Page 1 of 7 Jd Print Form

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers**

200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORM	MATION
A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROV	ZED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): 08-Apr-2008
B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBE	ER: Sacramento District, SPK-2008-00313-DC-JD1
C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND I	NFORMATION:
State:	CO - Colorado
County/parish/borough:	La Plata
City:	Red Mesa
Lat:	37.11523397
Long:	-108.20026175
Universal Transverse Mercator:	[]
Name of nearest waterbody:	La Plata River
Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TN	W): Animas River
Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC	C): 14080105
Check if map/diagram of review area and/or p	potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.
Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sit on a different JD form.	es, disposal sites, etc¿) are associated with the action and are recorded
D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION	ON:
✓ Office Determination Date: 07-Apr-2008	
Field Determination Date(s):	
SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDING	SS
A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JUR	ISDICTION
There [] "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers in the review area.	ers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329)
Waters subject to the ebb and flow of	the tide.
Waters are presently used, or have b or foreign commerce.	een used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate
Explain:	
B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JU	RISDICTION.
There [] "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water area.	Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review
1. Waters of the U.S.	
a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review	area:1
Water Name	Water Type(s) Present

Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

Jd Print Form Page 2 of 7

b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:
Area:
Linear: 35
c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction:
based on: Established by OHWM. OHWM Elevation: (if known)
2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands: ³
Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional
SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS
A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs
1.TNW
Not Applicable.
2. Wetland Adjacent to TNW
Not Applicable.
B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):
1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
(i) General Area Conditions:
Watershed size: [] Drainage area: []
Average annual rainfall: inches
Average annual snowfall: inches
(ii) Physical Characteristics
(a) Relationship with TNW:
Tributary flows directly into TNW.
Tributary flows through [] tributaries before entering TNW. :Number of tributaries
Project waters are [] river miles from TNW. Project waters are [] river miles from RPW.
Project Waters are [] aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
Project waters are [] aerial(straight) miles from RPW.
Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries.
Explain:
Identify flow route to TNW: ⁵
Tributary Stream Order, if known:
Order Tributary Name

Jd Print Form Page 3 of 7

3 200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance

(b) General Tributary Characteristics:

Tributary is:

Tributary Name	Natural	Artificial	Explain	Manipulated	Explain
200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance	-	-	-	Х	La Plata River contains numerous bridges and diversions.

Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate):

Tributary Name	Width (ft)	Depth (ft)	Si
200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance	50	3	3:1

Primary tributary substrate composition:

Tributary Name	Silt	Sands	Concrete	Cobble	Gravel	Muck	Bedrock	Vege
200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance	-	-	-	Х	-	-	-	

Tributary (conditions, stability, presence, geometry, gradient):

Tributary Name	Condition\Stability	Run\Riffle\Pool Complexes	Geometry
200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance	The channel is stable.	There are several riffle/pool complexes within the La Plata River.	Meanderin

(c) Flow:

Tributary Name	Provides for	Events Per Year	Flow Regime	Dura
200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance	Seasonal flow	1	The flow is perennial	-

Surface Flow is:

Tributary Name	Surface Flow	Chara
200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance	Discrete and confined	-

Subsurface Flow:

Tributary Name	Subsurface Flow	Explain Findings	Dye (or
200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance	Unknown	-	

Tributary has:

Tributary Name	Bed & Banks	OHWM	Discontinuous OHWM ⁷
200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance	-	Χ	-

Tributaries with OHWM⁶ - (as indicated above)

Tributary Name	OHWM	Clear	Litter	Changes in Soil	Destruction Vegetation	Shelving	Wrack Line	Matted\Absent Vegetation	Sediment Sorting	Leaf Litter	Scour
200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance	Х	Х	-	Х	х	Х	-	Х	-	-	Х

Jd Print Form Page 4 of 7

If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction:

High Tide Line indicated by:

Not Applicable.

Mean High Water Mark indicated by:

Not Applicable.

(iii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality;general watershed characteristics

Tributary Name	Explain	Identify specific pollutants, if know
200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance	The water color is clear.	There are no known pollutants

(iv) Biological Characteristics. Channel supports:

Tributary Name	Riparian Corridor	Characteristics	Wetland Fringe	Characterist
200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance	-	-	-	-

- 2. Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
- (i) Physical Characteristics:
- (a) General Wetland Characteristics:

Properties:

Not Applicable.

(b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:

Flow is:

Not Applicable.

Surface flow is:

Not Applicable.

Subsurface flow:

Not Applicable.

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Not Applicable.

(d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW:

Not Applicable.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film; water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.).

Not Applicable.

(iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports:

Not Applicable.

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any):

All wetlands being considered in the cumulative analysis:

Not Applicable.

Jd Print Form Page 5 of 7

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Not Applicable.

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Significant Nexus: Not Applicable

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE:

1. TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands:

Not Applicable.

2. RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:

Wetland Name	Flow	Explain	
200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance	PERENNIAL	La Plata River is a perennial waterway.	

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area:

Wetland Name	Туре	Size (Linea
200800313 Stream Gage Maintenance	Relatively Permanent Waters (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs	-
Total:		0

3. Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs:⁸ Not Applicable.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.

4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.

Page 6 of 7 Jd Print Form

6. Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs: Not Applicable.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: Not Applicable.
7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters: ⁹ Not Applicable.
E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS: ¹⁰ Not Applicable.
Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination: Not Applicable.
Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area: Not Applicable.
F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS. INCLUDING WETLANDS
If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements:
Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce:
Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based soley on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR):
Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (Explain):
Other (Explain):
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (ie., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment: Not Applicable.
Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Not Applicable.
¹ -Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

²-For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least ¿seasonally¿ (e.g., typically 3 months).

³-Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

⁴-Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

Jd Print Form Page 7 of 7

⁵-Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

⁶-A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody¿s flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

⁷-Ibid.

⁸⁻See Footnote #3.

⁹-To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰-Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.