

# **Contents**

# Contents

## Volume 1

	Page
<b>Summary .....</b>	S-1
<b>Foreword/Introduction.....</b>	Intro-1
<b>Chapter 1 Purpose of and Need for Action .....</b>	1-1
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.1.1 Proposed Action .....	1-1
1.1.2 Project Applicant's Proposal .....	1-2
1.1.3 Purpose of Legacy Parkway Project.....	1-3
1.2 Summary of Need for Transportation Improvements in North Corridor .....	1-5
1.2.1 Summary of Final EIS Description of North Corridor Transportation Needs.....	1-5
1.2.2 North Corridor Transportation Needs .....	1-6
1.2.3 Definition of the Shared Solution .....	1-8
1.2.4 Needs Addressed by Legacy Parkway Project.....	1-11
1.3 Objectives for Proposed Action .....	1-19
1.3.1 Local Community Interests .....	1-20
1.3.2 Trails .....	1-21
<b>Chapter 2 Tenth Circuit Court Ruling Analysis .....</b>	2.0-1
Section 2.0 <b>Introduction.....</b>	2.0-1
2.0.1 Background.....	2.0-1
2.0.2 Technical Memoranda .....	2.0-2
Section 2.1 <b>Right-of-Way Issues .....</b>	2.1-1
2.1.1 Summary of Approach for Supplemental EIS.....	2.1-1
2.1.1.1 Updates Since Previous Final EIS.....	2.1-1
2.1.1.2 Changes since the Draft Supplemental EIS .....	2.1-1
2.1.2 Summary of Right-of-Way Analysis.....	2.1-2
2.1.2.1 Right-of-Way Cross-Section Components in Supplemental EIS .....	2.1-2
2.1.2.2 Median Width Evaluation .....	2.1-5
2.1.2.3 Buffer Area Width Evaluation.....	2.1-12

2.1.2.4 Alternative Right-of-Way Widths and Wetlands Impact Evaluation.....	2.1-14
2.1.3 Conclusions .....	2.1-15
<b>Section 2.2     Denver &amp; Rio Grande Corridor Evaluation.....</b>	<b>2.2-1</b>
2.2.1 Summary of Approach for Supplemental EIS.....	2.2-1
2.1.1.1 Updates Since Previous Final EIS .....	2.2-1
2.2.1.2 Changes since the Draft Supplemental EIS .....	2.2-2
2.2.2 Summary of D&RG Analysis .....	2.2-2
2.2.2.1 Development of D&RG Conceptual Alignments .....	2.2-3
2.2.3 Evaluation of D&RG Conceptual Alignments .....	2.2-6
2.2.3.1 Impacts on Existing Development .....	2.2-7
2.2.3.2 Impacts on Wetlands .....	2.2-14
2.2.3.3 Regional Corridor Cost Estimates and D&RG Conceptual Alignment-Specific Cost Estimates .....	2.2-15
2.2.3.4 Summary of Impacts.....	2.2-17
2.2.4 Conclusions .....	2.2-18
2.2.4.1 Impacts on Aquatic Resources .....	2.2-18
2.2.4.2 Practicability Considerations.....	2.2-18
<b>Section 2.3     Integration of Legacy Parkway with Mass Transit .....</b>	<b>2.3-1</b>
2.3.1 Summary of Approach for Supplemental EIS.....	2.3-1
2.3.1.1 Updates since Previous Final EIS .....	2.3-1
2.3.1.2 Changes since the Draft Supplemental EIS .....	2.3-2
2.3.2 Summary of Integration Analysis .....	2.3-2
2.3.2.1 Development of Integrated Transit Enhancement Packages .....	2.3-3
2.3.2.2 Integration Analysis Results .....	2.3-10
2.3.2.3 Integration of Physical Construction of Legacy Parkway with Mass Transit Improvements.....	2.3-11
2.3.3 Conclusions .....	2.3-11
<b>Section 2.4     Sequencing of the Shared Solution.....</b>	<b>2.4-1</b>
2.4.1 Summary of Approach for Supplemental EIS.....	2.4-1
2.4.2 Summary of Sequencing Analysis .....	2.4-2
2.4.2.1 Approach to Analysis of the Sequencing of the Shared Solution.....	2.4-3
2.4.2.2 Results of Construction Sequencing Scenarios.....	2.4-5
2.4.3 Conclusions .....	2.4-9
<b>Section 2.5     Wildlife Issues.....</b>	<b>2.5-1</b>
2.5.1 Summary of Approach for Supplemental EIS.....	2.5-1
2.5.2 Summary of Wildlife Issues .....	2.5-2
2.5.2.1 Direct Habitat Loss .....	2.5-3
2.5.2.2 Changes in Level of Great Salt Lake .....	2.5-4
2.5.2.3 Habitat Fragmentation .....	2.5-5
2.5.2.4 Changes in Habitat Quality .....	2.5-5
2.5.2.5 Habitat Modification .....	2.5-6
2.5.2.6 Wildlife Mortality.....	2.5-7
2.5.2.7 Artificial Light Disturbance .....	2.5-7
2.5.2.8 Highway Noise Disturbance.....	2.5-7
2.5.2.9 Human Disturbance .....	2.5-9

2.5.2.10 Effects on Special-Status Wildlife .....	2.5-9
2.5.2.11 Cumulative Impacts .....	2.5-9
2.5.3 Conclusions .....	2.5-10
<b>Chapter 3 Alternatives .....</b>	<b>3-1</b>
3.1 Summary of Alternatives Presented in Final EIS.....	3-1
3.1.1 Non-Highway Alternatives .....	3-1
3.1.2 Expansion of I-15.....	3-2
3.1.3 Development of the Shared Solution Concept for the North Corridor in the Final EIS .....	3-2
3.1.4 Legacy Parkway Alternatives Analyzed in Final EIS .....	3-3
3.1.5 Project Alternatives Analyzed in Detail in Final EIS.....	3-5
3.1.6 Reevaluation of Project Alternatives Using Revised Travel Demand Model.....	3-6
3.2 Additional Project Alternatives Evaluated in This Supplemental EIS but Eliminated from Detailed Study.....	3-8
3.2.1 Criteria for Evaluating Additional Alternatives.....	3-9
3.2.2 Results of Additional Alternatives Evaluation .....	3-10
3.2.3 Summary of Alternatives Eliminated.....	3-21
3.3 Alternative Ways of Implementing Legacy Parkway.....	3-22
3.3.1 Legacy Parkway with a Narrower Right-of-Way .....	3-22
3.3.2 Integrating Construction of Legacy Parkway with Mass Transit.....	3-23
3.3.3 Alternative Construction Sequences for the Shared Solution.....	3-23
3.3.4 Alternatives without Trail Component or Separate Trail Facility .....	3-23
3.4 Alternatives Analyzed in Detail in this Supplemental EIS.....	3-25
3.4.1 Modified Definition of the No-Build Alternative .....	3-25
3.4.2 Modified Build Alternatives A, B, C, and D/E .....	3-26
3.4.3 Updated Project Cost Estimates .....	3-33
3.5 Summary of Alternatives Evaluated .....	3-33
3.6 Land Acquired to Date.....	3-34
<b>Chapter 4 Supplemental Environmental Analysis.....</b>	<b>4.0-1</b>
Section 4.0 <b>Introduction.....</b>	<b>4.0-1</b>
4.0.1 Study Area.....	4.0-1
4.0.2 Great Salt Lake Ecosystem .....	4.0-2
4.0.3 Alternatives Evaluated.....	4.0-3
Section 4.1 <b>Land Use .....</b>	<b>4.1-1</b>
4.1.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.1-1
4.1.1.1 Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.1-1
4.1.1.2 Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.1-2
4.1.2 Affected Environment .....	4.1-6
4.1.2.1 Current Land Use and Development Trends in the Study Area .....	4.1-6
4.1.2.2 Local Land Use Plans.....	4.1-8
4.1.2.3 Regional Land Use Planning Studies .....	4.1-10
4.1.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.1-13
4.1.3.1 Impacts on Cities and Counties .....	4.1-13

4.1.3.2 Consistency with Plans and Policies .....	4.1-17
4.1.3.3 Indirect Growth Impacts within and beyond the North Corridor .....	4.1-18
<b>Section 4.2 Farmland .....</b>	<b>4.2-1</b>
4.2.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.2-1
4.2.1.1 Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.2-1
4.2.1.2 Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.2-2
4.2.2 Affected Environment .....	4.2-2
4.2.2.1 Current Agricultural Production.....	4.2-2
4.2.2.2 Prime Farmland .....	4.2-3
4.2.2.3 Unique Farmland .....	4.2-4
4.2.2.4 Farmland of State Importance .....	4.2-4
4.2.2.5 Farmland of Local Importance .....	4.2-4
4.2.2.6 Agricultural Protection Areas .....	4.2-4
4.2.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.2-5
4.2.3.1 All Farmland (Cropland).....	4.2-5
4.2.3.2 Prime Farmland .....	4.2-7
4.2.3.3 Unique Farmland .....	4.2-7
4.2.3.4 Farmland of State Importance .....	4.2-8
4.2.3.5 Farmland of Local Importance .....	4.2-8
4.2.3.6 Agricultural Protection Areas .....	4.2-9
<b>Section 4.3 Social .....</b>	<b>4.3-1</b>
4.3.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.3-1
4.3.1.1 Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.3-1
4.3.1.2 Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.3-2
4.3.2 Affected Environment .....	4.3-2
4.3.2.1 Population Growth .....	4.3-2
4.3.2.2 Social Composition .....	4.3-5
4.3.2.3 Environmental Justice Populations.....	4.3-8
4.3.2.4 Neighborhood and Community Cohesion .....	4.3-8
4.3.2.5 Travel Patterns and Accessibility .....	4.3-9
4.3.2.6 Public Facilities .....	4.3-13
4.3.2.7 Public Services and Utilities.....	4.3-14
4.3.2.8 Recreation Resources .....	4.3-15
4.3.2.9 Public Health and Safety .....	4.3-16
4.3.2.10 Quality of Life .....	4.3-17
4.3.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.3-17
4.3.3.1 Socially Disadvantaged Groups.....	4.3-17
4.3.3.2 Environmental Justice Population.....	4.3-18
4.3.3.3 Neighborhood and Community Cohesion .....	4.3-19
4.3.3.4 Travel Patterns and Accessibility .....	4.3-22
4.3.3.5 Public Facilities .....	4.3-29
4.3.3.6 Public Services and Utilities.....	4.3-31
4.3.3.7 Recreation Resources .....	4.3-33
4.3.3.8 Public Health and Safety .....	4.3-36
4.3.3.9 Quality of Life .....	4.3-37
<b>Section 4.4 Relocations .....</b>	<b>4.4-1</b>
4.4.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.4-1
4.4.1.1 Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.4-1

4.4.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.4-1
4.4.2	Affected Environment .....	4.4-2
4.4.2.1	Existing Conditions .....	4.4-2
4.4.2.2	Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act of 1970 .....	4.4-3
4.4.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.4-3
4.4.3.1	Residential Properties.....	4.4-3
4.4.3.2	Businesses .....	4.4-5
4.4.3.3	Farmsteads and Horse Paddocks .....	4.4-7
4.4.3.4	Summary of Displacements .....	4.4-9
4.4.3.5	Mitigation Measures.....	4.4-10
<b>Section 4.5</b>	<b>Economics .....</b>	<b>4.5-1</b>
4.5.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.5-1
4.5.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.5-1
4.5.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.5-2
4.5.2	Affected Environment .....	4.5-2
4.5.2.1	Employment.....	4.5-2
4.5.2.2	Commerce .....	4.5-4
4.5.2.3	Tax Base.....	4.5-6
4.5.2.4	Value of Motorists Travel Time .....	4.5-6
4.5.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.5-7
4.5.3.1	Regional Economic Impacts .....	4.5-7
4.5.3.2	Local Economic and Community Impacts.....	4.5-9
<b>Section 4.6</b>	<b>Joint Development .....</b>	<b>4.6-1</b>
4.6.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.6-1
4.6.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.6-1
4.6.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.6-2
4.6.2	Affected Environment .....	4.6-2
4.6.2.1	Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District .....	4.6-2
4.6.2.2	Trail System Development.....	4.6-3
4.6.2.3	Environmental Interpretation.....	4.6-3
4.6.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.6-3
4.6.3.1	No-Build Alternative .....	4.6-3
4.6.3.2	Build Alternatives .....	4.6-4
<b>Section 4.7</b>	<b>Pedestrian and Bicyclist Considerations .....</b>	<b>4.7-1</b>
4.7.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.7-1
4.7.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.7-1
4.7.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.7-1
4.7.2	Affected Environment .....	4.7-1
4.7.2.1	Existing Facilities .....	4.7-2
4.7.2.2	Proposed Facilities .....	4.7-4
4.7.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.7-5
4.7.3.1	Existing Facilities .....	4.7-6
4.7.3.2	Proposed Facilities .....	4.7-7
4.7.3.3	Mitigation Measures.....	4.7-8
<b>Section 4.8</b>	<b>Air Quality .....</b>	<b>4.8-1</b>
4.8.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.8-1
4.8.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.8-1
4.8.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.8-2

4.8.2	Affected Environment .....	4.8-2
4.8.2.1	Pollutants of Concern .....	4.8-2
4.8.2.2	Climate.....	4.8-3
4.8.2.3	National Ambient Air Quality Standards .....	4.8-3
4.8.2.4	Air Quality Attainment Status in the Study Area .....	4.8-4
4.8.2.5	Air Toxics .....	4.8-5
4.8.2.6	Other Pollutants .....	4.8-6
4.8.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.8-7
4.8.3.1	Conformity Requirements .....	4.8-7
4.8.3.2	Mesoscale Evaluation.....	4.8-7
4.8.3.3	Microscale “Hot Spot” Impact Analysis .....	4.8-13
<b>Section 4.9</b>	<b>Noise.....</b>	<b>4.9-1</b>
4.9.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.9-1
4.9.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.9-1
4.9.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.9-1
4.9.1.3	Background Information on Noise .....	4.9-2
4.9.1.4	Methods Used to Update Noise Analysis .....	4.9-3
4.9.1.5	Regulatory Requirements .....	4.9-5
4.9.2	Affected Environment .....	4.9-6
4.9.2.1	Existing Noise Levels.....	4.9-6
4.9.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.9-7
4.9.3.1	Operational Noise Impacts .....	4.9-8
4.9.3.2	Noise Abatement Measures .....	4.9-10
<b>Section 4.10</b>	<b>Water Quality .....</b>	<b>4.10-1</b>
4.10.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.10-1
4.10.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.10-1
4.10.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.10-2
4.10.2	Affected Environment .....	4.10-2
4.10.2.1	Water Quality Regulations .....	4.10-2
4.10.2.2	Great Salt Lake Water Quality .....	4.10-2
4.10.2.3	Water Quality of Surface Conveyances .....	4.10-3
4.10.2.4	Groundwater Quality .....	4.10-3
4.10.2.5	Groundwater Rights .....	4.10-3
4.10.2.6	De-icing Operations .....	4.10-4
4.10.2.7	Use of Gray Water for Landscaping .....	4.10-6
4.10.2.8	Biogeochemistry of Wetlands .....	4.10-6
4.10.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.10-6
4.10.3.1	Contaminants Evaluated.....	4.10-6
4.10.3.2	Surface Water Quality.....	4.10-8
4.10.3.3	Groundwater Quality .....	4.10-13
4.10.3.4	Groundwater Rights and Wells .....	4.10-14
4.10.3.5	Biogeochemical Functions of Wetlands .....	4.10-16
<b>Section 4.11</b>	<b>Permits and Clearances .....</b>	<b>4.11-1</b>
4.11.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.11-1
4.11.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.11-1
4.11.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.11-1
4.11.2	Affected Environment .....	4.11-1
4.11.2.1	Federal Permits and Clearances .....	4.11-2

4.11.2.2 State Permits and Clearances .....	4.11-4
4.11.2.3 Local Permits & Clearances .....	4.11-6
<b>Section 4.12 <b>Wetlands</b>.....</b>	<b>4.12-1</b>
4.12.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.12-1
4.12.1.1 Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.12-1
4.12.1.2 Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.12-3
4.12.2 Affected Environment .....	4.12-4
4.12.2.1 Hydrogeomorphic Wetland Classes .....	4.12-7
4.12.2.2 Wetland Cover Types .....	4.12-8
4.12.2.3 Wetland Functions .....	4.12-8
4.12.2.4 Wetlands and Great Salt Lake Flooding .....	4.12-9
4.12.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.12-10
4.12.3.1 Direct Impacts .....	4.12-11
4.12.3.2 Indirect Impacts .....	4.12-15
4.12.3.3 Impacts on Wetland Functions .....	4.12-17
4.12.3.4 Mitigation Measures.....	4.12-23
<b>Section 4.13 <b>Wildlife</b>.....</b>	<b>4.13-1</b>
4.13.1 Approach and Methodology.....	4.13-1
4.13.1.1 Project Study Area.....	4.13-2
4.13.1.2 Regional Study Area.....	4.13-2
4.13.1.3 Great Salt Lake Ecosystem .....	4.13-2
4.13.1.4 Methods Used to Acquire Information .....	4.13-3
4.13.1.5 Methods Used in the Analysis .....	4.13-3
4.13.1.6 Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.13-5
4.13.2 Affected Environment .....	4.13-6
4.13.2.1 Changes in Habitat since Final EIS .....	4.13-6
4.13.2.2 Historic Habitat Conditions .....	4.13-7
4.13.2.3 Existing Wildlife in Project Study Area .....	4.13-8
4.13.2.4 Existing Wildlife Habitats in Project Study Area.....	4.13-9
4.13.2.5 Existing Conditions Related to Wildlife Habitats in Project Study Area .....	4.13-10
4.13.3 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.13-15
4.13.3.1 Direct Habitat Loss .....	4.13-16
4.13.3.2 Changes in Lake Level and Habitat Availability .....	4.13-23
4.13.3.3 Habitat Fragmentation .....	4.13-28
4.13.3.4 Air Quality .....	4.13-32
4.13.3.5 Water Quality .....	4.13-33
4.13.3.6 Wetland Hydrology .....	4.13-34
4.13.3.7 Wildlife Mortality.....	4.13-35
4.13.3.8 Artificial Landscaping.....	4.13-36
4.13.3.9 Artificial Light Disturbance .....	4.13-37
4.13.3.10 Noise Disturbance .....	4.13-37
4.13.3.11 Human Disturbance .....	4.13-40
4.13.3.12 Potential Effects on Species of Concern .....	4.13-41
4.13.3.13 Cumulative Impacts .....	4.13-52
4.13.3.14 Mitigation Measures.....	4.13-53

<b>Section 4.14</b>	<b>Floodplains .....</b>	4.14-1
4.14.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.14-1
4.14.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.14-1
4.14.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS.....	4.14-1
4.14.2	Affected Environment .....	4.14-1
4.14.2.1	Regulatory Setting .....	4.14-2
4.14.2.2	FEMA Studies and Maps .....	4.14-2
4.14.2.3	Vertical Datum Differential .....	4.14-2
4.14.2.4	Corps Floodplain Study .....	4.14-3
4.14.2.4	Wetland Hydrology .....	4.14-3
4.14.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.14-3
4.14.3.1	Floodplain Management .....	4.14-3
4.14.3.2	Floodplain Impacts .....	4.14-4
4.14.3.3	Hydrologic Function of Wetlands .....	4.14-5
4.14.3.4	Mitigation Measures.....	4.14-5
<b>Section 4.15</b>	<b>Threatened and Endangered Species .....</b>	4.15-1
4.15.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.15-1
4.15.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.15-1
4.15.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.15-1
4.15.2	Affected Environment .....	4.15-2
4.15.2.1	Definitions .....	4.15-3
4.15.2.2	Federally Listed Species.....	4.15-3
4.15.2.3	State-Listed Species.....	4.15-4
4.15.2.4	State Species of Special Concern .....	4.15-4
4.15.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.15-6
4.15.3.1	Threatened and Endangered Vegetation.....	4.15-7
4.15.3.2	Threatened and Endangered Wildlife .....	4.15-7
<b>Section 4.16</b>	<b>Historic and Archaeological Resources.....</b>	4.16-1
4.16.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.16-1
4.16.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.16-1
4.16.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.16-2
4.16.1.3	National Register of Historic Places – Criteria for Eligibility .....	4.16-3
4.16.1.4	Memorandum of Agreement .....	4.16-3
4.16.2	Affected Environment .....	4.16-4
4.16.2.1	Prehistoric and Historic Archaeological Sites .....	4.16-4
4.16.2.2	Historic Structures .....	4.16-8
4.16.2.3	Clark Lane Historic District .....	4.16-13
4.16.2.4	Historic Railroad Corridors.....	4.16-14
4.16.2.5	Paleontological Resources .....	4.16-15
4.16.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.16-15
4.16.3.1	Prehistoric and Historic Archaeological Sites .....	4.16-15
4.16.3.2	Historic Structures .....	4.16-18
4.16.3.3	Clark Lane Historic District .....	4.16-20
4.16.3.4	Historic Railroad Corridors.....	4.16-22
4.16.3.5	Paleontological Resources .....	4.16-22

<b>Section 4.17</b>	<b>Hazardous Waste Sites .....</b>	4.17-1
4.17.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.17-1
4.17.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.17-1
4.17.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.17-2
4.17.2	Affected Environment .....	4.17-3
4.17.2.1	Potential Hazardous Waste Sites .....	4.17-3
4.17.2.2	Results of Field Investigations .....	4.17-5
4.17.2.3	Aerially Deposited Lead.....	4.17-6
4.17.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.17-6
4.17.3.1	Site Screening .....	4.17-7
4.17.3.2	Impacts from Potential Hazardous Waste Sites .....	4.17-8
4.17.3.3	Mitigation Measures for Impacts from Potential Hazardous Waste Sites.....	4.17-9
4.17.3.4	Impacts from Aerially Deposited Lead .....	4.17-10
<b>Section 4.18</b>	<b>Visual Resources.....</b>	4.18-1
4.18.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.18-1
4.18.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.18-1
4.18.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.18-2
4.18.2	Affected Environment .....	4.18-2
4.18.2.1	Development in Study Area since Publication of Final EIS.....	4.18-2
4.18.2.2	Visual Resources in Study Area Viewed from Offsite.....	4.18-3
4.18.2.3	Offsite Visual Resources Viewed from Study Area.....	4.18-4
4.18.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.18-4
4.18.3.1	Construction-Related Visual Impacts.....	4.18-4
4.18.3.2	Operation-Related Visual Impacts .....	4.18-4
4.18.3.3	Mitigation Measures.....	4.18-6
<b>Section 4.19</b>	<b>Energy .....</b>	4.19-1
4.19.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.19-1
4.19.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.19-1
4.19.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.19-1
4.19.2	Affected Environment .....	4.19-3
4.19.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.19-3
4.19.3.1	Direct Impacts.....	4.19-3
<b>Section 4.20</b>	<b>Construction Impacts.....</b>	4.20-1
4.20.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.20-1
4.20.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.20-1
4.20.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.20-2
4.20.2	Affected Environment .....	4.20-2
4.20.2.1	Construction Activities to Date .....	4.20-2
4.20.2.2	Design Changes .....	4.20-2
4.20.3	Environmental Consequences and Mitigation Measures .....	4.20-3
4.20.3.1	No-Build Alternative .....	4.20-3
4.20.3.2	Build Alternatives .....	4.20-4
4.20.3.3	Mitigation Measures.....	4.20-10

Section 4.21	<b>Cumulative Impacts.....</b>	4.21-1
4.21.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.21-1
4.21.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	4.21-1
4.21.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	4.21-1
4.21.2	Past, Present, and Reasonably Foreseeable Future Actions .....	4.21-2
4.21.3	Evaluation of Cumulative Impacts .....	4.21-3
4.21.3.1	Land Use .....	4.21-3
4.21.3.2	Farmland.....	4.21-4
4.21.3.3	Social .....	4.21-4
4.21.3.4	Relocations .....	4.21-4
4.21.3.5	Economics .....	4.21-5
4.21.3.6	Joint Development .....	4.21-5
4.21.3.7	Pedestrian and Bicycle Considerations .....	4.21-5
4.21.3.8	Air Quality .....	4.21-5
4.21.3.9	Noise.....	4.21-6
4.21.3.10	Water Quality .....	4.21-6
4.21.3.11	Wetlands.....	4.21-7
4.21.3.12	Wildlife .....	4.21-7
4.21.3.13	Floodplains .....	4.21-7
4.21.3.14	Threatened and Endangered Species .....	4.21-8
4.21.3.15	Historic and Archaeological Resources .....	4.21-8
4.21.3.16	Hazardous Waste Sites .....	4.21-8
4.21.3.17	Visual Resources .....	4.21-8
Section 4.22	<b>Short-Term Uses Versus Long-Term Productivity .....</b>	4.22-1
Section 4.23	<b>Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources .....</b>	4.23-1
Section 4.24	<b>Mitigation Summary .....</b>	4.24-1
4.24.1	Approach and Methodology.....	4.24-1
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>Section 4(f) and 6(f) Evaluation .....</b>	5-1
5.1	Approach and Methodology.....	5-1
5.1.1	Changes since June 2000 Final EIS.....	5-1
5.1.2	Changes since Draft Supplemental EIS .....	5-2
5.1.3	Organization of Section 4(f) and 6(f) Evaluation.....	5-3
5.2	Regulatory Setting .....	5-4
5.2.1	Section 4(f) .....	5-4
5.2.2	Section 6(f) .....	5-5
5.3	Description of Proposed Action .....	5-6
5.3.1	Purpose of and Need for Action.....	5-6
5.3.2	Alternatives .....	5-6
5.4	Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties .....	5-8
5.4.1	Recreation, Wildlife, and Waterfowl Refuge Resources .....	5-8
5.4.2	Historic and Archaeological Resources .....	5-12
5.5	Use of Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties .....	5-21
5.5.1	Recreation, Wildlife, and Waterfowl Refuge Resources .....	5-22
5.5.2	Historic Resources.....	5-29
5.5.3	Archaeological Resources .....	5-35

5.5.4 Summary of Use of Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties by Alternative .....	5-37
5.6 Avoidance Alternatives for Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties .....	5-40
5.6.1 Farmington Bay Waterfowl Management Area (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-40
5.6.2 Utah State Parks Land, including Jordan River Off-Highway Vehicle Center (Section 4[f] and 6[f] Property) .....	5-41
5.6.3 1300 Gloves Lane, Farmington (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-41
5.6.4 662 West Clark Lane, Farmington (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-41
5.6.5 D&RG Railroad (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-42
5.6.6 Historic Resources Used by Parkway Facility Adjacent to Redwood Road Alternative Only (Section 4[f] Properties) .....	5-43
5.6.7 Site 42Dv2 (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-43
5.6.8 Site 42Dv94 (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-44
5.6.9 Archaeological Resources Used by Parkway Facility Adjacent to Redwood Road Alternative Only (Section 4[f] Properties) .....	5-45
5.7 Measures to Minimize Harm to Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties .....	5-45
5.7.1 Farmington Bay Waterfowl Management Area (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-45
5.7.2 Utah State Parks Land, including Jordan River Off-Highway Vehicle Center (Section 4[f] and 6[f] Property) .....	5-46
5.7.3 1300 Gloves Lane, Farmington (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-46
5.7.4 662 West Clark Lane, Farmington (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-46
5.7.5 D&RG Railroad (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-46
5.7.6 Historic Resources Used by Parkway Facility Adjacent to Redwood Road Alternative Only (Section 4[f] Properties) .....	5-47
5.7.7 Site 42Dv2 (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-47
5.7.8 Site 42Dv94 (Section 4[f] Property) .....	5-48
5.7.9 Archaeological Resources Used by Parkway Facility Adjacent to Redwood Road Alternative Only .....	5-48
5.8 Coordination .....	5-48
5.9 Section 4(f) Determination .....	5-50
5.10 Section 6(f) Property .....	5-51
<b>Chapter 6 List of Preparers .....</b>	<b>6-1</b>
6.1 Lead Agencies .....	6-1
6.2 Jones & Stokes (Consultant to Lead Agencies and Document Preparation) .....	6-1
6.2.1 Fehr & Peers Associates (Subconsultant to Jones & Stokes) .....	6-3

6.2.2 PBS&J (Subconsultant to Jones & Stokes) .....	6-3
6.3 Technical Assistance.....	6-3
6.3.1 Utah Department of Transportation .....	6-3
6.3.2 HDR Engineering (Consultant to Utah Department of Transportation) .....	6-4
<b>Chapter 7 Distribution.....</b>	<b>7-1</b>
7.1 Federal Agencies.....	7-1
7.2 State of Utah Agencies.....	7-2
7.3 Local Agencies .....	7-3
7.4 Interested Nongovernmental Organizations .....	7-3
7.5 Native American Tribes .....	7-4
7.6 Libraries.....	7-4
<b>Chapter 8 References .....</b>	<b>8-1</b>

## **Acronyms and Abbreviations**

## **Index**

## **Technical Appendices**

- Appendix A **Consultation and Coordination**
- Appendix B **2020 Travel Demand Analysis**
- Appendix C **Noise**
- Appendix D **Wetlands Functional Assessment**
- Appendix E **Analysis of the Adequacy of the Wetland and Wildlife  
Mitigation Technical Report**
- Appendix F **Draft Wetland Mitigation Plan**
- Appendix G **Updated Cost Estimates**
- Appendix H **Statement of Commitment**

# **Volume 2 Response to Comments**

# Tables

	On Page
S-1	Summary of Major Environmental Impacts by Alternative..... Summary-10
I-1	Legacy Parkway Project History.....Intro-5
1-1	Projected Demographic and Travel Demand Changes between 2001/2002 and 2020 .....1-12
1-2	I-15 Operating Conditions for 2001 and 2020 No-Build Alternative .....1-14
1-3	I-15 Levels of Service for 2001 and 2020 No-Build Alternative (Peak Period, Peak Direction) .....1-15
1-4	Average Accident Rates by Roadway Classification for Urbanized Areas .....1-16
2.1-1	Legacy Parkway Proposed Right-of-Way Cross-Section Components and Dimensions (with Berm) .....2.1-3
2.1-2	Legacy Parkway Roadway Components and Dimensions (without Berm) .....2.1-4
2.1-3	Summary of Impacts of Alternative Water Quality Control Methods .....2.1-10
2.1-4	Alternative Right-of-Way Widths Evaluated for Impacts on Wetlands.....follows 2.1-16
2.2-1	Comparison of D&RG Alignment Relocations with Alternative E Relocations .....2.2-9
2.2-2	Relocations in Links 2 and 3 .....2.2-10
2.2-3	Community Cohesion Impacts: Physical Barriers Created by Alignment.....2.2-11
2.2-4	Percentages of Population Segmented by Transportation Facilities within Each Community.....2.2-11
2.2-5	Noise and Visual Impacts.....2.2-13
2.2-6	Wetland Impacts (in Acres) .....2.2-15

2.2-7	Acres of Wetlands Impacts in Right-of-Way in Links 2 and 3.....	2.2-15
2.2-8	Updated Cost Estimates for Regional Corridors .....	2.2-16
2.2-9	D&RG Conceptual Alignment-Specific Cost Estimates.....	2.2-17
2.2-10	Alignment-Specific Cost Estimates for Links 2 and 3 (millions) .....	2.2-17
2.2-11	Summary of Quantitative Impacts by Alignment .....	follows 2.2-18
2.2-12	Summary of Costs, Wetlands Impacts, and Impacts on Existing Development for Links 2 and 3* .....	2.2-18
2.3-1	Increases to Transit Ridership Resulting from Individual Transit/Land Use Enhancements Based on WFRC Model Response and Empirical Evidence .....	2.3-6
2.3-2	Comparison of Robust Transit Packages A and B with Baseline Conditions Set by WFRC Long Range Plan.....	2.3-9
2.5-1	Direct Wildlife Habitat Loss .....	2.5-3
2.5-2	Modeled Estimate of Wildlife Habitat Exposed to Noise under Build Alternatives .....	2.5-8
3-1	Updated Cost Estimates for Regional Alignments .....	3-4
3-2	I-15 and Legacy Parkway Operating Conditions in 2020 at Woods Cross Screenline under No-Build Alternative and Shared Solution Scenarios.....	3-7
3-3	Summary Description of Network Assumptions for Evaluating Alternatives .....	3-7
3-4	I-15 Operating Conditions in 2020 for Alternatives Evaluated at Woods Cross Screenline .....	3-13
3-5	Comparison of Planned Capacity Improvements at Woods Cross Screenline for Updated WFRC Long Range Plan .....	3-26
3-6	Summary of Features of Modified Build Alternatives .....	3-29
3-7	Updated Project Cost Estimates .....	3-33
3-8	Summary of Alternatives Evaluated for Legacy Parkway Project .....	3-36
4.1-1	Public and Agency Coordination Meetings.....	4.1-3
4.1-2	Economic Indicators for Davis County, 1998–2002 .....	4.1-7

4.1-3	Projected Annual Increases in Population, Households, and Employment in Salt Lake, Davis, and Weber Counties, 2002 to 2020.....	4.1-20
4.2-1	Area of Irrigated and Non-irrigated Cropland in Study Area.....	4.2-3
4.2-2	Area of Prime and State-Important Farmland .....	4.2-4
4.2-3	Impacts on Farmland.....	4.2-6
4.2-4	Impacts on Prime and State-Important Farmland .....	4.2-7
4.3-1	Population Statistics for State of Utah, Wasatch Front Region, and Study Area .....	4.3-3
4.3-2a	Population Densities for State of Utah and Davis and Salt Lake Counties .....	4.3-4
4.3-2b	Population Densities for Census Tracts in or Adjacent to Study Area .....	4.3-5
4.3-3	Minority and Low Income Populations.....	4.3-6
4.3-4	Elderly Populations.....	4.3-7
4.3-5	Level of Service Criteria .....	4.3-12
4.3-6	Existing Levels of Service on Interstates and Major State Highways in Study Area .....	4.3-12
4.3-7	Existing Levels of Service on Local Roadways in Study Area .....	4.3-13
4.3-8	Approximate Amount of Developable Upland in the Study Area.....	4.3-21
4.3-9	Projected 2020 Levels of Service on Interstate and Major State Highway Segments in Study Area.....	4.3-24
4.3-10	Projected 2020 Levels of Service on Local Roadways with Peak-Period Volumes .....	4.3-27
4.3-11	Impacts on Public Facilities .....	4.3-30
4.3-12	Impacts on Recreation Resources in Study Area.....	4.3-34
4.3-13	Land Acquisitions Required in Recreation Areas in the Study Area (hectares [acres]) .....	4.3-35
4.4-1	Number of Residential and Platted Lot Displacements Associated with Build Alternatives.....	4.4-4
4.4-2	Residential Property Acquired since Publication of Final EIS .....	4.4-5

4.4-3	Number of Business Displacements Associated with Build Alternatives.....	4.4-6
4.4-4	Business Properties Acquired .....	4.4-6
4.4-5	Number of Farmstead and Horse Paddock Displacements .....	4.4-8
4.4-6	Horse Paddocks Acquired by Location since Publication of Final EIS.....	4.4-9
4.4-7	Summary of Displacements .....	4.4-10
4.5-1	Employment in Davis County (1980–2020).....	4.5-3
4.5-2	Employment in Salt Lake County (1980–2020).....	4.5-3
4.5-3	Employment Projections (2002–2020) by Census Tracts .....	4.5-5
4.5-4	Revenues—Property Taxes (2002).....	4.5-6
4.5-5	City Revenue Projections .....	4.5-7
4.7-1	Impacts on Existing and Proposed Pedestrian and Bicyclist Facilities.....	4.7-7
4.8-1	National Ambient Air Quality Standards .....	4.8-4
4.8-2	Nonattainment Designations for Jurisdictions in or adjacent to Study Area.....	4.8-5
4.8-3	Existing (2001) and Future (2020) Regional Mesoscale Air Quality for Weber, Davis, and Salt Lake Counties.....	4.8-8
4.8-4	Carbon Monoxide Concentrations at Proposed Legacy Parkway and 500 South Interchange, Legacy Parkway Mainline, and Legacy Parkway Trail .....	4.8-14
4.8-5	PM10 Air Quality Data at North Salt Lake Monitoring Station (ug/m <sup>3</sup> ) .....	4.8-18
4.9-1	Existing Noise Levels October 2003 .....	4.9-7
4.9-2	Modeled Noise Levels at Sensitive Receptors .....	follows 4.9-8
4.9-3	Total Number of Modeled Receptors Affected by Proposed Build Alternatives .....	4.9-10
4.9-4	Noise Abatement for Legacy Parkway .....	4.9-14
4.10-1	Typical Highway Runoff Contaminants .....	4.10-7
4.10-2	Recent Data on Chloride and TDS Concentrations in Highway Runoff and Roadside Streams Compared to UDEQ Water Quality Standards .....	4.10-10

4.10-3	Affected Groundwater Right .....	4.10-15
4.11-1	Required Permits and Clearances .....	4.11-7
4.12-1	Wetland Cover Types, Quantities, and Functional Ratings for the Study Area.....	4.12-5
4.12-2	Average Functional Values for Functional Rating .....	4.12-6
4.12-3	Comparison of Wetland Cover Types and Corresponding Wildlife Habitat Types .....	4.12-8
4.12-4	Direct Impacts on Wetlands by Wetland Class and Wetland Cover Type (for 100-m [328-ft] Right-of-Way).....	4.12-11
4.12-5	Direct Impacts on Wetlands under 328-ft Right-of-Way and 312-ft Right-of-Way.....	4.12-13
4.12-6	Alternative E Wetland Impacts (Lost) and Wetlands Provided (Gained) at the Legacy Nature Preserve.....	4.12-28
4.12-7	Functional Capacity Unit Credits Affected by Inundation at Various Great Salt Lake Levels on the Legacy Nature Preserve .....	4.12-30
4.13-1a	Habitat Matrix of Bird Species in GSLE and Project Study Area.....	follows 4.13-8
4.13-1b	Habitat Matrix of Non-Bird Species in GSLE and Project Study Area .....	follows 4.13-8
4.13-2	Special-Status Wildlife Species of the Legacy Parkway Project Study Area/Great Salt Lake Ecosystem .....	follows 4.13-8
4.13-3	Short-Term Sound Level Measurements .....	4.13-14
4.13-4	Mean, Standard Deviation, Minimum, and Maximum Noise Levels .....	4.13-15
4.13-5	Potential Impact (acres) of Future Development and the Build Alternatives in the Project Study Area .....	4.13-18
4.13-6	Areal Comparison of Build Alternatives with Regional Study Area .....	4.13-22
4.13-7	Percentage of Regionally Available Wildlife Habitat Loss by Alternative .....	4.13-23
4.13-8	Regional Wildlife Habitat Availability at Low and High Great Salt Lake Levels .....	4.13-26
4.13-9	Wildlife Habitat Availability within the Project Study Area at Low and High Great Salt Lake Levels.....	4.13-27
4.13-10	Summary of Habitat Fragmentation by Habitat Category Resulting from Build Alternatives .....	4.13-30

4.13-11	Modeled Estimate of Wildlife Habitat Exposed to Noise under Build Alternatives .....	4.13-39
4.14-1	Impacts on Great Salt Lake Floodplain North of Center Street.....	4.14-4
4.15-1	Special-Status Species That Occur or Could Occur in Study Area.....	4.15-2
4.15-2	State Species of Special Concern Excluded from Further Analysis.....	4.15-4
4.15-3	Reasonable and Prudent Mitigation Measures and Terms and Conditions of Biological Opinion.....	4.15-10
4.16-1	Update of Historic and Prehistoric Archaeological Sites Identified in the APE during Field Surveys .....	4.16-6
4.16-2	In-Period Historic Structures in the APE, outside the Clark Lane Historic District .....	4.16-8
4.16-3	Clark Lane Historic District In-Period Historic Structures Identified in Final EIS.....	4.16-14
4.16-4	Impacts on NRHP-Eligible Historic and Prehistoric Archaeological Sites .....	4.16-17
4.16-5	Impacts on NRHP-Eligible Historic Structures .....	4.16-19
4.17-1	Potential Hazardous Waste Sites Identified since Publication of the Final EIS.....	4.17-4
4.17-2	Status of Hazardous Waste Sites in Alternatives D and E Right-of-Way .....	4.17-4
4.17-3	Hazardous Waste Sites in Alternatives D and E Right-of-Way That Would Also Be Affected by Other Build Alternatives .....	4.17-6
4.19-1	Existing (2001) and Future (2020) Daily Traffic-Related Energy Consumption .....	4.19-2
4.20-1	Commercial Sand and Gravel Pits near Proposed Legacy Parkway Alignments .....	4.20-5
4.20-2	Required Earthwork and Construction-Related Energy Consumption By Alternative .....	4.20-6
4.20-3	Typical Construction Equipment Noise Levels.....	4.20-9
4.21-1	Typical Noise Levels in Rural and Urban Areas in the U.S.....	4.21-6
4.24-1	Mitigation Summary.....	4.24-2
5-1	Section 4(f) Trails .....	5-8

5-2	Historic Resources Identified in June 2000 Final EIS as Eligible for NRHP Listing and Section 4(f) Protection .....	5-13
5-3	Historic Resources Identified in 2005 Inventory as Eligible for NRHP and Section 4(f) Protection.....	5-14
5-4	Acres of Direct Use of Land at FBWMA's Eastern Entrance, Updated for Reduced Right-of-Way .....	5-23
5-5	Results of Updated Noise Analysis for FBWMA.....	5-23
5-6	Updated Noise Analysis for Bountiful City Pond .....	5-26
5-7	Revised Direct Use of Land from Utah State Parks Land (Jordan River OHV Center).....	5-29
5-8	Temporary Easements on CLHD Properties Resulting from State Street Overpass Reconstruction.....	5-31
5-9	Summary of Use of Section 4(f) and 6(f) Properties by Alternative.....	5-37
5-10	Summary of Use of Section 6(f) Land, Jordan River OHV Center .....	5-51

# Figures

## Follows Page

1-1	Proposed Action .....	1-2
1-2	2020 Shared Solution without Legacy Parkway .....	1-10
2.1-1	Legacy Parkway Supplemental EIS 95m (312') Right-of-Way Cross Section With Berm .....	2.1-2
2.1-2	Legacy Parkway Supplemental EIS 95m (312') Right-of-Way Cross Section Without Berm .....	2.1-2
2.1-3	Berm Locations along Proposed Right-of-Way.....	2.1-14
2.1-4	Reduced Footprint Cross Section to Minimize Impacts .....	2.1-14
2.1-5	Alternative Right-of-Way Cross Sections.....	2.1-16
2.2-1	D&RG Conceptual Alignments .....	2.2-4
2.2-2	Relocations .....	2.2-8
2.3-1	Recommended Land Use Shifts .....	2.3-8
2.3-2	Comparison of Measures of Effectiveness of 2020 Baseline with Robust Transit Package A and Robust Transit Package B .....	2.3-10
2.3-3	Comparison of Measures of Effectiveness by Mode of 2020 Baseline with Robust Transit Package A and Robust Transit Package B .....	2.3-10
2.3-4	Legacy Parkway Bridge Structures Designed to Accommodate Integration of Mass Transit .....	2.3-12
2.4-1	All Scenarios Impacts on Wetlands .....	2.4-6
2.4-2	All Scenarios Travel Speed on I-15 between the US-89 and I-215 Interchange .....	2.4-6
2.4-3	All Scenarios Average I-15 Travel Times between the US-89 and I-215 Interchanges .....	2.4-6

2.4-4	All Scenarios Cumulative Cost to the Traveling Public.....	2.4-6
3-1	Regional Alignments Evaluated in the Final EIS .....	3-4
3-2	Build Alternatives Evaluated in the Final EIS and Reevaluated in the Supplemental EIS .....	3-6
3-3	I-15 Traffic Volume, Peak Period Peak Direction .....	3-6
3-4	2020 Traffic Volumes on I-15 for the No-Build Scenario, Shared Solution, and UBET Alternative .....	3-16
4.0-1	Legacy Parkway Study Area.....	4.0-2
4.1-1	Existing, Planned, and Platted Development in the Study Area .....	4.1-6
4.2-1	Farmland in the Study Area .....	4.2-2
4.2-2	Prime and State/Local-Important Farmland.....	4.2-4
4.2-3	Farmland Impacts .....	4.2-6
4.2-4	Prime and State/Local-Important Farmland Impacts .....	4.2-8
4.3-1	Census Tract Boundaries .....	4.3-2
4.3-2	Ethnic Composition in the Study Area .....	4.3-6
4.3-3	Household Income in the Study Area .....	4.3-8
4.3-4	Major Arterials and Local Roadways .....	4.3-10
4.3-5	Public Facility Locations .....	4.3-14
4.3-6	Minority, Elderly, and Blind Populations .....	4.3-18
4.6-1	Joint Development Opportunities with Proposed Legacy Parkway Trails and Other Trails .....	4.6-4
4.9-1	Legacy Parkway Noise Impact Assessment, Segment 1: I-215 to 900 North .....	4.9-4
4.9-2	Legacy Parkway Noise Impact Assessment, Segment 2: 900 North to 1200 North .....	4.9-4
4.9-3	Legacy Parkway Noise Impact Assessment, Segment 3: 1200 North to Parrish Lane .....	4.9-4
4.9-4	Legacy Parkway Noise Impact Assessment, Segment 4: Parrish Lane to Grovers Lane .....	4.9-4

4.9-5	Legacy Parkway Noise Impact Assessment, Segment 5: Grovers Lane to US-89/I-15 Interchange .....	4.9-4
4.9-6	Legacy Parkway Noise Impact Assessment, Segment 5: Grovers Lane to Northern Terminus (Alternative B Only).....	4.9-4
4.10-1	Impaired Segment of the Jordan River within the Study Area .....	4.10-4
4.10-2	Existing and Private Wells .....	4.10-4
4.10-3	Drinking Water Source Protection Zones Relative to the Study Area.....	4.10-4
4.10-4	Surface Water and Groundwater Conveyance Structures.....	4.10-14
4.10-5	Potentially Affected Wells .....	4.10-16
4.12-1	Study Area for the Analysis of Impacts on Wetlands.....	4.12-2
4.12-2	Parcels Associated with the Legacy Nature Preserve .....	4.12-26
4.13-1	Location of Great Salt Lake in Lake Bonneville Basin .....	4.13-57
4.13-2	Project Study Area.....	4.13-57
4.13-3	Regional Study Area.....	4.13-57
4.13-4	Great Salt Lake Ecosystem Area.....	4.13-57
4.13-5a	Wildlife Habitats and Build Alternatives in the Project Study Area .....	4.13-57
4.13-5b	Wildlife Habitats and Build Alternatives in the Project Study Area .....	4.13-57
4.13-5c	Wildlife Habitats and Build Alternatives in the Project Study Area .....	4.13-57
4.13-6	Total Existing Wildlife Habitat in the Project Study Area .....	4.13-57
4.13-7	Noise Monitoring Locations in the Project Study Area.....	4.13-57
4.13-8	Potential Future Development in the Project Study Area .....	4.13-57
4.13-9	Direct Habitat Loss in the Project Study Area by Habitat Type .....	4.13-57
4.13-10	Wildlife Habitat Availability in the Project Study Area at Low and High Lake Levels.....	4.13-57
4.13-11	Wildlife Habitat Availability in the Regional Study Area at Low and High Lake Levels.....	4.13-57
4.13-12	Potential Future Development in the Project Study Area and Legacy Nature Preserve.....	4.13-57

4.13-13a	Highway Noise Levels for the Legacy Parkway Build Alternatives .....	4.13-57
4.13-13b	Highway Noise Levels for the Legacy Parkway Build Alternatives .....	4.13-57
4.13-14	Highway Noise Levels in the Proposed Legacy Nature Preserve .....	4.13-57
4.13-15	Areal Extent of the Proposed Legacy Parkway Nature Preserve Affected by Noise (Existing Conditions).....	4.13-57
4.13-16	Area of the Proposed Legacy Parkway Nature Preserve that Would be Affected by Noise (Alternative E).....	4.13-57
4.13-17	Net Area Affected by Noise compared to Existing Conditions.....	4.13-57
4.14-1	Revised Floodplain Area.....	4.14-2
4.14-2	Floodplain Equalization and Stream Crossing Culverts.....	4.14-4
4.16-1a	Historic Structures in the APE .....	4.16-12
4.16-1b	Historic Structures in the APE .....	4.16-12
4.17-1	Additional Hazardous Waste Sites Evaluated Since Publication of Final EIS .....	4.17-4
4.18-1	Key Observation Point Locations.....	4.18-2
5-1	Section 4(f) and 6(f) Property Locations .....	5-51
5-2	Legacy Nature Preserve Boundary and Section 4(f) Property Locations .....	5-51
5-3	Parkway Facility Adjacent to Redwood Road Alternative and Section 4(f) Property Locations .....	5-51
5-4	White House and Clark Lane Historic District (Farmington) Locations.....	5-51
5-5	Impacts and Replacement Land FBWMA's Eastern Entrance .....	5-51
5-6	Impacts and Replacement Land FBWMA's Southern Entrance and Bountiful City Pond .....	5-51
5-7	Impacts, Jordan River Off-Highway Vehicle Center (OHVC).....	5-51
5-8	Impacts, White House Historic Building.....	5-51
5-9	Temporary Easements, Clark Lane Historic District (Farmington).....	5-51
5-10	Impacts, D&RG Railroad .....	5-51

5-11	Detail of Impacts, D&RG Railroad .....	5-51
5-12	Replacement Land Jordan River Off-Highway Vehicle Center.....	5-51