# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

## A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): April 21, 2008

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE	FILE NAME.	AND NUMBER:Sac	ramento: Camping	2 World (	Duinn Road:	SPK-2008-00332
----	-----------------	------------	----------------	------------------	-----------	-------------	----------------

c.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Ditch A State: California County/parish/borough: Solano City: Vacaville Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.392453° N, Long121.930954° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator: 10 Name of nearest waterbody: Ditch A  Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Cache Slough Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 18020109  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ○ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 17, 2008  Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the iew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: 710 linear feet: 11 width (ft) and/or 0.19 acres.  Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM.  Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): <sup>3</sup> Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional Explain:  .

## **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

## A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW Identify TNW:	
	Summarize rationale supporting determination:	
2.	Wetland adjacent to TNW	

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

## B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

<b>(i)</b>	Watershed Drainage a Average an	size: Lower Sacramento River- 1720 square miles  urea: 6.44 acres  unual rainfall: 16-22 inches  unual snowfall: 0 inches
(ii)	(a) Relati	Characteristics:  tonship with TNW:  tibutary flows directly into TNW.  tibutary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.  the waters are 15-20 river miles from TNW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 15-20 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.  the waters are 1 (or less) river mi
		ral Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):  tary is: Natural

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	<ul><li>☑ Artificial (man-made). Explain: Part of irrigation drain system.</li><li>☑ Manipulated (man-altered). Explain: .</li></ul>
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: 11 feet  Average depth: 2 feet  Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: grasses cover about 40% Other. Explain: debris.
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: stable. Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: none. Tributary geometry: <b>Relatively straight</b> Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 0-1 %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 2-5 Describe flow regime: seasonally inundated. Other information on duration and volume:
Road.	Surface flow is: <b>Discrete and confined.</b> Characteristics: flow maintained in ditch then passes into culvert under Quinn
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation  shelving the presence of wrack line sediment sorting sediment sorting  vegetation matted down, bent, or absent sediment sorting sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events abrupt change in plant community  other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):    High Tide Line indicated by:
Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: .tify specific pollutants, if known:
(iv) Biol	Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Eucalyptus and elderberry.  Wetland fringe. Characteristics:  Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings: elderberry is potential habitat for valley elderberry longhorn beetle.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

☐ Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: ☐ Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:  Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into  (i) Physical Characteristics:  (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres	ΓNW
Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into  (i) Physical Characteristics:  (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres	ΓNW
(a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres	
(a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres	
Properties: Wetland size: acres	
Wetland type. Explain:	
Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:	
(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:	
Flow is: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain: .	
Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:	
Subsurface flow: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:	
(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:	
Directly abutting	
□ Not directly abutting	
☐ Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: ☐ Ecological connection. Explain:	
Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:	
(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW	
Project wetlands are <b>Pick List</b> river miles from TNW.  Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> aerial (straight) miles from TNW.	
Flow is from: <b>Pick List.</b>	
Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the <b>Pick List</b> floodplain.	
(ii) Chemical Characteristics:	
Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; wat	er quality; general watershed
characteristics; etc.). Explain:	
Identify specific pollutants, if known:	
(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):	
Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):	
Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: .	
Habitat for:	
☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: ☐ Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:	
Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .	
Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .	
Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)	
All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: <b>Pick List</b> Approximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis	
For each wetland, specify the following:	
<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u> <u>Directly abuts? (Y/N</u>	Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

2.

3.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook, Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  ☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:  ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Ditch A connects to the Solano Irrigation District drain system. Ditch A receives flow from rainfall during the rainy season (winter-spring) and also previously from Kilkenny Canal during the rainy season and during the irrigation season (summer-fall) when water could pass down the ditch then drain into the Horse Creek flood control channel, which drains into Ulatis Creek then into Cache Slough, a TNW.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: 710 linear feet11width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

<sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.  As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
SUC	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.
NO	N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.

E.

F.

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$  To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

		Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	facto	ride acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional ment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
		NIV: DATA SOURCES.
<b>4.</b> S		PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
	$\boxtimes$	requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:LSA Associates, Inc.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
	$\boxtimes$	☐ USGS NHD data. ☐ USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps. U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Allendale 7.5 minute quad. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Solano County Soil Survey, 1977. National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
		State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Airphoto USA 2007.
		or  Other (Name & Date): .  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: .  Applicable/supporting case law: .  Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .  Other information (please specify): .
		one momator (please specify).

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** Ditch A is 710 feet long and approximately 11 feet wide, for a total of 0.19 acre. The ditch drains under Quinn Road and Interstate Highway 80 to the southeast. Ditch A connects to the Solano Irrigation District drainage system. Ditch A receives flow from rainfall during the rainy season (winter-spring) and also historically from Kilkenny Canal during the irrigation season (summer-fall) when water could pass down the ditch then drain into the Horse Creek flood control channel, which drains into Ulatis Creek then into Cache Slough, a TNW.

# APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

## A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): April 21, 2008

В.	DISTRICT OFFICE	FILE NAME.	AND NUMBER:Sac	ramento: Camping	2 World (	Duinn Road:	SPK-2008-00332
----	-----------------	------------	----------------	------------------	-----------	-------------	----------------

С.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Ditch B State: California County/parish/borough: Solano City: Vacaville Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.392453° N, Long121.930954° W.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 17, 2008 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the lew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  Relatively permanent waters <sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	<b>b.</b> Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: 730 linear feet: 3 width (ft) and/or 0.054 acres. Wetlands: 0.003 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by mean (average) high waters. Elevation of established OHWM (if known):
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable): <sup>3</sup> Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional Explain:

## **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

## A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

## B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

Watershed size: Lower Sacramento River- 1720 **square miles** Drainage area: 1.3 **acres** 

Average annual rainfall: 16-22 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

### (ii) Physical Characteristics:

#### (a) Relationship with TNW:

Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 15-20 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are **1** (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 15-20 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: Ditch B flows under Quinn Road and I 80, through a culvert and into the Solano Irrigation District drain system, then drains into the Horse Creek flood control channel, which drains into Ulatis Creek, then into Cache Slough, a TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known: 1.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

**Tributary** is: Natural

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

1	Artificial (man-made). Explain: Ditch is part of the Solano Irrigation District's irrigation and
drainage syste	m.  Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: 2 feet Average depth: 1 feet Average side slopes: 2:1.
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: Other. Explain: debris.
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: stable.  Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: none.  Tributary geometry: Relatively straight  Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 0-1 %
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 2-5 Describe flow regime: seasonally inundated. Other information on duration and volume:
	Surface flow is: <b>Discrete and confined.</b> Characteristics: .
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line sediment sorting leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):  High Tide Line indicated by: Oil or scum line along shore objects Fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) Physical markings/characteristics Other (list):  Mean High Water Mark indicated by: Survey to available datum; Physical markings; Vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types.
Cha	mical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: .tify specific pollutants, if known:
(iv) Biol	ogical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: 140 sq. ft. wetland located in Ditch B. Habitat for:  Federally Listed species. Explain findings:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

		☐ Fish/spawn areas. Expl.☐ Other environmentally-☐ Aquatic/wildlife divers	sensitive species. Expla	in findings:	
Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjace	nt to non-TNW that flo	w directly or indirectly into TNV	V
(i)			es seasonal with emergent sin:poor, weedy and part	ially buried with debris.	
	(b)	General Flow Relationship Flow is: Intermittent flow		into Solano Irrigation District drai	nage system then into Horse Creek.
		Surface flow is: <b>Discrete a</b> Characteristics: Appear	and confined stay within channel.		
		Subsurface flow: Unknow  Dye (or other) test p			
	(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determ  ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland h ☐ Ecological connect ☐ Separated by berm.	ydrologic connection. E ion. Explain: .		
	(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to Project wetlands are <b>15-20</b> Project waters are <b>15-20</b> a Flow is from: <b>Wetland to</b> Estimate approximate local	river miles from TNW. erial (straight) miles from navigable waters.	n TNW. a the <b>2-year or less</b> floodplain.	
(ii)	Cha		ain: ater is clear when flo	orown, oil film on surface; water qu ooded; water quality unknown; hea	ality; general watershed vily impacted/developed watershed.
(iii)	Bio	logical Characteristics. W Riparian buffer. Character Vegetation type/percent co Habitat for: Federally Listed specie. Fish/spawn areas. Expl. Other environmentally- Aquatic/wildlife divers:	istics (type, average wid ver. Explain:primarily r s. Explain findings: ain findings: . sensitive species. Expla	th): non-native vegetation.	
Cha	All	eristics of all wetlands adja wetland(s) being considered proximately ( 0.003 ) acres in	in the cumulative analys		
	For	each wetland, specify the fo	llowing:		
		Directly abuts? (Y/N) SW1	Size (in acres) 0.003	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)

2.

3.

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: SW1 is a vegetated area inside a mostly unvegetated ditch (Ditch B). It may serve to retain moisture in the soil at this location.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  ☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:  ☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Ditch B connects to the Solano Irrigation District drain system. Ditch B receives flow from rainfall during the rainy season (winter-spring) and also previously from Kilkenny Canal during the rainy season and during the irrigation season (summer-fall) when water could pass down the ditch then drain into the Horse Creek flood control channel, which drains into Ulatis Creek then into Cache Slough, a TNW.  Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  ☐ Tributary waters: 730 linear feet3width (ft).  ☐ Other non-wetland waters:  ☐ acres.  ☐ Identify type(s) of waters:

3. Non-RPWs<sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

	Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	<ul> <li>Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</li> <li>Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.</li> <li>■ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:</li> <li>■ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: Ditch B passes through the seasonal wetland. Most of the wetland is located on the northeast bank of</li> </ul>
	the ditch.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: <b>0.003</b> acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
SUC	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.

E.

 $<sup>^{9}\,\</sup>mathrm{To}$  complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

F.	NO	N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):
		If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers
		Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.
		Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.
		Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the
		"Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).
		Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:
		Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	fact judg	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional gment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
	Prov	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such
		nding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):
		Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).
		Lakes/ponds: acres.
		Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .
		Wetlands: acres.
SEC	CTIO	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
	ar in	
Α.		PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked
	and	requested, appropriately reference sources below):
	otin	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:LSA Associates, Inc.
		Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.
		<b>=</b>
		Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:
	H	Corps navigable waters' study:
	Ħ	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
	_	USGS NHD data.
		USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.
	$\boxtimes$	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Allendale 7.5-minute quad.
		USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Solano County Soil Survery, 1977.
		National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: .
	Ħ	State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  .
	Ħ	FEMA/FIRM maps: .
		100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Airphoto USA 2007.
	_	or Other (Name & Date):digital photos provided by LSA.
		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:
		Applicable/supporting case law:
		Applicable/supporting scientific literature: .
		Other information (please specify):

**B.** ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Ditch B is 730 feet long and approximately 3 feet wide or 0.054 acre and seasonal wetland 1 is 0.003 acre for a total of 0.057 acre combined. The ditch drains under Quinn Road and Interstate Highway 80 to the southeast then flows into the Solano Irrigation District drainage system. Ditch B receives flow from rainfall during the rainy season (winter-spring) and also previously from Kilkenny Canal during the irrigation season (summer-fall) when water could pass down the ditch then drain into the Horse Creek flood control channel, which drains into Ulatis Creek then into Cache Slough, a TNW.

### APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): April 21, 2008 B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Sacramento, Camping World Quinn Road, SPK-2008-00332 C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: Kilkenny Canal State: California County/parish/borough: Solano City: Vacaville Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.392453° N, Long. -121.930954° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: 10 Name of nearest waterbody: Kilkenny Canal Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Cache Slough Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 18020109 Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request. Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form. D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 17, 2008 Field Determination. Date(s): **SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS** A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required] Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain: B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION. There Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required] 1. Waters of the U.S. a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): 1 TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters<sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs

#### b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:

Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Non-wetland waters: 500 linear feet: 3 width (ft) and/or 0.03 acres.

Wetlands: acres.

c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by mean (average) high waters.

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup>

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

#### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1.	TNW
----	-----

Identify TNW: .

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

## B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody<sup>4</sup> is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

#### 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

s:

Watershed size: Lower Sacramento River- 1720 **square miles** Drainage area: 3.49 **acres** 

Average annual rainfall: 16-22 inches Average annual snowfall: 0 inches

### (ii) Physical Characteristics:

#### (a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 15-20 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 15-20 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: Kilkenny Canal drains to a culvert under Leisure Town Road and then into the Solano Irrigation District drain system, then drains into the Horse Creek flood control channel, which drains into Ulatis Creek, then into Cache Slough, a TNW.

Tributary stream order, if known:

(h)	General '	Tributary	Charac	teristics	(check	all that	annly).
(0)	General	Tributary	Charac	teristics	teneck	an mai	abbiv);

**Tributary** is: Natural

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

agriculture.	⊠ Artificial (man-made). Explain: part of an irrigation and drainage system constructed for			
Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:				
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):  Average width: 3 feet  Average depth: 1 feet  Average side slopes: 2:1.			
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):  Silts Sands Concrete Cobbles Gravel Muck Bedrock Vegetation. Type/% cover: 80% grasses. Other. Explain: debris.			
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: stable.  Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: none.  Tributary geometry: Relatively straight  Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): 0-1 %			
(c)	Flow: Tributary provides for: Seasonal flow Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: 2-5 Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume: seasonally inundated.			
drainage syste	Surface flow is: <b>Discrete and confined.</b> Characteristics: the ditch carries water to culvert under Quinn Road into m.			
	Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings:  Dye (or other) test performed:			
	Tributary has (check all that apply):  Bed and banks  OHWM <sup>6</sup> (check all indicators that apply):  clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of wrack line vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition multiple observed or predicted flow events water staining abrupt change in plant community other (list):  Discontinuous OHWM. Explain:			
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply):  High Tide Line indicated by:  oil or scum line along shore objects fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings/characteristics physical markings/characteristics tidal gauges other (list):			
Cha	mical Characteristics: racterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). Explain: tify specific pollutants, if known:			
(iv) Biol	ogical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for:			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

			Federally Listed specie Fish/spawn areas. Expl Other environmentally Aquatic/wildlife divers	lain findings: -sensitive species. Explair	n findings:	
2.	Cha	ract	eristics of wetlands adjace	ent to non-TNW that flow	directly or indirectly into TNW	7
	(i)	•	Fisical Characteristics:  General Wetland Characte Properties:  Wetland size:  Wetland type. Explair  Wetland quality. Expl Project wetlands cross or s	res n: . ain: .	Explain: .	
		(b)	General Flow Relationship Flow is: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain Surface flow is: <b>Pick List</b>			
			Characteristics:			
			Subsurface flow: Pick Lis  Dye (or other) test			
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determ  ☐ Directly abutting ☐ Not directly abutting ☐ Discrete wetland h ☐ Ecological connec ☐ Separated by berm	ydrologic connection. Extion. Explain:	plain: .	
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to Project wetlands are Pick Project waters are Pick L. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate local	<b>List</b> river miles from TNV ist aerial (straight) miles from the front of the front	rom TNW.	
	(ii)	Cha	emical Characteristics: racterize wetland system (e characteristics; etc.). Expl ntify specific pollutants, if k	ain: .	own, oil film on surface; water qua	ality; general watershed
	(iii)		Riparian buffer. Character Vegetation type/percent co Habitat for: Federally Listed specie Fish/spawn areas. Expl Other environmentally Aquatic/wildlife divers	ristics (type, average width over. Explain: es. Explain findings: lain findings: -sensitive species. Explain	n):	
3.	Cha	All	eristics of all wetlands adj wetland(s) being considered proximately ( ) acres	d in the cumulative analysi		
		For	each wetland, specify the fo	ollowing:		
			Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
	Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Kilkinny Canal connects to the Solano Irrigation District drain system. It receives water flow from rainfall during the rainy season (winter-spring) and also historically upstream from water releases during the irrigation season (summer-fall) when water could pass down the ditch then drain into the Horse Creek flood control channel, which drains into Ulatis Creek then into Cache Slough, a TNW.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):
	Tributary waters: <b>500</b> linear feet <b>3</b> width (ft).
	Other non-wetland waters: acres.
	Identify type(s) of waters:

3. Non-RPWs<sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

<sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.

	Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE	OLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
Ide	entify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
Pro	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.

## F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

E.

 $<sup>^{9}\,\</sup>mathrm{To}$  complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
fact	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional ment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ading is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
SUPI and	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:LSA Associates, Inc.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: Allendale 7.5-minute.  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Solano County Soil Survey, 1977.  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Airphoto USA 2007.  or Other (Name & Date): digital photo provided by LSA.  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:  Applicable/supporting case law:
	Applicable/supporting scientific literature: Other information (please specify):

**B.** ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD: Kilkenny Canal is 500 feet long and approximately 3 feet wide, for a total of 0.03 acre. The ditch drains under Leisure Town Road and Interstate Highway 80 to the southeast. Kilkenny Canal connects to the Solano Irrigation District drainage system. Kilkenny Canal receives flow from rainfall during the rainy season (winter-spring) and also historically upstream from irrigation waters released during the irrigation season (summer-fall) when water could pass down the ditch then drain into the Horse Creek flood control channel, which drains into Ulatis Creek then into Cache Slough, a TNW.