APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMA	TION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED	JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 04-Jun-2008
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER:	Sacramento District, SPK-2007-02139-JD6
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFO	ORMATION:
State :	CA - California
County/parish/borough:	Butte
City:	Chico
Lat:	39.6418693922974
Long:	-121.647738261647
Universal Transverse Mercator:	
Name of nearest waterbody:	Clear Creek
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW)	: Sacramento River
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC):	Lower Sacramento River
Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potentia	al jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. posal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded on a different JD form.
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISD	
There [] "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers	and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area.
Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tid	e.
Waters are presently used, or have been us Explain:	ed in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce.
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURIS	SDICTION.

6/17/2008 12:55 PM 1 of 8

There [] "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area.

1. Waters of the U.S.

a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area: 1

a. maicate present	or waters or o.o. in review area.
Water Name	Water Type(s) Present
Intermittent	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review are	b. le	dentify	(estimate)	size of	waters	of the	U.S.	in the	review	area
---	-------	---------	------------	---------	--------	--------	------	--------	--------	------

Area: 43.547 (m²) Linear: 10.887 (m)

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:

based on:

1987 Delineation Manual.

OHWM Elevation: (if known)

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands:³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review areaand determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

1.TNW

Not Applicable.

2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW

Not Applicable.

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: []
Drainage area: []
Average annual rainfall: inches
Average annual snowfall: inches

(ii) Physical Characteristics

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.	
Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TN	1W
:Number of tributaries	

Project waters are [] river miles from TNW.

Project waters are [] river miles from RPW.

Project Waters are [] aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are [] aerial(straight) miles from RPW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.
Explain:
Identify flow route to TNW: ⁵

Tributary Stream Order, if known:

Order	Tributary Name
4	Intermittent

(b) General Tributary Characteristics:

Tributary is:

Tributary Name	Natural	Artificial	Explain	Manipulated	Explain
Intermittent	-	Х	Highly disturbed swales that have been channelized to divert water from near by agricultural fields and homes away from road.	-	-

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Tributary Name	Width (ft)	Depth (ft)	Side Slopes	
Intermittent	4	2	2:1	

Primary tributary substrate composition:

Tributary Name	Silt	Sands	Concrete	Cobble	Gravel	Muck	Bedrock	Vegetation	Other
Intermittent	Х	-	-	-	-	Х	-	X	-

Vegetation Explained:

Tributary Name	Percent Cover	Vegetation Explained
Intermittent	25	annual grasses

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Tributary Name	Condition\Stability	Run\Riffle\Pool Complexes	Geometry	Gradient (%)
Intermittent	This feature (OW04) was dry during the October 2007 site visit, however, evidence of hydrology was observed. Bed and bank, algal matting, and vegetation were present.	No presence of riffle/ run/ pool complexes. This feature was dry during the October 2007 site visit.	Relatively straight	2

(c) Flow:

Tributary Name	Provides for	Events Per Year	Flow Regime	Duration & Volume
Intermittent	Intermittent but not seasonal flow	6-10	Intermittent feature 0W04 has water present during wet season and is known to retain water for several days after a precipitation event.	-

Surface Flow is:

Tributary Name	Surface Flow	Characteristics		
Intermittent	Confined	Surface flow is confined to the ephemeral drainage and intermittent stream, which flowsinto the unnamed tributary.		

Subsurface Flow:

Tributary Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or other) Test
Intermittent	Unknown	-	-

Tributary has:

Tributary Name	Bed & Banks	онwм	Discontinuous OHWM ⁷	Explain
Intermittent	X	X	-	-

Tributaries with OHWM⁶ - (as indicated above)

Tributary Name	онwм	Clear	Litter	Changes in Soil	Destruction Vegetation	Shelving	Wrack Line	Matted\Absent Vegetation	Sediment Sorting	Leaf Litter	Scour	Sediment Deposition	Flo
Intermittent	Χ	-	Х	Χ	-	-	-	X	-	Х	-	-	

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by:

Not Applicable.

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

Not Applicable.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Tributary Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if known
Intermittent	Water was not present during October 2007 site visit.	No known pollutants documented.

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

Tributary Name	Riparian Corridor	Characteristics	Wetland Fringe	Characteristics	Habitat
Intermittent	-	-	-	-	-

2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

(i) Physical Characteristics:

(a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Not Applicable.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Not Applicable.
Surface flow is: Not Applicable.
Subsurface flow: Not Applicable.
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW: Not Applicable.
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW: Not Applicable.
(ii) Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Not Applicable.
(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports: Not Applicable.
3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any): All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis: Not Applicable.
Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Wetland Name Flow Explain		
Intermittent	PERENNIAL	Feature OW02 is an ephemeral drainage that flow east directly into OW04, an intermittent drainage area. These both flow into an un-names tributary that flows southeast into Dry Creek.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linear) (m)	Size (Area) (m²)
Intermittent	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-	4.046856
Total:		0	4.046856

3. Non-RPWs that flow	directly or	rindirectly	into	TNWs: ⁸
Not Applicable.				

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.
7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters: ⁹ Not Applicable.
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: 10 Not Applicable.
Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.
F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):
Other (Explain):
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment: Not Applicable.
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable.
SECTION IV: DATA SOURCES.

7 of 8

A. SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD

(listed items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference below):

Data Reviewed	Source Label	Source Description
Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant	-	-
Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report	-	-
Photographs	-	-
Other	-	-

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Not Applicable.

¹-Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

³-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through thereview area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practics). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a α/lvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

^{7&}lt;sub>-Ibid</sub>.

⁸-See Footnote #3.

 $^{^{9}}$ -To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.