## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

## A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): April 22, 2008

## B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Sacramento District, Glide 14-1 Exploratory Natural Gas Well Project, File # SPK-2007-02174

C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: California County/parish/borough: Yolo City: Davis  Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.541111° N, Long. 121.62° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator: 10
	Name of nearest waterbody: Ditch 1
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Sacramento River Deepwater Ship Channel Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 18020109
	Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 22, 2008 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	ere <b>Are no</b> "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the lew area. [Required]
	Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide. Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
B.	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	ere Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	<ul> <li>1. Waters of the U.S.</li> <li>a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): <sup>1</sup></li> <li>TNWs, including territorial seas</li> <li>Wetlands adjacent to TNWs</li> </ul>
	Relatively permanent waters <sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
	☐ Impoundments of jurisdictional waters ☐ Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: 510 linear feet: 20 foot width (ft) and/or 0.23 acres.  Wetlands: 2.673 acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM.  Elevation of established OHWM (if known): Not known.
	<ul> <li>Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):<sup>3</sup></li> <li>Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:</li> </ul>

### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

TINITATI

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

#### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

## 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

#### (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 1,720 square miles Drainage area: 92 square miles Average annual rainfall: 19.0 inches Average annual snowfall: 0.0 inches

### (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 2-5 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: Water flows south for approximately 0.7 miles, then flows west 1.9 miles (all through manmade drainage ditches) where it enters the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel via a pipe through the western levee of the Ship Channel.

Tributary stream order, if known: Not known.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	Tributary is:	Natural		
:1:1:			in: Tributary i	s a water conveyance feature that was developed for
agricultural in	rgation and drainage	☐ Manipulated (man-altered). E	Explain:	
	<b>Tributary</b> propertic Average width Average depth Average side s	: 4 feet	mate):	
	Primary tributary su Silts Cobbles Bedrock Other. Exp	ubstrate composition (check all tha Sands Gravel Vegetation. Type/%		☐ Concrete ☑ Muck
	Presence of run/riff Tributary geometry	/stability [e.g., highly eroding, slot le/pool complexes. Explain: No. : <b>Relatively straight</b> (approximate average slope): 1 %	ughing banks	l. Explain: stable channel banks are present.
, ,	Describe flow ll in winter and springent .	umber of flow events in review are regime: Ditch 1 contains water flo	w most of the	e greater) e year due to irrigation during much of the summer and or of rain events during the year, and amount of rainfall
ditch.	Surface flow is: <b>Confined.</b> Characteristics: Surface flow is confined to the drainage ditch by levees on either side of the n.			
		<b>nknown</b> . Explain findings: ner) test performed:		
		anks check all indicators that apply): natural line impressed on the bank es in the character of soil ng tion matted down, bent, or absent ter disturbed or washed away ent deposition staining	destruc the pres sedime scour multipl	sence of litter and debris tion of terrestrial vegetation sence of wrack line nt sorting e observed or predicted flow events change in plant community
	☐ High Tide☐ oil or s☐ fine sh	e Line indicated by:  scum line along shore objects well or debris deposits (foreshore) al markings/characteristics auges	Mean High Survey to physical	ent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): Water Mark indicated by: o available datum; markings; on lines/changes in vegetation types.
Cha	Explain: Water with amounds of sedime	.g., water color is clear, discolored hin the drainage ditch is chocolate	in color. Was	ater quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). ter in the general watershed typically contains high ent within the watershed and waterbody.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

(iv)	Biol	logical Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):
		Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):
	$\boxtimes$	Wetland fringe. Characteristics: .
	$\boxtimes$	Habitat for:
1. 1:		Federally Listed species. Explain findings: There is a potential for giant garter snake, central valley steelhead, delta
smelt, chinoo	k salr	mon, and green sturgeon.
		Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Steelhead and salmon may spawn in the area.
		Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
	., .	Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The site has the potential to be habitat for several aquatic and wildlife
Wildlife Area		is located within CA Department of Fish and Game's Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area and adjacent to the USFWS's Vic Fazio
Wilding Area	ι.	
2. Ch	aract	eristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW
<b>A</b>		
(i)		vsical Characteristics:
	(a)	General Wetland Characteristics:
		Properties:
		Wetland size: 2.67 acres
		Wetland type. Explain: Freshwater emergent wetland.
for the o	14:	Wetland quality. Explain: Wetland quality is expected to be low due to the periodic disturbance of the wetland area attorn of rice. As a result of these activities, wetland vegetation is periodically disturbed, and must re-establish in the study
		non of rice. As a result of these activities, wettand vegetation is periodically disturbed, and must re-establish in the study is grown and harvested.
area arte	i eaci	Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.
		Troject wetlands cross of serve as state boundaries. Explain. No.
	(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW:
	. ,	Flow is: Intermittent flow. Explain: Wetlands contain surface water when the area is flooded by the RPW. Additionally
the area	is flo	oded during waterfowl wintering and nesting periods, and during the growing of crops
		Surface flow is: Confined
		Characteristics: The study area lies within a general wetland area that is bounded on all sides by manmade levees.
		Subgurface flow Unknown Evaloin findings
		Subsurface flow: Unknown. Explain findings: .
		Dye (or other) test performed:
	(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:
	(0)	Directly abutting
		Not directly abutting
		Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:
		Ecological connection. Explain:
		Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:
	(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW
		Project wetlands are 2-5 river miles from TNW.
		Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.
		Flow is from: Wetland to/from navigable waters.
		Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the 2-year or less floodplain.
(;;)	Ch	emical Characteristics:
(11)		racterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed
	Ciia	characteristics; etc.). Explain: Water within the drainage ditch is chocolate in color. Water in the general watershed
		typically contains high amounds of sediments. Agricultural chemicals are also likely present within the watershed.
	Ider	ntify specific pollutants, if known: Not known.
(iii	) Bio	logical Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
		Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
		Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: freshwater emergent marsh dominated by sedges, smartweed, tule and cattail;
80% veg		/e cover.
	$\bowtie$	Habitat for:
1. 1.		Federally Listed species. Explain findings: There is a potential for giant garter snake, central valley steelhead, delta
smelt, chinoo	k salr	
		Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: .
		Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: .
species because	100 it :	Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The site has the potential to be habitat for several aquatic and wildlife is located within CA Department of Fish and Game's Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area and adjacent to the USFWS's Vic Fazio
Wildlife Area		is located within CA Department of Fish and Game's 1010 bypass whome Area and adjacent to the USFWS'S VIC Fazio
,, manic A100	٠.	

## Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any) All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: 1

Approximately (2.67) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis.

For each wetland, specify the following:

<u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u> <u>Directly abuts? (Y/N)</u> <u>Size (in acres)</u>
Yes

2.67 acres

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed: Wetland provides filtering of surface waters. Wetland also provides potential habitat for protected federal wildlife and plant species.

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

# D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:

	Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Ditch 1 receives nearly year round flow due to rain during the winter and spring and rice irrigation during the summer and fall, and flood events when the Yolo Bypass is flooded.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: 510 linear feet 20 width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW: The wetland is separated from ditch 1 by a small berm that controls water during rice irrigation season but typically overflows during winter and spring storm events.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: 2.67 acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE SU	OLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

E.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.
 <sup>9</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 <sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	☐ Interstate isolated waters. Explain: ☐ Other factors. Explain:
	Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:  Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):    Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:RAB Consulting.   Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.   Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.   Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.   Data sheets prepared by the Corps:   Corps navigable waters' study:   U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:   USGS NHD data.   USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.   U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 7.5 Minute, Sacramento West.   USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Yolo County Soil Survey, 1972.   National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:
	National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):Google Earth, 2006.  or ☐ Other (Name & Date):  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:  Applicable/supporting case law:  Applicable/supporting scientific literature:  Other information (please specify):

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** Ditch 1 contains water flow most of the year due to irrigation during much of the summer and fall and rainfall in winter and spring. The emergent wetland receives most water from rainfall and whenever the abuting ditch 1 overflows its banks. Water flow is also determined by the number of rain events during the year, and amount of rainfall during each event.

The Yolo Bypass also receives Sacramento River water river flow events and in particular when the Sacramento	upstream from the Sacramento For Bypass weir gates are opened.	River through the Sacramento By	ypass during high

## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

## A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): April 22, 2008

## B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Sacramento District, Glide 14-1 Exploratory Natural Gas Well Project, File # SPK-2007-02174

C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:  State: California County/parish/borough: Yolo City: Davis  Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.541111° N, Long. 121.62° W.  Universal Transverse Mercator: 620307E, 4266720N  Name of nearest waterbody: Ditch 2
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) Into which the aquatic resource flows: Sacramento River Deepwater Ship Channel  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 18020109  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 22, 2008 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В. (	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs  Relatively permanent waters <sup>2</sup> (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs  Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: 20 linear feet: 20 foot width (ft) and/or 0.009 acres.  Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM.  Elevation of established OHWM (if known): Not known.
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):  Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:  .

### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

#### 1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

#### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

## 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

#### (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 1,720 square miles Drainage area: 92 square miles Average annual rainfall: 19.0 inches Average annual snowfall: 0.0 inches

#### (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 2 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 2-5 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 1-2 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: Water flows south for approximately 0.7 miles, then west 1.9 miles (all through man-made drainage ditches) where it enters the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel via a pipe through the western levee of the Ship Channel.

Tributary stream order, if known: Not known.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	Tributary is:	☐ Natural ☐ Natural ☐ Artificial (man-made). Explai	n. Tributora is	a water conveyance feature that was developed for
agricultural ir	gation and drainage		•	
	Average width Average depth Average side s	es with respect to top of bank (esting 20 feet as 2 feet	mate):	
	Silts Cobbles Bedrock Other. Exp	☐ Sands ☐ Gravel ☐ Vegetation. Type/%		☐ Concrete ☑ Muck
	Presence of run/riff Tributary geometry	/stability [e.g., highly eroding, slot le/pool complexes. Explain: No. :: <b>Relatively straight</b> (approximate average slope): 1 %	ughing banks].	Explain: Stable levee banks are present.
``	Describe flow Il in winter and sprir vent.	umber of flow events in review are regime: Ditch 2 contains water flo	w most of the	greater) year due to irrigation during much of the summer and of rain events during the year, and amount of rainfall
ditch except d		onfined. Characteristics: Surface for the such as when the Yolo Bypass		d to the drainage ditch by levees on either side of the
		nknown. Explain findings: ner) test performed:		
	clear, i change change shelvin   vegeta leaf lit sedime water :	anks check all indicators that apply): natural line impressed on the bank es in the character of soil ng tion matted down, bent, or absent ter disturbed or washed away ent deposition staining	destructi the preso sedimen scour multiple	ence of litter and debris ion of terrestrial vegetation ence of wrack line it sorting cobserved or predicted flow events hange in plant community
	☐ High Tide ☐ oil or s ☐ fine sh	e Line indicated by: scum line along shore objects all or debris deposits (foreshore) al markings/characteristics auges	Mean High W ☐ survey to ☐ physical r	nt of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): Vater Mark indicated by: available datum; narkings; n lines/changes in vegetation types.
Cha	Explain: Water with amounds of sedime	.g., water color is clear, discolored	in color. Wate	ter quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). er in the general watershed typically contains high nt within the watershed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

	(iv)	Biol	Siological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply):	
		H	Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width):	
		$\mathbb{H}$	Wetland fringe. Characteristics: .  Habitat for:	
			☐ Federally Listed species. Explain findings: There is a potential for giant gar	ter snake, central vallev steelhead, delta
smelt, cl	ninool	salr	salmon, green sturgeon.	
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings: Steelhead and salmon may spawn in the	area.
			Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:	
		٠, .	Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: The site has the potential to be	
Wildlife			it is located within CA Department of Fish and Game's Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area	and adjacent to the USFWS'S VIC Fazio
vv iidiiic	riica	•		
2.	Cha	ract	acteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into	o TNW
	(i)		Physical Characteristics:	
		(a)	a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties:	
			Wetland size: acres	
			Wetland type. Explain:	
			Wetland quality. Explain:	
			Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:	
		<i>a</i> >	O THE REST OF STAY MANY	
		(b)	b) <u>General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW</u> : Flow is: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain:	
			Flow is. Fick List. Explain.	
			Surface flow is: Pick List	
			Characteristics: .	
			Subsurface flow: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain findings:	
			Dye (or other) test performed:	
		(c)	c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:	
		(-)	Directly abutting	
			☐ Not directly abutting	
			Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain:	
			Ecological connection. Explain:	
			Separated by berm/barrier. Explain: .	
		(d)	d) Proximity (Relationship) to TNW	
		(-)	Project wetlands are <b>Pick List</b> river miles from TNW.	
			Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW.	
			Flow is from: <b>Pick List.</b>	
			Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the <b>Pick List</b> floodplain.	
	(ii)	Che	Chemical Characteristics:	
	(11)		Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water color is clear, brown, oil film of the color is clear, brown, oi	ater quality; general watershed
			characteristics; etc.). Explain:	1 7 6
		Ider	dentify specific pollutants, if known:	
	(***)	n.	Note that Observed what a Wilder Lawrence of the deal of the Company	
	(111)	B10	Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):  Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):	
		Ħ	Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain: see attached wetland delineation report	rt.
			Habitat for:	
			Federally Listed species. Explain findings: .	
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:	
			Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:	
			Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings: .	
3.	Cha	ract	acteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)	
			All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Pick List	
			Approximately ( ) acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analys	is.
		Г		
		For	For each wetland, specify the following:	
			Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in acres) Directly abuts? (Y/N)	N) Size (in acres)
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

Other non-wetland waters:

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: The tributary has the capacity to carry pollutants and flood waters to a TNW.
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

## D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  ☐ TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  ☐ Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that
	tributary is perennial:  Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are
	jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Ditch 2 receives nearly year round flow due to rain during the winter and spring and rice irrigation during the summer and fall, and flood events when the Yolo Bypass is flooded.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: 20 linear feet 20 width (ft).

acres.

	Identify type(s) of waters:
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	■ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
DE SU 	DLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
Ide	entify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
Pro	ovide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters:

E.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.
 <sup>9</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 <sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	Wetlands: acres.
F.	NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR factors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional judgment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
	Provide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:  Wetlands: acres.
SE	CTION IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	SUPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked and requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:RAB Consulting.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name:7.5 Minute, Sacramento West.  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Yolo County Soil Survery, 1972.  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):Google Earth, 2006.
	or  Other (Name & Date):  Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:  Applicable/supporting case law:  Applicable/supporting scientific literature:  Other information (please specify):

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** Ditch 2 contains water flow most of the year due to irrigation during much of the summer and fall and rainfall in winter and spring. Water flow is also determined by the number of rain events during the year, and amount of rainfall during each event. The Yolo Bypass also receives Sacramento River water upstream from the Sacramento River through the Sacramento Bypass during high river flow events and in particular when the Sacramento Bypass weir gates are opened.

## APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

## **SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

## A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): April 22, 2008

## B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Sacramento District, Glide 14-1 Exploratory Natural Gas Well Project, File # SPK-2007-02174

C.	PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION: State: California County/parish/borough: Yolo City: Davis Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format): Lat. 38.5117° N, Long. 121.6212° W. Universal Transverse Mercator: 10  Name of nearest waterbody: Ditch 3
	Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Sacramento River Deepwater Ship Channel  Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): 18020109  Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.  Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form.
D.	REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  ☐ Office (Desk) Determination. Date: April 22, 2008 ☐ Field Determination. Date(s):
	CTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
	re Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the ew area. [Required]  Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.  Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:
В. (	CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.
The	re Are "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]
	1. Waters of the U.S.  a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply):  TNWs, including territorial seas Wetlands adjacent to TNWs Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs Impoundments of jurisdictional waters Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands
	b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area:  Non-wetland waters: 20 linear feet: 20 foot width (ft) and/or 0.009 acres.  Wetlands: acres.
	c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Established by OHWM.  Elevation of established OHWM (if known): Not known.
	2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):  Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:  .

### **SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS**

### A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

### 1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

#### 2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

#### B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

## 1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

#### (i) General Area Conditions:

Watershed size: 1,720 **square miles**Drainage area: 92 **square miles**Average annual rainfall: 19.0 inches
Average annual snowfall: 0.0 inches

#### (ii) Physical Characteristics:

(a) Relationship with TNW:

☐ Tributary flows directly into TNW.

Tributary flows through 3 tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are 2-5 river miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) river miles from RPW.

Project waters are 2-5 aerial (straight) miles from TNW.

Project waters are 1 (or less) aerial (straight) miles from RPW.

Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain: No.

Identify flow route to TNW<sup>5</sup>: Water flows approximately 1.2 miles east, then south for approximately 0.7 miles, and then west 1.9 miles (all through man-made drainage ditches) where it enters the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel via a pipe through the western levee of the Ship Channel.

Tributary stream order, if known: Not known.

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	Tributary is:	☐ Natural ☐ Natural ☐ Artificial (man-made). Explai	n. Tributary i	s a water conveyance feature that was developed for
agricultural irr	gation and drainage	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	s a water conveyance feature that was developed for .
	<b>Tributary</b> propertic Average width Average depth Average side s	: 4 feet	mate):	
	Primary tributary su Silts Cobbles Bedrock Other. Exp	ubstrate composition (check all that Sands Gravel Vegetation. Type/% lain:		☐ Concrete ☑ Muck
agriculture.	Tributary condition	stability [e.g., highly eroding, slo	ughing banks]	]. Explain: stable, periodically maintained for
agriculture.	Tributary geometry	le/pool complexes. Explain: Not a : <b>Relatively straight</b> (approximate average slope): 1 %	applicable.	
(c)		umber of flow events in review are		r greater) e year due to irrigation during much of the summer and
fall and rainfal during each ev	ll in winter and sprin vent.		by the numbe	or of rain events during the year, and amount of rainfall
ditch.	Surface flow is: Co	<b>nfined.</b> Characteristics: Surface f	low is confine	ed to the drainage ditch by levees on either side of the
		<b>nknown</b> . Explain findings: ner) test performed:		
		check all indicators that apply): natural line impressed on the bank es in the character of soil ng tion matted down, bent, or absent ter disturbed or washed away ent deposition staining	destruc the pres sedime scour multipl	sence of litter and debris tion of terrestrial vegetation sence of wrack line nt sorting e observed or predicted flow events change in plant community
	☐ High Tide☐ oil or s☐ fine sh	e Line indicated by: scum line along shore objects ell or debris deposits (foreshore) al markings/characteristics auges	Mean High V survey to physical	ent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): Water Mark indicated by: o available datum; markings; on lines/changes in vegetation types.
Cha	Explain: Water with amounts of sedimer	.g., water color is clear, discolored	in color. Wat	ater quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.). ter in the general watershed typically contains high nt within the watershed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break.

<sup>7</sup>Ibid.

	(iv)	Biol	logical Characteristics. Channel suppo		t apply):	
			Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type	, average width):	•	
			Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for:			
			☐ Federally Listed species. Explain fin	ndings: There is a	notential for giant garter snak	ce. central vallev steelhead. delta
smelt, ch	ninool	c salr	mon, green sturgeon.	iomgo. inere is a	Potential for Brant Barter Shar	ie, central variey secondary, centa
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:			
			Other environmentally-sensitive spe			
_			Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain is located within CA Department of Fish			
Wildlife	Area					
2.	Cha	ract	teristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TN	W that flow dire	ctly or indirectly into TNW	,
	<b>(i)</b>		ysical Characteristics:			
		(a)	General Wetland Characteristics: Properties:			
			Wetland size: acres			
			Wetland type. Explain: .			
			Wetland quality. Explain: .			
			Project wetlands cross or serve as state	ooundaries. Explai	n: .	
		(b)	General Flow Relationship with Non-Ti	<u>\w</u> :		
			Flow is: <b>Pick List</b> . Explain: .			
			Surface flow is: Pick List			
			Characteristics: .			
			Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain fin	dings: .		
			Dye (or other) test performed:			
		(c)	Wetland Adjacency Determination with	Non-TNW:		
		(0)	Directly abutting	11011 111111		
			☐ Not directly abutting			
			Discrete wetland hydrologic cor		•	
			<ul><li>Ecological connection. Explain</li><li>Separated by berm/barrier. Exp</li></ul>			
		(d)	Proximity (Relationship) to TNW			
			Project wetlands are <b>Pick List</b> river mile Project waters are <b>Pick List</b> aerial (stra		'NIW	
			Flow is from: <b>Pick List</b> aerial (stra	ignt) innes from 1	IN W.	
			Estimate approximate location of wetlan	nd as within the <b>Pi</b>	ck List floodplain.	
	(ii)	Che	emical Characteristics:			
	(11)		aracterize wetland system (e.g., water colo	or is clear, brown,	oil film on surface; water qua	ality; general watershed
			characteristics; etc.). Explain: .			
		Ider	ntify specific pollutants, if known:			
	(iii)	Biol	logical Characteristics. Wetland suppo	orts (check all tha	t apply):	
			Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, a			
		님	Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain	see attached wet	land delineation report.	
		Ш	Habitat for:  ☐ Federally Listed species. Explain fin	ndings:		
			Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:			
			Other environmentally-sensitive spe-		ings: .	
			Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain	findings: .		
3.	Cha		teristics of all wetlands adjacent to the t			
			wetland(s) being considered in the cumul			
		App	proximately ( ) acres in total are be	ing considered in	the cumulative analysis.	
		For	each wetland, specify the following:			
			Directly abuts? (Y/N) Size (in ac	eres)	Directly abuts? (Y/N)	Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

#### C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the *Rapanos* Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species, such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section III.D: The tributary has the capacity to carry pollutants and flood waters to a TNW.
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:

## D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

1.	TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:  TNWs: linear feet width (ft), Or, acres.  Wetlands adjacent to TNWs: acres.
2.	<ul> <li>RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.</li> <li>☐ Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:</li> <li>☐ Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally: Ditch 3 receives nearly year round flow due to rain during the winter and spring and rice irrigation during the summer and fall, and flood events when the Yolo Bypass is flooded.</li> </ul>

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

Tributary waters: 20 linear feet 20 width (ft).

	Other non-wetland waters: acres. Identify type(s) of waters: .
3.	Non-RPWs <sup>8</sup> that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.  Identify type(s) of waters: .
4.	Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.  Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	■ Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
5.	Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
6.	Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.  Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.
	Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.
7.	As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.  Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or  Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or  Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
SUC	OLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, GRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY CH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY): 10 which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes. from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce. which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce. Interstate isolated waters. Explain:  Other factors. Explain:
Ide	ntify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:
Pro	vide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):  Tributary waters: linear feet width (ft).  Other non-wetland waters: acres.

E.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>See Footnote # 3.
 <sup>9</sup> To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.
 <sup>10</sup> Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

		Identify type(s) of waters: Wetlands: acres.
F.		N-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):  If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.  Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.  Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).  Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain:  Other: (explain, if not covered above):
	facto	vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the <u>sole</u> potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR ors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture), using best professional ment (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
		vide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such ading is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):  Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, width (ft).  Lakes/ponds: acres.  Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource: .  Wetlands: acres.
SEC	CTIO	ON IV: DATA SOURCES.
A.	and	PORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked requested, appropriately reference sources below):  Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:RAB Consulting.  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.  Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.  Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.  Data sheets prepared by the Corps:  Corps navigable waters' study:  U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:  USGS NHD data.  USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.  U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 7.5 Minute, Sacramento West.  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: Yolo County Soil Survey, 1972.  National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name:  State/Local wetland inventory map(s):  FEMA/FIRM maps:  100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929)  Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date):  Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant:RAB Consultant:RAB consultant.RAB consultant:RAB consultant:RAB consultant:RAB consultant:RA
		Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter:  Applicable/supporting case law:  Applicable/supporting scientific literature:  Other information (please specify):

**B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:** Ditch 3 contains water flow most of the year due to irrigation during much of the summer and fall and rainfall in winter and spring. Water flow is also determined by the number of rain events during the year, and amount of rainfall during each event. The Yolo Bypass also receives Sacramento River water upstream from the Sacramento River through the Sacramento Bypass during high river flow events and in particular when the Sacramento Bypass weir gates are opened.