:	ed in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.							
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURIS	EDICTION.							

Thora []	1 1111111111111111111111111111111111111	" within Class Mater	Λ at (C\Λ\Λ\) :ia diation	/aa dafinad by 22 CED nort	220) in the review area
rnere i	r waters of the 0.5.	within Clean water	ACL (CVVA) Jurisdiction	(as defined by 33 CFR part	328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area: 1

Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
200801426	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
Area: (m²) Linear: (m)
c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:
based on: [] OHWM Elevation: (if known)
2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³
Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review areaand determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS
A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs
1.TNW Not Applicable.
2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW Not Applicable.
B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: [] Drainage area: [] Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches
(ii) Physical Characteristics (a) Relationship with TNW:
Tributary flows directly into TNW.
Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW.

:Number of tributaries

Project waters are [] river miles from TNW.

Project waters are [] river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are [] aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are [] aerial(straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.

Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW:⁵

Tributary Stream Order, if known:

Order	Tributary Name
-	200801426

(b) General Tributary Characteristics:

Tributary is:

Tributary Name	Natural	Artificial	Explain	Manipulated	Explain
200801426	-	-	-	-	-

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Tributary Name	Width (ft)	Depth (ft)	Side Slopes
200801426	-	-	-

Primary tributary substrate composition:

Tributary Name	Silt	Sands	Concrete	Cobble	Gravel	Muck	Bedrock	Vegetation	Other
200801426	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Tributary Name	Condition\Stability	Run\Riffle\Pool Complexes	Geometry	Gradient (%)
200801426	-	-	-	-

(c) Flow:

Tributary Name	Provides for	Events Per Year	Flow Regime	Duration & Volume
200801426	-	-	-	-

Surface Flow is:

Tributary Name	Surface Flow	Characteristics
200801426	-	-

Subsurface Flow:

Tributary Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
200801426	-	-	-

Tributary has:

Tributary Name	Bed & Banks	онwм	Discontinuous OHWM ⁷	Explain
200801426	-	-	-	-

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by:

Not Applicable.

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

Not Applicable.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Tributary Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
200801426	-	-

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

Tributary Name	Riparian Corridor	Characteristics	Wetland Fringe	Characteristics	Habitat
200801426	-	-	-	-	-

- 2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
- (i) Physical Characteristics:
- (a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Not Applicable.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is:

Not Applicable.

Surface flow is:

Not Applicable.

Subsurface flow:

Not Applicable.

(c)	Wetland	Adjacency	Determination	with Non-TNW:
-----	---------	------------------	---------------	---------------

Not Applicable.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Not Applicable.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:

Not Applicable.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Not Applicable.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
200801426	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	404.6856
Total:		0	404.6856

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into T	NWs: ⁸
Not Applicable.	

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters: 9
Not Applicable.

E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS:¹⁰ Not Applicable.

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.			
F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS			
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:	the 1987 Corps o	f Engineers Wetland	
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce) :		
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been re Rule" (MBR):	gulated based sole	ey on the "Migratory Bir	t
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdict	on (Explain):		
Other (Explain):			
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole perfectors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for in professional judgment: Not Applicable.	•		
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet	the "Significant N	lexus" standard, wher	·e
such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable.			
SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.			
A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately referen	ce below):		
Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description	Ī
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-	1

---Waps, plans, plots of plat submitted by of on behalf of the applicant/consultant ---U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas ----USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps ---U.S. Geological Survey map(s). --Photographs - - -

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

----Aerial

Description	
This determination is for the West Fork of the Dolor	es River.

¹-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through thereview area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practics). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a αlivert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷-Ibid.

⁸⁻See Footnote #3.

 $^{^{9}}$ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.