

ENCLOSURE 1  
**Site Map**

---



ENCLOSURE 2

## Legal Description of the Property

---

Revised: September 5, 1996  
 Date: July 9, 1996  
 File: 8-410-040

**DESCRIPTION**  
**644.187 ACRE U.S. ARMY PARCEL**  
**AT**  
**HAMILTON ARMY AIRFIELD**

1. Beginning at a concrete monument and 4" brass disc marked "Property of the United States", located at the intersection of the calls "West 3398.58" and "South 154.66" as described in the Quitclaim deed from the State of California to the United States of America recorded January 17, 1985 under Document No. 85-002142, Marin County records, said point of intersection having California State Plane Zone III coordinates of X=1,422,499.81 feet and Y=569,791.84 feet;
2. Thence along the general southerly line of said Quitclaim deed, South 01°18'38" West 353.29 feet to a concrete monument and 4" brass disc marked "Property of the United States";
3. Thence leaving said line, and along the easterly line of the property transferred to the Department of the Navy from the Department of the Air Force by memorandums for the Secretary of the Navy, dated January 25, 1975, and May 5, 1976, North 43°30'48" West 1,014.69 feet;
4. Thence North 59°16'12" East 413.65 feet to a 1 inch iron pipe;
5. Thence North 43°40'14" West 714.70 feet;
6. Thence leaving said line of the property transferred to the Department of the Navy, North 82°27'41" East 49.96 feet;
7. Thence North 66°16'26" East 411.96 feet;
8. Thence North 46°17'38" East 452.40 feet;
9. Thence North 43°40'36" West 375.28 feet;
10. Thence North 43°39'16" West 422.90 feet;
11. Thence North 43°42'20" West 395.83 feet;

*Continued*

12. Thence North 29°55'44" West 444.45 feet to the general easterly line of the Parcel conveyed to the U. S. Coast Guard July 10, 1984;
13. Thence along said easterly line, North 30°05'00" West 506.00 feet to the easterly line of the Parcel described in the Quitclaim deed to New Hamilton Partnership as Exhibit "A", Tract 1, recorded January 26, 1995 under Document No. 95-029154, Marin County Records;
14. Thence along said easterly line North 59°55'00" East 1.32 feet;
15. Thence North 29°56'04" West 1,681.44 feet;
16. Thence North 09°24'21" West 418.20 feet;
17. Thence North 43°38'33" West 4,053.33 feet to the northwesterly line of aforementioned Quitclaim Deed to the United States of America Parcel (Document No. 85-002142);
18. Thence along the line of said Quitclaim deed North 82°59'01" East 528.25 feet;
19. Thence North 46°22'09" East 801.67 feet;
20. Thence South 43°37'51" East 4,141.23 feet;
21. Thence South 72°25'02" East 5,675.22 feet to the northwest corner of Parcel 4 of the Quitclaim deed from the United States of America to the State of California recorded January 17, 1985 under Document No. 85-002144, Marin County Records;
22. Thence along the line of said Parcel 4, South 17°34'58" West 15.00 feet;
23. Thence South 72°25'02" East 106.68 feet to the easterly line of the property described in the Quitclaim deed from the State of California to the United States of America recorded January 17, 1985, under Document No. 85-002142, Marin County Records (reference exception on Page 8);
24. Thence leaving said line of Parcel 4, and along the easterly and southerly lines of said Quitclaim deed (Document No. 85-002142), South 26°11'58" West 4,356.27 feet;
25. Thence South 00°42'02" East 92.81 feet;
26. Thence North 88°41'22" West 2,453.15 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING.

ENCLOSURE 3

## List of Buildings and Structures

---

## ENCLOSURE 3

## List of Buildings and Structures

Building	Year Built	Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Historical Use	Current Status
Building 15	<1978 <sup>e</sup>	365 <sup>c</sup>	Radar Building (TACAN) <sup>d</sup>	Present
Building 16	<1978	NA	Shack located on Revetment 20	Demolished
Building 20	1957 <sup>f</sup>	140 <sup>i</sup>	Generator Building <sup>d</sup>	Present
Building 26	<1978 <sup>e</sup>	1,536 <sup>c</sup>	Ground Approach Radar Building (A 1,000-gallon underground diesel storage tank was removed from the west end of this building. The tank fed a power generator.) <sup>a</sup>	Present
Building 35	<1940	492 <sup>c</sup>	Secondary stormwater pump station (An aboveground diesel storage tanks was associated with this building.) <sup>a</sup>	Present
Building 38 (Building 53)	<1940	NA	Generator to power pump stations <sup>a</sup>	Present
Building 39 (Building 59)	<1940 <1952	489 <sup>c</sup>	Automatic pump station (Aboveground diesel storage tank next to this building was removed.) <sup>a</sup>	Present
Building 40	<1978 <sup>e</sup>	NA	Generator to power pump stations (aboveground storage tank next to this building) <sup>a</sup>	Demolished
Building 41	<1978 <sup>e</sup>	2,454 <sup>c</sup>	Stormwater pump station. <sup>a</sup> Contains four diesel powered pumps for water removal.	Demolished
Building 42	<1978 <sup>e</sup>	550 <sup>c</sup>	Former sewage treatment facility <sup>a</sup>	Demolished <sup>a,9</sup>
Building 43	<1978 <sup>e</sup>	733 <sup>c</sup>	Former sewage treatment facility <sup>a</sup>	Demolished <sup>a,9</sup>
Building 44	<1978 <sup>e</sup>	151 <sup>c</sup>	Former sewage treatment facility <sup>a</sup>	Demolished <sup>a,9</sup>
Building 45	<1978 <sup>e</sup>	1,012 <sup>c</sup>	Former sewage treatment facility <sup>a</sup>	Demolished <sup>a,9</sup>
Building 45 A	<1978 <sup>f</sup>	NA	Former sewage treatment facility	Demolished
Building 46	<1978	NA	Storage for engine test stand at Revetment 6	Demolished
Building 47	<1940	NA	Storage shed at testing range	Demolished
Building 48	<1978 <sup>e</sup>	300 <sup>c</sup>	Former Generator Building near the firing-in-butt <sup>d</sup>	Present <sup>h</sup>
Building 49	1934 <sup>i</sup>	706 <sup>i</sup>	Black powder magazine	Demolished
Building 51	1934 <sup>i</sup>	813 <sup>i</sup>	Demolition bombs magazine	Demolished
Building 53	<1945	NA	AAA Barracks	Demolished
Building 54	<1945	NA	Storehouse	Demolished
Building 55	<1945	NA	Supply	Demolished
Building 56	<1945	NA	Lumber Storehouse	Demolished
Building 57	<1978 <sup>e</sup>	3,060 <sup>j</sup>	Barracks and bathhouse <sup>j</sup>	Demolished
Building 58	<1952	450 lineal feet <sup>l</sup>	Wharf and Bulkhead <sup>j</sup> . Structure located near the southeast corner of the Main Airfield Parcel as part of the Boat Dock complex, designating the dock and associated turning basin.	Present
Building 59	<1945	NA	Mess Hall	Demolished
Building 60	<1978 <sup>e</sup>	651 <sup>j</sup>	Boathouse <sup>j</sup>	Demolished

ENCLOSURE 3

List of Buildings and Structures

Building	Year Built	Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Historical Use	Current Status
Building 61	<1945	NA	Boat House Paint Shop	Demolished
Building 63	<1945	NA	AAA Barracks	Demolished
Building 65	1942	NA	Former gas chamber located in the southwest area of the Main Airfield Parcel between the Perimeter Drainage Ditch and Perimeter Road	Demolished
Building 82	1969 <sup>f</sup>	14,960 <sup>c</sup>	Storage of MEDEVAC supplies (previously authorized to store war-ready materials). <sup>a</sup> Aircraft rescue and first aid. <sup>g</sup>	Present
Building 83	<1978 <sup>e</sup>	121 <sup>c</sup>	Oxygen storage shed <sup>f</sup>	Present
Building 84	1961 <sup>b</sup>	12,132 <sup>c</sup>	Used by the 12 <sup>th</sup> Special Forces of the 4 <sup>th</sup> Army for training (entry denied). <sup>a</sup> Reportedly used for electronics equipment repair. <sup>b</sup>	Present
Building 86	1967 <sup>f</sup>	68,797 <sup>k</sup>	Storage and light maintenance area for aircraft with classrooms on the third floor. <sup>a</sup> Storage Area 2 on southwest corner of building (maintenance related fluids). Storage Area 1 on northeast corner for drums. Building surrounded by concrete aircraft aprons. <sup>g</sup>	Demolished
Building 87	<1978 <sup>e</sup>	464 <sup>c</sup>	Storage area for unopened chemical containers (oil, grease, antifreeze, solvent, paint, etc). 55-gal drums and CONEX outside. Drums contained PD-680, aircraft cleaning compound, or turbine engine cleaner. CONEX contained cans of gasoline. <sup>a</sup>	Present <sup>g</sup>
Building 88	<1978 <sup>e</sup>	NA	Unknown	Demolished
Building 90	1961 <sup>b</sup>	2,986 <sup>c</sup>	Aircraft avionics shop <sup>b</sup>	Present
Building 91	<1978	NA	Requisition/Quartermaster supply	Demolished
Building 92	1972 <sup>f</sup>	4,000 <sup>c</sup>	Crash/rescue station with fire truck (had some compressed gas cylinders and small drums of purple K). <sup>a</sup> Currently used for storage of supplies and records. <sup>g</sup>	Present <sup>g</sup>
Building 93	Circa 1945 <sup>b</sup>	NA	Formerly used as a passenger terminal and aircraft maintenance activities. <sup>a</sup> 25 to 30 buildings/storage facilities located northwest of 93. <sup>h</sup>	Demolished (but foundation still exists) <sup>b</sup>
Building 94	1962 <sup>f</sup>	4,020 <sup>c</sup>	Former training facility (currently vacant). <sup>a</sup> Storage Area 3 on northeastern side contained maintenance related fluids (fuel, paint and solvents, etc.). <sup>g</sup>	Present <sup>g</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Weston, 1990

<sup>b</sup> Woodward-Clyde, 1995

<sup>c</sup> Occusafe, 1989

<sup>d</sup> Earth Tech, Inc., 1995

<sup>e</sup> Earth Technology Corp., 1994

<sup>f</sup> IT, 2001

<sup>g</sup> Woodward Clyde Federal Services, 1996

<sup>h</sup> IT, 1999

<sup>i</sup> Completion Report, Six Ordnance Magazines

<sup>j</sup> Basic Layout Plan, 16 May 1945

<sup>k</sup> Real Property Record DA Form 2877

NA not available

ENCLOSURE 4

# Environmental Studies

---

California Department of Health Services (DHS). 2003. Memorandum Regarding Release of BRAC Property at Hamilton Army Air Field. March 12.

California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC). 2001. Memorandum Regarding Retraction of CERFA Concurrence Due to Pesticide and Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon Contamination at Hamilton Army Air Field. February 15.

California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC). 1994. Memorandum Regarding the Army's "Uncontaminated" Property Determination for Parcels 8P, 34P, 35Q, 36P, and 37Q at Hamilton Army Air Field. May 20.

California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC). 1994. Memorandum Regarding the Army's "Uncontaminated" Property Determination for Parcels 12P, 14P, 32P, 26Q, 2P, 10Q, 39P, 40Q at Hamilton Army Air Field. April 18.

CH2M HILL. 2003. Focused Feasibility Study (Coastal Salt Marsh). Hamilton Army Airfield. Novato, California.

CH2M HILL. 2003. Record of Decision/Remedial Action Plan (Main Airfield Parcel). Hamilton Army Airfield. Novato, California.

CH2M HILL. 2003. Final Environmental Baseline Survey, Main Airfield Parcel. Hamilton Army Airfield. Novato, California. February.

CH2M HILL. 2001. Final Focused Feasibility Study (Inboard Area Sites). BRAC Property Hamilton Army Airfield. Volumes I and II. August. (Note: This document was originally prepared by IT and subsequently revised by CH2M HILL at the request of USACE.)

Earth Technology Corporation. 1994. Base Realignment and Closure Plan. Hamilton Army Airfield. Version I.

Earth Technology Corporation. 1994. Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA) Report. Hamilton Army Airfield. Novato, California.

Earth Tech, Inc., November 1995, Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Cleanup Plan, Hamilton Army Airfield, Version 2.

EPA. 1988. CERCLA Compliance with Other Laws Manual, Part I: Interim Final, Rep. 540/G-85/006, August, Washington, D.C.

EPA. 1989. CERCLA Compliance with Other Laws Manual, Part II; Clean Air Act and Other Environmental Statutes and State Requirements, Washington, D.C.

EPA. 1989. Interim Final Guidance on Preparing Superfund Decision Documents.

Foster Wheeler. 2000. Remedial Design Investigation Report. Hamilton Army Airfield BRAC Project. Novato, California. February.

IT. 2002. Draft Final Construction Report Building 41 Demolition and Soil Removal, Spoils Pile F Removal, and Revetments 6 and 7 Removal. December.

IT. 2001. Focused Feasibility Study (Inboard Sites). BRAC Property. Hamilton Army Airfield. Vol. I and II. February 26.

IT. 2000. 1998 Interim Removal Action Data Report. BRAC Property, Hamilton Army Airfield. Novato, California. April.

IT. 2000. 1999 Interim Removal Action Data Report. BRAC Property, Hamilton Army Airfield. Novato, California. April.

IT. 1999. Comprehensive Remedial Investigation Report. BRAC Property. Hamilton Army Airfield Novato, California. Volumes I and II. April.

IT. 1998. Remedial Assessment Summary GSA Phase II Sale Area. Hamilton Army Airfield, Novato, California. November.

IT. 1997. Perimeter Drainage Ditch Engineering Evaluation Report. BRAC Property. Hamilton Army Airfield.

IT. 1996. Program Contractor Quality Control Chemical Data Quality Management Plan, Martinez, California.

IT. 1996. Closure Report, GSA Sale Area Phase I lot 7 and Outparcels A-5 and A-6, Hamilton Army Airfield, Martinez, California.

Jones & Stokes Associates, Inc. 1998. Hamilton Wetland Restoration Plan, Volume II: Final EIR/EIS, Volume 2 of 3. December.

Jones & Stokes Associates, Inc. 1991. Environmental Assessment for the Closure and Realignment of Hamilton Army Airfield.

Occusafe, Inc. 1989. Asbestos Survey for Hamilton Army Airfield.

Remedial Constructors Inc. 1996. Removal of PCB Transformers from BRAC Property, Closure Report. Hamilton Army Airfield. Novato, California.

Robert Bein, William Frost & Associates (RBF). 1995. Hamilton Army Airfield Reuse Plan.

SWRCB. 1992. California State Water Resources Control Board ARARs Under CERCLA, Sacramento, California.

U.S. Army. 2003. Memorandum: Additional Historic Research for Sites Identified in the Archives Search Report 2001.

U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine. 1995. Industrial Radiation Survey No. 27-83-0990-3-95. Hamilton Army Airfield., Novato, California.

USACE. 2001. Human Health and Ecological Risk Assessment. BRAC Property, Hamilton Army Airfield. Prepared for the Army by USACE, Sacramento District, with technical assistance from IT Corp. and CH2M HILL. August.

USACE. 2001. U.S. Department of Defense Program, Base Realignment and Closure, Ordnance, Ammunition and Explosives, Final Archives Search Report. Hamilton Army Airfield, Marin County, California.

USACE. Undated. A Brief History of Hamilton Army Airfield, pamphlet.

Weston, Roy F. Inc. (Weston), 2002, Completion Report, Asbestos Abatement at East Fort Baker, California and Hamilton Army Airfield, Novato, California.

Weston, Roy F. Inc. (Weston), 1990, Enhanced Preliminary Assessment, Hamilton Army Airfield, Task Order 2.

Woodward-Clyde Federal Services (WCFS), 1996, Additional Environmental Investigation Report, BRAC Property, Hamilton Army Airfield, Final, Volume 1 of 7.

Woodward-Clyde (WC), August 1995, Preliminary Site Assessment (PSA), Buildings 84, 90, 93, 138, and 140, BRAC Parcel, Hamilton Army Airfield.

ENCLOSURE 5

# Environmental Condition of Property (ECP) Categories

---

**Category 1:** Areas where no release or disposal of hazardous substances or petroleum products has occurred. (including no migration of these substances from adjacent areas)

**Category 2:** Areas where only release or disposal of petroleum products has occurred.

**Category 3:** Areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances has occurred, but at concentrations that do not require a removal or remedial response.

**Category 4:** Areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances has occurred, and all removal or remedial actions to protect human health and the environment have been taken.

**Category 5:** Areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances has occurred, and removal or remedial actions are underway, but all required remedial actions have not yet been taken.

**Category 6:** Areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances has occurred, but required actions have not yet been implemented.

**Category 7:** Areas that are not evaluated or require additional evaluation.

ENCLOSURE 6

**Notice of Hazardous Substances and Petroleum  
Product Storage, Release, or Disposal**

---

## ENCLOSURE 6-1

## Notification of Petroleum Product Storage, Release, or Disposal

Site Name	Name of Petroleum Product(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Building 20	Diesel fuel	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>Building was constructed in 1957. UST was removed sometime prior to 1996.</p>	<p>A UST of unknown capacity was located on the southwest side of the building. The UST supplied diesel fuel to a generator in the building and was removed some time prior to 1996.</p> <p>Soil samples were collected during the RI near the former tank location. UHE, UHP and lead were detected above step-out criterion.<sup>a</sup> Excavation and confirmation sampling were conducted as part of the 1998 Interim Removal Actions. Approximately 150 yd<sup>3</sup> were removed at the former UST location. Lead was detected in the confirmation samples at baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup></p> <p>No contaminants are present at levels that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.</p>
Building 26	Diesel fuel	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>Building was constructed sometime prior to 1978. UST was removed sometime between 1994 and 1995. It is not known when the AST was removed.</p>	<p>Building 26 was a Ground Approach Radar Building. A 1,000-gallon UST, which stored diesel fuel, was located on the southern side of the building. A 150 to 300 gallon diesel fuel AST was located inside the building. These tanks supplied fuel for Building 26 activities.</p> <p>Potholes were excavated around the former UST location and soil samples were collected from the potholes. TPH-D was detected in the soil samples above step-out criterion.<sup>a</sup> No staining was identified near the former AST location, and this area was not investigated further.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that TPH-D is the only contaminant remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP selected Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the final remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors from exposure to TPH-D found at concentrations above action goals.<sup>d</sup></p>
Building 35/39	Diesel fuel	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>Buildings 35 and 39 were constructed prior to 1978. Two ASTs located at this site were removed in 1999.</p>	<p>Buildings 35 and 39 were manually operated and automatically operated pump stations, respectively. Building 35 contained former AST-6, which had a capacity of approximately 2,000 gallons and contained diesel fuel. Building 39 contained former AST-5, which had a capacity of approximately 2,000 gallons and contained diesel fuel.</p> <p>Investigations in 1993 indicated that soil around the ASTs contained lead and PAHs above baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup> Toluene was also detected. Excavation and confirmation sampling were conducted near Building 39 in 1998. Soil borings were also drilled around Building 35. TPH-D and UHE were detected in these efforts at concentrations above interim removal action guidance levels.<sup>c</sup> Approximately 332 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were removed from the former AST 6 area in 1999. UHE was detected in confirmation samples at concentrations above interim removal action guidance levels.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>No contaminants related to petroleum storage, release, or disposal at this site are present at concentrations that require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance at this site for contaminants that are not related to petroleum storage or release.</p>

ENCLOSURE 6-1

Notification of Petroleum Product Storage, Release, or Disposal

Site Name	Name of Petroleum Product(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Building 41	Diesel fuel	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>Building 41 was constructed prior to 1978. The USTs at the site were abandoned prior to 1970 and removed in 1995.</p>	<p>Building 41 was a manually operated stormwater pump station. The Building 41 Area includes two 1,100-gallon diesel fuel USTs and two diesel fuel ASTs. The USTs were removed in 1995.</p> <p>Soil samples were collected around the ASTs. The results indicated the horizontal extent of fuel contamination from the ASTs was approximately 20 feet and the vertical extent was approximately 5 feet.</p> <p>Soil borings were drilled around the former location of the USTs. Soil samples from the borings detected UHE above its step-out criterion<sup>a</sup> and lead above its baseline concentration.<sup>b</sup></p> <p>Approximately 250 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were excavated west of Building 41 as part of the 1998 Interim Removal Actions. Additionally, approximately 450 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were excavated east of building 41 as part of the 1999 Interim Removal Actions. TPH concentrations exceeded the interim removal action guidance level<sup>c</sup> in confirmation samples. PAHs were also detected.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that THP-D and PAHs are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP selected Excavation and Offsite Disposal as the final remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors from exposure to TPH-D and PAHs found at concentrations above action goals.<sup>d</sup> Following completion of the FFS, remedial action, including building demolition, excavation, and confirmation sampling, has been completed. Contaminant concentrations (e.g., TPH and PAHs) were detected below action goals following the removal actions. However, because the analytical results obtained following the building demolition are currently being reviewed by the Army and regulatory agencies, this site is being evaluated as though the actions have not yet taken place.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-1

## Notification of Petroleum Product Storage, Release, or Disposal

Site Name	Name of Petroleum Product(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Building 82/87/92/94 Area	Unknown petroleum (Building 82). This building was used for aircraft maintenance; petroleum storage was likely. Unleaded Gasoline (Building 87) Fuels (Building 92/94 Area)	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known. Building 82 was constructed in 1969 and demolished in 1998. Building 87 was constructed prior to 1997. Building 92 was constructed in 1972. Building 94 was constructed in 1962.	Soil samples from potholes at Building 82 detected UHE at concentrations that exceeded step-out criterion. <sup>a</sup> During 1998 interim removal actions, 170 yd <sup>3</sup> of soil were excavated. Confirmation soil samples detected UHP and UHE above their interim removal action guidance levels. <sup>c</sup> Additional excavation of 317 yd <sup>3</sup> was conducted in 1999. No contaminants in soil were detected above their interim removal guidance level. <sup>c</sup> The Army conducted an additional soil and groundwater investigation at Building 82 in September 2002 (Cerrudo Services, 2002). Soil and groundwater samples were collected inside and outside of Building 82. TPH-D, TPH-G, and TPH-MO were detected in the groundwater samples. BTEX compounds were not detected in soil or groundwater samples. The Army and SWRCB agreed that no further action is required at this site with respect to groundwater. An unknown number of 5-gallon containers were stored in a metal CONEX box northwest of the Building 87. There are no known petroleum releases from this area. Fuel was stored in Storage Area 3 located near Building 92/94. Fuel hydrocarbons were not detected in soil samples collected from this area. There are no known petroleum releases from this area. No contaminants related to petroleum storage, release, or disposal at this site are present at concentrations that require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance at this site for contaminants that are not related to petroleum storage or release.
Building 84/90 Area	Unknown petroleum (Building 90). This building was used for aircraft maintenance; petroleum storage was likely.	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known. Building 90 was constructed in 1961.	There are no known releases of petroleum substances at this site. No contaminants are present at levels that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.
Building 86	Unknown petroleum. Area used for aircraft maintenance activities.	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known. Building 86 was constructed in 1967 and was demolished in 1998	Soil borings were drilled near interior and exterior drains for Building 86. Lead was detected at concentrations both above and below its baseline concentration. <sup>b</sup> UHE and UHP were detected both above and below their step-out criterion. <sup>a</sup> During the 1998 interim removal actions, additional soil borings were drilled around the storm drain line south of the building. One sample from the borings detected PAHs above interim removal action guidance levels. <sup>c</sup> No contaminants related to petroleum storage, release, or disposal at this site are present at concentrations that require remedial action. The ROD/RAP selected Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance at this site for contaminants that are not related to petroleum storage or release.

## ENCLOSURE 6-1

## Notification of Petroleum Product Storage, Release, or Disposal

Site Name	Name of Petroleum Product(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
East Levee Generator Pad	Diesel fuel	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.	<p>The East Levee Generator Pad is reported to have contained a 55-gallon drum and a former AST located on a concrete slab adjacent to the generator pad. Both the 55-gallon drum and the AST supplied diesel fuel to a generator at the site.</p> <p>Soil samples collected during the RI indicated UHE was detected above its step-out criterion<sup>a</sup> and lead and PAHs were detected above their baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup> Excavation and confirmation sampling were performed during 1998 interim removal activities. Approximately 380 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were removed. Confirmation samples did not detect TPH or PAHs; lead and other metals were detected below interim removal action guidance levels.<sup>c</sup> The excavation was backfilled.</p> <p>No contaminants are present at levels that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.</p>
Revetment 18/ Building 15	Diesel fuel	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.	<p>A 120-gallon AST storage tank was used to store fuel for the generator located inside Building 15. UHE contamination was detected above its step-out criterion<sup>a</sup> in a confirmation sample near the AST. Lead was also detected in this sample above its baseline concentration.<sup>b</sup> The AST and associated piping were removed by IT in 1997.</p> <p>An interim removal action was conducted at Building 15 in 1998. Approximately 170 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were removed near the former AST. Confirmation samples detected lead and UHE at concentrations below their interim removal action guidance levels.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>No contaminants are present at levels that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.</p>
Onshore Fuel Line	Aviation gasoline, JP-4	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The fuel lines were removed in 1995 and 1998</p>	<p>The onshore fuel line (ONSFL) was used to transport fuel from an offshore unloading terminal to the airfield hangars and fuel storage facility at POL Hill. TPH, ethylbenzene, xylenes, PAH, and lead were detected in soil samples collected following removal of the fuel lines.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that TPH, ethylbenzene, xylenes, and PAHs are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP selected Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the final remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors from exposure to TPH and PAHs found at concentrations above action goals.<sup>d</sup></p>
Tarmac East of Outparcel A-5	No petroleum products were stored at this site.	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>Petroleum migration from an adjacent site impacted soil at the tarmac east of out parcel A-5.</p>	<p>Soil samples collected at the Tarmac detected TPH. Potholes were excavated during the RI. Soil samples from the potholes detected PAH below baseline concentrations,<sup>b</sup> lead near baseline concentrations,<sup>b</sup> and UHP below step-out criterion.<sup>a</sup></p> <p>No contaminants are present at levels that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-1

## Notification of Petroleum Product Storage, Release, or Disposal

Site Name	Name of Petroleum Product(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Revetment 5	The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance. The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and VOCs.	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.  The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.	In 1993 soil samples detected TPH and lead (at or below background concentrations). In 1999 a soil sample from beneath the pad detected UHP. A VOC, 2-butanone, was detected at an estimated value of 4 ppb.  No contaminants are present at levels that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.
Revetment 8	The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance. The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons and metals.	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.  The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.	In 1993 soil samples detected TPH and lead (at or below background concentrations). In 1999 a soil sample was collected from beneath the revetment. No contaminants were detected in this sample.  No contaminants are present at levels that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.
Revetment 14	The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance. The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons and metals.	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.  The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.	In 1993 soil samples detected TPH and lead. In 1999, UHE was detected in a sample collected from surface soil near the revetment.  The risk assessment and FFS determined that TPH is the only contaminant at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP selected Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the final remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors from exposure to TPH found at concentrations above action goals. <sup>d</sup>
Revetment 15	The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance. The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons and metals.	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.  The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.	In 1993 soil samples detected TPH, PAHs, and lead (at or below background concentrations). In 1999, metals (including cadmium and lead) were detected in soil samples collected from around and beneath the revetment at concentrations generally at or below background. Cadmium and lead were detected at background concentrations. A VOC, toluene, was detected at an estimated value of 6 ppb.  No contaminants are present at levels that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.
Revetment 17	The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance. The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and PAHs.	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.  The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.	In 1993 soil samples detected TPH and lead (at or below background concentrations). Soil borings were also installed around the revetment and samples were collected at depth in 1993. TPH was detected in these samples, and lead and PAHs were detected above baseline concentrations. <sup>b</sup> During the RI additional soil samples were collected. These samples did not detect PAHs or lead above baseline concentrations. <sup>b</sup> In 1999 a soil sample was collected from beneath the revetment. No contaminants were detected in this sample.  No contaminants are present at levels that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.

## ENCLOSURE 6-1

## Notification of Petroleum Product Storage, Release, or Disposal

Site Name	Name of Petroleum Product(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Revetment 20	The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance. The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons and metals.	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known. The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.	In 1993 soil samples detected TPH, PAHs, and lead (at background concentrations). Soil borings were also installed around the revetment and samples were collected at depth in 1993. No contaminants were detected above baseline concentrations. <sup>b</sup> In 1999 a soil sample was collected from beneath the revetment. No contaminants were detected in this sample. No contaminants are present at levels that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.
Revetment 22	The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance. The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and PAHs.	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known. The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.	In 1993 soil samples detected TPH and lead (at or below background concentrations). In 1999, UHE, metals, and PAHs were detected in soil samples. The risk assessment and FFS determined that TPH and PAHs are the only contaminants at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP selected Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the final remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors from exposure to TPH and PAHs found at concentrations above action goals. <sup>d</sup> These institutional controls are currently in place therefore remedial actions are complete.
Revetment 24	The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance. The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and PAHs.	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known. The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.	In 1993 soil samples detected TPH and lead (at or below background concentrations). In 1999 a soil sample from beneath the pad detected UHE and PAH. No contaminants are present at levels that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.
Revetment 27	The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance. The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, a VOC, and PAHs.	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known. The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.	In 1993, soil samples from soil borings detected TPH, PAHs, and lead (at or below background concentrations). During the RI samples from soil borings around this revetment detected lead below baseline concentrations <sup>b</sup> and SVOCs. In 1999 a soil sample was collected from beneath the revetment. No contaminants were detected in this sample except for the VOC, butanone, at an estimated concentration of 2 ppb. No contaminants are present at levels that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.

ENCLOSURE 6-1

Notification of Petroleum Product Storage, Release, or Disposal

Site Name	Name of Petroleum Product(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Revetment 28	The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance. The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons and metals.	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.  The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.	In 1993 soil samples detected TPH and lead (at or below background concentrations). During the RI additional soil samples were collected. These samples detected UHE at 22 ppm.  No contaminants are present at levels that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.

Notes:

- <sup>a</sup> **Step-out Criterion**—a level of contaminant concentration established during the RI to determine when stepping out or additional excavation was required for evaluating TPH contamination.
- <sup>b</sup> **Baseline Concentration** —the cumulative concentration of an analyte present in soil due to both natural occurrence and anthropogenic activities that are unrelated to activities conducted at a site. Used throughout the RI to represent background concentrations for metals and PAHs.
- <sup>c</sup> **Interim Removal Action Guidance Levels or Guidance Levels**—concentrations of specific contaminants used to establish excavation limits during interim removal actions. These levels were recommended by regulatory agencies and resource trustees. These levels were not used as final clean up goals.

**Environmental Action Contaminant Concentration Goals (Action Goals)**—The action goals are based primarily on site-specific ambient concentrations, in combination with RWQCB-developed numbers for San Francisco Bay ambient sediments and NOAA effects-range low (ER-L) sediment concentrations as defined in the ROD/RAP.

- AST aboveground storage tank
- bgs below ground surface
- BTEX benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene
- PAH polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons
- ppb parts per billion
- ppm parts per million
- SVOC semivolatile organic compound
- TPH total petroleum hydrocarbons
- TPH-D total petroleum hydrocarbons—diesel
- TPH-G total petroleum hydrocarbons—gasoline
- TPH-MO total petroleum hydrocarbons—motor oils
- UHE unknown extractable hydrocarbons
- UHP unknown purgeable hydrocarbons
- UST underground storage tank
- VOC volatile organic compound
- yd<sup>3</sup> cubic yards

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Former Sewage Treatment Plant (including sanitary and industrial waste lines)	<p>The types of hazardous substances stored at this site are not known.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include TPH, metals, DDTs, and PAHs.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The FSTP Buildings (42, 43, 44, 45, and 45A) were constructed prior to 1978 and were demolished in 1987.</p>	<p>The FSTP consisted of five buildings, a digester, and four sludge drying beds. During the RI soil borings were drilled in and around the sludge drying beds. Soil samples from the borings detected metals, Aroclor 1254, DDT, DDE, PAHs and UHE. A pothole sample detected PAHs above baseline concentrations<sup>b</sup> and UHE above its step-out criterion.<sup>a</sup></p> <p>In 1998, approximately 4,000 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil centered along the former sludge drying beds was removed. Confirmation soil samples detected UHE, metals, and DDTs above their interim removal guidance levels.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>In 1999 approximately 140 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil was excavated to address a sludge layer identified in 1998. Confirmation soil samples detected DDT, DDD, silver, mercury, and TPH-D above their interim removal guidance levels.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that DDTs and PAHs are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
Building 35/39	<p>The types of hazardous substances stored at this site are not known.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include DDTs.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>Buildings 35 and 39 were manually operated and automatically operated pump stations, respectively. Features of the site included three active transformers located midway between the two buildings, outfall pipes which discharge water into the ODD, and two ASTs.</p> <p>A sediment sample collected from the Building 35 outfall in 1991 detected DDD and DDT. During interim removal activities related to the ASTs at this site, DDD, DDE, and DDT were detected in confirmation soil samples at concentrations above their interim removal action guidance levels.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that DDTs are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Excavation with Offsite Disposal as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Building 82/87/92/94 Area (including storm drains)	PCBs (Building 82) Paint, antifreeze, cleaning compounds, and solvents (Building 87) Paint, solvents, and PCBs (Building 92/94 area)	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.	<p><b>Building 82</b></p> <p>A transformer is located north east of Building 82. During the RI soil samples were collected beneath and around the transformer pad. PCBs were detected in all of the samples.</p> <p>In the 1998 interim removal actions approximately 170 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were removed from the transformer pad area. Confirmation soil samples detected PCBs above their interim removal action guidance levels.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>In the 1999 interim removal actions an additional 317 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were excavated. Confirmation soil samples did not detect PCBs.</p> <p><b>Building 87</b></p> <p>Building 87 was used for the storage of products, and dispensing racks located in the area surrounding the building were used to hold 55-gallon drums of solvent and cleaning compounds. In 1993, soil samples were collected from test pits and storm drain sediment. Three soil borings and a monitoring well were drilled. Soil samples detected metals above baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup> PAH, metals, and VOCs were detected in the sediments; the concentrations of PAH and metals were above their baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup></p> <p><b>Building 92/94</b></p> <p>Three transformers were previously located on a concrete pad between Buildings 92 and 94. A former storage area was located east of Building 94 consisting of metal containers used to store maintenance-related fluids. In 1990, soil borings were drilled and soil samples were collected from two test pits. Metals were detected above baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup> During the RI, surface soil samples were collected around the transformer pad. PCBs were detected in all surface soil samples. Step-out samples did not detect PCBs; however, additional samples were taken from these potholes to address a green-stained rocky fill. No compounds were detected above their baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup></p> <p>In 1998, the transformer pad and switches were removed and approximately 125 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were excavated in the transformer pad area. PCBs were not detected above their guidance level.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that metals (barium and beryllium) are the only constituents present at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>

ENCLOSURE 6-2

Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Building 84/90 Area	Paint and cleaning compounds	Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.	<p>No remedial action is needed at this site.</p> <p>Three transformers were previously located on a concrete pad northeast of Building 84. Building 90 consisted of two wash racks at the west side of the building, a small sump on the southern side of the building, and a former concrete transformer pad on the southern side of the building where three transformers were previously located. During the RI, one surface sample was collected on the north side of Building 84. The soil sample detected metals and PAHs above their baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup> Four surface soil samples were also collected at the former location of the transformer pad. No PCBs were detected in the soil samples.</p> <p>Five soil borings were drilled around Building 90. Soil samples detected metals above their baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup> Four surface soil samples were also collected at the former Building 90 transformer pad. No PCBs were detected at the former transformer pad. Remediation activities were not performed at Building 84/90.</p> <p>No contaminants related to hazardous substances storage, release, or disposal at this site are present at concentrations that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.</p>

ENCLOSURE 6-2

Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
<p>Building 86 (including storm drains)</p>	<p>Solvents, paints, waste oils, and waste fuels</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>Building 86 contained a flammable materials locker and at least one recirculating solvent parts cleaner. Other features of the site included a former transformer located at the southern corner of the Building 86 pad; Storage Area 1 located at the northeaster corner of the building, which was used for drum storage; and Storage Area 2 located at the southwestern corner of the building, where waste material from activities at Building 86 were taken and stored in drums and small containers.</p> <p>Previous investigations indicated the presence of PAHs and metals above baseline concentrations<sup>b</sup> in sediment samples collected from five storm drains located west and northeast of Building 86. During the RI, soil borings were collected from an area adjacent to the storm drains inside Building 86, an exterior boring was made along the drain located southeast of the building, soil samples were collected from the western corner of the building and from a storm drain (SD-1) located on the western side of the building, and surface soil samples were collected from beneath the concrete around the former transformer. One PAH was detected above its baseline concentration<sup>b</sup> from samples collected along the interior and exterior drains. Lead was detected above its baseline concentration<sup>b</sup> from samples collected from the western side of the building, along SD-1. PCBs were not detected at the transformer pad.</p> <p>In 1998, a storm drain investigation was conducted at Building 86. Soil borings were drilled along SD-1 to the south of the building. Soil samples detected metals above baseline concentrations<sup>b</sup> and PAHs were detected at concentrations above their guidance level.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that metals and PAHs are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors from exposure to metals and PAHs found at concentrations above action goals.<sup>d</sup></p>

ENCLOSURE 6-2

Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
<p>Perimeter Drainage Ditch</p>	<p>No hazardous substances were stored at this site.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include DDTs and metals.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>The entire PDD is approximately 17,500 feet long and conveys surface water runoff to the pump stations located on the eastern levee for lifting and discharge into the outboard drainage ditch and San Pablo Bay. One section of the PDD extends from the new storm water pump station near the New Hamilton Partnership levee around the eastern portion of the inboard area to a confluence with the 54-inch storm drain line. This segment is concrete-lined and is approximately 13,500 feet in length. The other portion of the PDD, north of the confluence with the 54-inch storm drain line, is unlined and is approximately 4,000 feet long.</p> <p>In 1996, 34 sediment samples were collected from the lined portion of the PDD. Sediment samples detected metals, PAHs, and DDT (and its breakdown products DDE and DDD). During the RI, the unlined portion of the PDD was investigated. Two sediment samples were collected, one in the northeast corner of the Inboard Area (to evaluate the potential impact from a suspected PCB spill) and the second along the southern section of the PDD near the GSA Sale Area boundary. No PCBs were detected. Metals were also detected in the sediment samples above their baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup></p> <p>1998 Interim Removal Actions consisted of dewatering and sediment removal from the PDD (lined and unlined portions). Confirmation samples were collected in the unlined portion of the ditch. Confirmation soil samples detected nickel, DDE, and DDT above their guidance levels.<sup>c</sup> DDD was also detected in several confirmation samples.</p> <p>During the remedial design investigation, two surface soil samples were collected from cracks located on the northeastern side of the concrete-lined PDD in the pump station area. Surface soil samples from the cracks detected DDTs, herbicides, metals, and PAHs.</p> <p>During removal actions in 2001/2002, the soil banks above the concrete lining of the PDD within the area of the future proposed Hamilton Wetland Restoration Project wetland channel was excavated and disposed of offsite. This action was taken to alleviate any potential concern of historical deposits from the PDD.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that beryllium and DDTs are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Excavation with Offsite Disposal as the remedy for the northern end of the unlined perimeter drainage ditch to protect future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Excavation with Offsite Disposal as the remedy for the lined perimeter drainage ditch within the proposed channel cut area to protect future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for the lined perimeter drainage ditch outside of the proposed channel cut area to protect future wetland receptors.</p>

ENCLOSURE 6-2

Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
PDD Spoil Pile A	<p>No hazardous substances were stored at this site.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include metals and DDTs.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>During the course of military operations at the airfield, the PDD was periodically dredged to remove vegetative matter and sediment. The dredged material was stockpiled onbase in 13 separate locations.</p> <p>During the 1998 Interim Removal Actions, soil was removed from the footprint of the pile down to the approximate original grade. Confirmation sampling detected metals (zinc and beryllium) and total DDTs.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that beryllium, zinc, DDE, and DDT are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
PDD Spoil Pile B	<p>No hazardous substances were stored at this site.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include metals and DDTs.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>During the course of military operations at the airfield, the PDD was periodically dredged to remove vegetative matter and sediment. The dredged material was stockpiled onbase in 13 separate locations.</p> <p>During the 1998 Interim Removal Actions, soil was removed from the footprint of the pile down to the approximate original grade. Confirmation sampling detected DDE, DDT, lead, mercury, and silver above their guidance levels.<sup>c</sup> During the 1999 Interim Removal Actions, approximately 591 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were removed. Confirmation sampling detected mercury, silver, lead, DDT, DDE, and DDD below their guidance levels.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that cadmium, copper, mercury, silver, zinc and DDT are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
PDD Spoil Pile C	<p>No hazardous substances were stored at this site.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include DDTs.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>During the course of military operations at the airfield, the PDD was periodically dredged to remove vegetative matter and sediment. The dredged material was stockpiled onbase in 13 separate locations.</p> <p>During the 1998 Interim Removal Actions, soil was removed from the footprint of the pile down to the approximate original grade. Confirmation sampling detected DDE above its guidance level.<sup>c</sup> During the 1999 Interim Removal Actions, approximately 17 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were removed. Confirmation sampling detected pesticides (DDD, DDE, and DDT) below their guidance levels.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that DDE and DDT are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors from exposure to DDE and DDT found at concentrations above action goals.<sup>d</sup></p>
PDD Spoil Pile D	<p>No hazardous substances were stored at this site.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include DDTs.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>During the course of military operations at the airfield, the PDD was periodically dredged to remove vegetative matter and sediment. The dredged material was stockpiled onbase in 13 separate locations.</p> <p>During the 1998 Interim Removal Actions, soil was removed from the footprint of the pile down to the approximate original grade. Confirmation sampling detected total DDT and DDE.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that DDE and DDT are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors from exposure to DDE and DDT found at concentrations above action goals.<sup>d</sup></p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
PDD Spoil Pile E	<p>No hazardous substances were stored at this site.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include DDTs.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>Remedial actions at this site are complete.</p> <p>During the course of military operations at the airfield, the PDD was periodically dredged to remove vegetative matter and sediment. The dredged material was stockpiled onbase in 13 separate locations.</p> <p>During the 1998 Interim Removal Actions, soil was removed from the footprint of the pile down to the approximate original grade. Confirmation sampling detected DDE above its guidance level.<sup>c</sup> During the 1999 Interim Removal Actions, two separate excavations were conducted and approximately 261 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were removed. Confirmation sampling detected DDE and DDT below their guidance levels.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>No contaminants related to hazardous substances storage, release, or disposal at this site are present at concentrations that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.</p>
PDD Spoil Pile F	<p>No hazardous substances were stored at this site.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include metals, PAHs, and DDTs.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>During the course of military operations at the airfield, the PDD was periodically dredged to remove vegetative matter and sediment. The dredged material was stockpiled onbase in 13 separate locations.</p> <p>In 1995, soil samples were collected from the spoils piles. Soil samples detected metals and PAH contamination. Approximately 18,125 square feet of soil will be removed to a depth of 1 foot from areas around each of the pile locations. Samples will be collected to determine if contamination is present.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that metals, PAHs, and DDTs are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to humans from marsh recreation and future wetland receptors. The Army conducted excavation and confirmation sampling in January 2002 and, based on the analytical results, have determined further sampling is needed. The ROD/RAP recommends excavation with offsite disposal as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
PDD Spoil Pile G	<p>No hazardous substances were stored at this site.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include DDTs.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>During the course of military operations at the airfield, the PDD was periodically dredged to remove vegetative matter and sediment. The dredged material was stockpiled onbase in 13 separate locations.</p> <p>During the 1998 Interim Removal Actions, soil was removed from the footprint of the pile down to the approximate original grade. Confirmation sampling detected total DDT and DDE.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that DDE and DDT are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>

ENCLOSURE 6-2

Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
PDD Spoil Pile H	<p>No hazardous substances were stored at this site.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include DDTs.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>Remedial actions at this site are complete.</p> <p>During the course of military operations at the airfield, the PDD was periodically dredged to remove vegetative matter and sediment. The dredged material was stockpiled onbase in 13 separate locations.</p> <p>During the 1998 Interim Removal Actions, soil was removed from the footprint of the pile down to the approximate original grade. Confirmation sampling detected UHE, DDT, and DDE above their guidance levels.<sup>c</sup> During the 1999 Interim Removal Actions, approximately 290 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were removed. Confirmation sampling detected UHE, DDT, and DDE below their guidance levels.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>No contaminants related to hazardous substances storage, release, or disposal at this site are present at concentrations that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.</p>
PDD Spoil Pile I	<p>No hazardous substances were stored at this site.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include metals and DDTs.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>During the course of military operations at the airfield, the PDD was periodically dredged to remove vegetative matter and sediment. The dredged material was stockpiled onbase in 13 separate locations.</p> <p>During the 1998 Interim Removal Actions, soil was removed from the footprint of the pile down to the approximate original grade. Confirmation sampling detected UHE and DDT above their guidance levels.<sup>c</sup> During the 1999 Interim Removal Actions, approximately 70 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were removed. Confirmation sampling resulted in no detections of the chemicals identified in the 1998 Interim Removal Actions.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that beryllium and pesticides (DDD and DDT) are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
PDD Spoil Pile J	<p>No hazardous substances were stored at this site.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include PAHs and DDTs.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>During the course of military operations at the airfield, the PDD was periodically dredged to remove vegetative matter and sediment. The dredged material was stockpiled onbase in 13 separate locations.</p> <p>During the 1998 Interim Removal Actions, soil was removed from the footprint of the pile down to the approximate original grade. Confirmation sampling detected benzo(a)pyrene, benzo(a)anthracene, DDE, and DDT above their guidance levels.<sup>c</sup> During the 1999 Interim Removal Actions, approximately 13 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were removed. Confirmation sampling detected DDD, DDE, and PAHs below their guidance levels.<sup>c</sup> DDT was detected above its guidance level.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that DDD, DDE, and DDT are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
PDD Spoil Pile K	<p>No hazardous substances were stored at this site.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include DDTs.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>During the course of military operations at the airfield, the PDD was periodically dredged to remove vegetative matter and sediment. The dredged material was stockpiled onbase in 13 separate locations.</p> <p>During the 1998 Interim Removal Actions, soil was removed from the footprint of the pile down to the approximate original grade. Confirmation sampling detected total DDT and DDE.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that DDE and DDT are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
PDD Spoil Pile L	<p>No hazardous substances were stored at this site.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include metals and DDTs.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>During the course of military operations at the airfield, the PDD was periodically dredged to remove vegetative matter and sediment. The dredged material was stockpiled onbase in 13 separate locations.</p> <p>During the 1998 Interim Removal Actions, soil was removed from the footprint of the pile down to the approximate original grade. Confirmation sampling detected nickel above its guidance level.<sup>c</sup> During the 1999 Interim Removal Actions, approximately 6 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were removed. Confirmation sampling detected nickel below its guidance level.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that barium, cobalt, lead, zinc, and DDT are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
PDD Spoil Pile M	<p>No hazardous substances were stored at this site.</p> <p>The types of substances detected at this site include DDTs.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>During the course of military operations at the airfield, the PDD was periodically dredged to remove vegetative matter and sediment. The dredged material was stockpiled onbase in 13 separate locations.</p> <p>During the 1998 Interim Removal Actions, soil was removed from the footprint of the pile down to the approximate original grade. Confirmation sampling detected total DDT.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that DDE and DDT are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
Northwest Runway Area	<p>The Northwest Runway was used for aircraft activities.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include metals and PAHs.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>This site was originally identified as an area of potential concern because of geophysical survey anomalies that suggested buried objects might be present. Soil investigations did not encounter debris that would indicate any landfill activity.</p> <p>Investigations began at this site in 1985. Soil samples were collected and detected metals below baseline concentrations,<sup>b</sup> DDD, TPH, and bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a common laboratory contaminant). In 1997, four direct-push soil samples were collected. Metals (beryllium and boron) were detected above background concentrations.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that metals, beryllium, and boron are the only contaminants remaining at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
Revetment 1	<p>The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and PAHs.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1993 soil samples detected TPH and lead (at or below background concentrations). In 1999 soil samples detected metals including barium, cadmium, and lead. UHE, UHP, and PAHs were also detected.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that barium, cadmium, lead, and PAHs are the only contaminants at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Revetment 2	<p>The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and PAHs.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1993 soil samples detected TPH and lead (at or below background concentrations). In 1999 soil samples detected metals including cadmium and lead. UHE and PAHs were also detected.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that cadmium, lead, and PAHs are the only contaminants at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
Revetment 3	<p>The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons and metals.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1993 a soil sample detected TPH and lead (at or below background concentrations). In 1999 a soil sample detected metals including barium, copper, and manganese. UHP was also detected.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that barium, copper, and manganese are the only contaminants at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
Revetment 4	<p>The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and PAHs.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1993 soil samples detected TPH and lead (at or below background concentrations). In 1999 soil samples detected metals (including cadmium and lead), UHP, and PAHs.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that cadmium, lead, and PAHs are the only contaminants at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
Revetment 6	<p>Revetment 6 was used as an engine test pad and for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and PAHs.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1993 soil samples detected toluene and lead below its baseline concentration.<sup>b</sup> In 1999 soil samples were collected from around the revetment and beneath the revetment. The surface soil samples detected dioxins, metals, PAH, UHE, and UHP.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that TPH-G and PAHs are the only contaminants at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The Army conducted excavation and confirmation sampling in January 2002 and, based on the analytical results, have determined further sampling is needed. The ROD/RAP recommends Excavation with Offsite Disposal as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Revetment 7	The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance. The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and PAHs.	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1993 soil samples detected TPH, PAHs, and lead (at or below background concentrations). In 1999 soil samples were collected from around the revetment and beneath the revetment detected UHE, UHP, metals, and PAH.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that TPH and lead are the only contaminants at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The Army conducted excavation and confirmation sampling in January 2002 and, based on the analytical results, have determined further sampling is needed. The ROD/RAP recommends Excavation with Offsite Disposal as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
Revetment 9	<p>The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include metals and PAHs.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>Remedial actions at this site are complete.</p> <p>In 1996 soil samples detected metals above baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup> Acenaphthene was also detected. During the 1999 RI approximately 144 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were excavated. Confirmation soil samples did not detect lead above its interim removal action guidance level.<sup>c</sup></p> <p>No contaminants related to hazardous substances storage, release, or disposal at this site are present at concentrations that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.</p>
Revetment 10	<p>This revetment was used as a test burn pit for fire fighter training from 1975 to 1987. The revetment area was also used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons and metals.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1987.</p>	<p>Remedial actions at this site are complete.</p> <p>In 1987, soil samples at Revetment 10 detected seven metals above their baseline concentrations<sup>b</sup> and TPH. In 1993 additional sampling detected lead and PAHs above baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup></p> <p>Approximately 2,427 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil were excavated during 1998 interim removal actions. Confirmation soil samples detected UHE above its interim removal guidance level.<sup>c</sup> The area was excavated further and confirmation samples did not detect UHE. Nickel was also detected above its interim removal guidance level<sup>c</sup> and the area was further excavated. Confirmation samples did not detect nickel above its guidance level.<sup>c</sup> Two dioxins were detected in a shallow soil sample and this area was excavated. Three dioxins and one furan were detected in the confirmation sample from this area.</p> <p>No contaminants related to hazardous substances storage, release, or disposal at this site are present at concentrations that could pose a risk to future wetland receptors or that would require remedial action. The ROD/RAP recommends no further action for this site.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Revetment 11	<p>The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons and metals.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1999 soil samples detected metals (including copper) and TPH.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that copper is the only contaminant at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
Revetment 12	<p>The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include metals.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1996 soil samples detected metals (including copper) above their baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup></p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that copper is the only contaminant at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
Revetment 13	<p>The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and PAHs.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1993 soil samples detected TPH and lead (at background concentrations). In 1999 soil samples collected from around the revetment and beneath the revetment detected UHE, UHP, metals (including cadmium and lead), and PAH.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that PAH, cadmium, and lead are the only contaminants at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
Revetment 16	<p>The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons and metals.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1993 soil samples detected TPH and lead (at or below background concentrations). In 1999 one soil sample was collected from beneath the revetment. This sample detected a metal, barium.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that barium is the only contaminant at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Revetment 19	<p>The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, VOCs, and PAHs.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1993 soil samples detected TPH, PAHs, and lead. In 1999 soil samples collected from around and beneath the revetment detected metals (including barium, copper, cadmium, and lead). UHE and UHP, TPH-D, a VOC (2-butanone at 19 ppb) and PAHs were also detected.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that barium, copper, cadmium, lead, TPH, and PAHs are the only contaminants at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
Revetment 21	<p>The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and PAHs.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1993 soil samples detected TPH and lead (at or below background concentrations). In 1999 soil samples collected from around and beneath the revetment detected metals (including copper and vanadium). UHE, UHP, and PAHs were also detected.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that barium, copper, vanadium, TPH, and PAHs are the only contaminants at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
Revetment 23	<p>The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include metals.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1996 soil samples detected metals above baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup> During the 1999 RI one additional sample was collected at this revetment. Vanadium, copper, and zinc were detected above their baseline concentrations.<sup>b</sup></p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that copper is the only contaminant at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
Revetment 25	<p>The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and PAHs.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1993 soil samples were collected at this revetment. No contaminants were detected. In 1999 one sample was collected from beneath the revetment. UHE, PAH and metals (including barium), were detected in the sample.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that barium and TPH-D are the only contaminants at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>

ENCLOSURE 6-2

Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Revetment 26	<p>The revetment area was used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and PAHs.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p> <p>The revetment area has been out of service since 1974.</p>	<p>In 1993 soil samples from soil borings detected TPH, lead (at or below background concentrations), and one PAH. In 1999 a soil sample was collected from beneath the revetment. This sample detected metals, (including barium, boron, and manganese), UHE, and UHP.</p> <p>The risk assessment and FFS evaluations determined that barium, boron, manganese, and TPH are the only contaminants at this site that could pose a potential risk to future wetland receptors. The ROD/RAP recommends Manage In-Situ with Monitoring and Maintenance as the remedy for this site to protect future wetland receptors.</p>
Former Revetments	<p>The former revetments were used for aircraft staging and maintenance.</p> <p>The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include petroleum hydrocarbons, metals, and PAHs.</p>	<p>Dates of storage, release, or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>In addition to the twenty-eight revetments, the Archive Search Report identified eight former revetments in the Main Airfield Parcel. Five of these were paved over during the construction of the aircraft maintenance area, two became dirt roads, and the surrounding grass has revegetated one. These eight former revetments have not been investigated.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
High Marsh (Nonchannel Cut) (Channel Cut)	No hazardous substances were stored in this area.	Dates of release or disposal are not known.	<p><b>Nonchannel Cut</b></p> <p>Samples were collected in the High Marsh by ESI in 1993, USACE in 1994, WCFS in 1996, and IT in 1999. These samples detected metals at concentrations slightly above background.</p> <p>In December 2001 and January 2002, the Army collected soil and sediment samples from portions of the Nonchannel Cut Area. The objective of the sampling was to characterize copper and manganese contamination at a location on the northern end of the High Marsh, to characterize the extent of metals contamination (particularly lead) at a cluster of locations on the northern end of the High Marsh, and to characterize the extent of manganese contamination in the central portion of the High Marsh sufficient to determine the appropriate remedy. Sampling at the High Marsh Nonchannel Cut Area resulted in detections of metals and DDTs. The ROD/RAP recommends excavation and offsite disposal as the remedy for this site to protect wetland receptors.</p> <p><b>Channel Cut</b></p> <p>Samples were collected in the High Marsh by ESI in 1993, USACE in 1994, and WCFS in 1996. In 1993, metals were detected above baseline concentration.<sup>b</sup> In 1994, studies detected metals, TRPH, and TPH-D above baseline concentrations<sup>b</sup> in the pump station outfall area within the channel cut area of the High Marsh. Additionally, PAHs were detected above baseline concentrations<sup>b</sup> at three sites within the channel cut area. In 1996, metals were detected at all sampled locations within the channel cut area of the High Marsh. PAHs were detected at one location, and two pesticides (chlordane and DDT) were detected above baseline concentrations<sup>b</sup> at one location within the channel cut area.</p> <p>In September 2001, the Army conducted a specific investigation to evaluate the soil within the Proposed Channel Cut Area. Samples were collected at twelve locations and three depths (1, 2, and 4 feet bgs). The samples were collected in a grid from the ODD toward the bay where the planned channel cut is anticipated. TPH, metals, PAHs, and SVOCs were detected in samples collected from the Proposed Channel Cut Area. The ROD/RAP recommends Excavation and Offsite Disposal as the remedy for this site to protect wetland receptors.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Antenna Debris Disposal Area	<p>No hazardous substances were stored in this area.</p> <p>Visual inspections of the piles indicates the piles contain discarded materials from the former antenna facilities and building materials.</p>	Dates of release or disposal are not known.	<p>The Antenna Debris Disposal Area consists of two piles, one located east of the ODD and one to the west of the ODD. Both piles are currently covered with a growth of native grasses (not pickleweed common to the rest of the marsh).</p> <p>The western Antenna Debris pile was investigated by WCFS in 1995, IT in 1998, and FW in 1999. During these investigations, soil samples were collected in and near the western pile. The results of these investigations indicate that lead and DDTs are common throughout the western pile. Only one of the samples was analyzed for PCBs and PCBs were detected in the sample.</p> <p>In December 2001 and January 2002, the Army collected soil samples from the eastern area and additional samples from the western area. The objective of the sampling was to investigate the extent of chemicals detected in the previous investigations at the western area and to characterize the eastern area sufficient to determine the appropriate remedy. Sampling at the eastern and western areas resulted in detections of metals, DDTs, TPH, and PCBs. The ROD/RAP recommends Excavation and Offsite Disposal as the remedy for this site to protect wetland receptors.</p>
Outboard Drainage Ditch (Historical ODD)	No hazardous substances were stored in this area.	Dates of release or disposal are not known.	<p>The ODD was investigated by ESI in 1993, USACE in 1994, WCFS in 1996, and IT in 1998. TPH, metals, PCBs, and DDTs have been detected in sediment samples collected from the ODD.</p> <p>In January 2002, the Army collected sediment samples from the ODD. The objective of the sampling was to investigate the extent of chemicals detected in the previous investigations at the outfalls, to address the downstream extent of contamination from the outfalls, and to characterize the portion of the ODD upstream of the outfalls sufficient to determine the appropriate remedy. Sampling at the ODD resulted in detections of metals, TPH, and DDTs. The ROD/RAP recommends Excavation and Offsite Disposal as the remedy for this site to protect wetland receptors.</p> <p>The Historic ODD was investigated by the Army in December 2001. During the investigation, the Army collected soil and sediment samples at 250-foot intervals along the Historic ODD in order to characterize the extent of contamination. Some metals and DDTs were detected. The ROD/RAP recommends Excavation and Offsite Disposal as the remedy for this site to protect wetland receptors.</p>

ENCLOSURE 6-2

Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
<p>Boat Dock (Nonchannel Area) (Channel Area)</p>	<p>No hazardous substances were stored in this area.</p>	<p>Dates of release or disposal are not known.</p>	<p>The Boat Dock had electrical power supplied by two transformers and one or more small enclosed structures. The two transformers were located on a concrete pad inside a fenced enclosure adjacent to the boat launch. A gasoline-powered winch was used to lower the launch down a steel track into the dredged channel. The Boat Dock is constructed of creosote-treated timbers, and PAHs are present in the sediments.</p> <p>IT investigated the transformer pad in 1997 and conducted interim removal actions in 1998. The 1997 IT investigation detected PCBs in a soil sample collected at the northeast corner of the transformer pad. In 1998 IT removed the transformer pad and excavated approximately 24 yd<sup>3</sup> of soil. After completion of confirmation sampling, soil from an onsite borrow area was used to backfill the excavation. Confirmation samples were collected from the excavation. There were no PCB detections in the confirmation samples.</p> <p>The former Boat Dock structure was investigated by Foster Wheeler in 1999 as part of the Remedial Design Investigation. Samples were collected around and beneath the deck structures. Metals and DDTs were detected in samples collected during this investigation. PAHs were also detected but are likely attributable to the creosote in the pier pilings.</p> <p>During the investigations noted above, a sediment sample was collected in 2000 by Foster Wheeler from the Boat Dock channel. The sample contained DDTs, herbicides, PAHs, TPH, VOCs, and metals. Due to the significant amount of sedimentation in the channel area, it is not clear if the sample is indicative of historical conditions or possible impacts from the Boat Dock area.</p> <p>In December 2001, the Army collected additional sediment samples from the Channel Area. The objective of the sampling was to determine the extent of contamination found at the Boat Dock sufficient to determine the appropriate remedy. Sampling at the Channel Area indicated the presence of metals. The ROD/RAP recommends Excavation and Offsite Disposal as the remedy for this site to protect wetland receptors.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
East Levee Construction Debris Disposal Area	No hazardous substances were stored in this area.	Dates of release or disposal are not known.	<p>Samples were collected from throughout the ELCDDA by WCC in 1987, ESI in 1993, USACE and WCC in 1994, WCFS 1996, and IT in 1999. Only a portion of the samples collected in these investigations were collected on the portion of the ELCDDA within the Main BRAC Property.</p> <p>Trench HT-13 dug by Woodward-Clyde in 1986 was located in the ELCDDA area located on the Main BRAC property. The trench sample contained metals at concentrations below background.</p> <p>One soil sample collected by ESI in 1990 was located within the ELCDDA on the property line between the Main BRAC Property and the land owned by the SLC. This sample detected metals (including beryllium, chromium, lead, and vanadium) at levels slightly above background.</p> <p>The ROD/RAP recommends excavation and offsite disposal as the remedy for this site to protect wetland receptors .</p>
Area 14	No hazardous substances were stored at this site.	Dates of release or disposal are not known.	<p>Area 14 was investigated by the Army in December 2001 and January 2002. During the investigation, the Army collected soil and sediment samples from Area 14 on a 100-foot grid. The objective of the sampling was to characterize the portions of Area 14 that were not covered with the construction of the runway overrun. Sampling at Area 14 resulted in detections of metals, DDTs, TPH, and PAHs. No debris or rubble, other than rock and gravel used to support the runway extension and the road, was encountered. The ROD/RAP recommends Excavation and Offsite Disposal as the remedy for this site to protect wetland receptors.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Testing Range	No hazardous substances were stored at this site.	Dates of release or disposal are not known.	<p>The Archive Search Report identified an area labeled as the "Testing Area" based on an aerial photograph dated August 1946. The area is described as a "rectangle approximately 1,000 feet by 100 feet between the sewage treatment plant and the black powder magazine." The Archive Search Report did not explain the basis for labeling the area as a "testing area"; however, the Army BRAC office has historical maps dated 16 May 1945 and 4 December 1952 that outline an area approximately 940 feet by 100 feet labeled "testing range." Neither the BRAC office nor the Archive Search Report team was able to locate accounts on how the site was used. Because Hamilton was not a research and development base, it is not likely that testing of weapons occurred here. Based on the survey of additional maps dated 25 February 1959, 15 December 1963, and 22 November 1963 that depict a portion of the testing range called a "firing range," the Army BRAC office concludes that the "testing range" may have been a small-arms target practice area.</p> <p>Because information and data available for this site is still undergoing review, decisions regarding the need for remedial action and the evaluation of alternatives for this site have not been made. However, the Army, DTSC, and the RWQCB agreed to complete the study/investigation activities listed in the ROD/RAP in accordance with the schedule also provided in the ROD/RAP. Should remedial action be required at the Archive Search Report sites, the action goals included in the ROD/RAP would apply, and the RWQCB site cleanup requirements will identify the procedure for completion of remedial activities.</p>
Northwest Alleged Disposal Area	Potential storage of hazardous substances.	Dates of release or disposal are not known.	<p>In December of 2000, a local resident and former military facility inspector stated that during a routine inspection of Hamilton in the mid-1980s, he was told various chemicals were improperly disposed of in an area near the north end of the runway (the alleged HTRW Disposal site). Previous sampling in the area included the collection and analysis of three samples within the area in question. Additionally, one boring conducted by URS Group for USACE San Francisco District in 2001–2002 was located within the boundaries of the alleged disposal area. No contamination or debris was reported from this work. The Army will conduct sampling in the area, and a Sampling and Analysis Plan is currently in review. For the purposes of future investigations, this area is being referred to as the Northwest Alleged Disposal Area. Because information and data available for this site is still undergoing review, decisions regarding the need for remedial action and the evaluation of alternatives for this site have not been made. However, the Army, DTSC, and the RWQCB agreed to complete the study/investigation activities listed in the ROD/RAP in accordance with the schedule also provided in the ROD/RAP. Should remedial action be required at the Archive Search Report sites, the action goals included in the ROD/RAP would apply, and the RWQCB site cleanup requirements will identify the procedure for completion of remedial activities.</p>

## ENCLOSURE 6-2

## Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal

Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Skeet Range	No hazardous substances were stored at this site.	Dates of release or disposal are not known.	<p>A skeet range was identified in the Archive Search Report as ASR Site #18. The range was situated inboard, at the corner where South Boundary Road meets East Boundary Road and west of what is now the south runway extension. It is visible on aerial photography dating up to 26 April 1943, but is not observable in photographs beginning in 1946. COCs at a skeet range are lead and other metals from shot, and PAHs associated with clay targets.</p> <p>Because information and data available for this site is still undergoing review, decisions regarding the need for remedial action and the evaluation of alternatives for this site have not been made. However, the Army, DTSC, and the RWQCB agreed to complete the study/investigation activities listed in the ROD/RAP in accordance with the schedule also provided in the ROD/RAP. Should remedial action be required at the Archive Search Report sites, the action goals included in the ROD/RAP would apply, and the RWQCB site cleanup requirements will identify the procedure for completion of remedial activities.</p>
Firing-In-Butt	No hazardous substances were stored at this site.	Dates of release or disposal are not known.	<p>A Firing-In-Butt was identified in the Archive Search Report as ASR Site #19. The Archive Search Report accurately located the historic Firing-In-Butt in the vicinity of the runway and Revetment 25. However, the Archive Search Report incorrectly shows the Butt as being closer to the firing line than photos indicate and incorrectly states the date of its removal. There were three hardstands and a "butt," which is a target surrounded by barricade material. Aircraft machine guns, on both sides of the aircraft, were fired into the earthen mound called a "butt" to check firing alignment. The hardstands with connecting road still exist and are visible in 1960s aerial imagery. The Butt was removed in its entirety in 1947, the disposition of the soil not known.</p> <p><i>According to the Closed, Transferring, and Transferred Range and Site Inventory Report, Hamilton Army Airfield (URS 2002), the site is considered to be a negligible explosives safety risk, and no explosive-related action is necessary. The report goes on to say that because the aircraft were firmly fixed, there is low probability that rounds strayed from the intended target.</i></p> <p>Because information and data available for this site is still undergoing review, decisions regarding the need for remedial action and the evaluation of alternatives for this site have not been made. However, the Army, DTSC, and the RWQCB agreed to complete the study/investigation activities listed in the ROD/RAP in accordance with the schedule also provided in the ROD/RAP. Should remedial action be required at the Archive Search Report sites, the action goals included in the ROD/RAP would apply, and the RWQCB site cleanup requirements will identify the procedure for completion of remedial activities.</p>

ENCLOSURE 6-2 Notification of Hazardous Substance Storage, Release, and Disposal			
Site Name	Name of Hazardous Substance(s)	Date of Storage, Release, or Disposal	Remedial Actions
Inboard Area-Wide DDTs and PAHs	The types of hazardous substances detected at this site include DDT (and its breakdown products DDE and DDD) and PAHs.	Not known	The Army does not view the Inboard Area-Wide DDTs and PAHs adjacent to the runway as a release that is actionable under CERCLA and therefore considers the parcel to be a Category 1. DTSC does view the Inboard Area-Wide DDTs and PAHs adjacent to the runway as a CERCLA release and considers the parcel to be a Category 6. The ROD/RAP addresses this issue to everyone's satisfaction, and it is anticipated that the deferred CERCLA warranty is expected to be issued in the future for the whole Property.

Notes:

For the purpose of this FOSET, where the actual quantities of hazardous substances stored are not known, it was assumed the amounts stored were greater than the reportable quantities. The type of material stored are reported in the table below based upon the historical use of the site.)

The information contained in this notice is required under the authority of regulations promulgated under section 120(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Liability, and Compensation Act (CERCLA or "Superfund") 42 U.S.C. section 9620(h). This table provides information on the storage of hazardous substances for one year or more in quantities greater than or equal to 1,000 kilograms or the hazardous substance's CERCLA reportable quantity (whichever is greater). In addition, it provides information on the known release of hazardous substances in quantities greater than or equal to the substances CERCLA reportable quantity. See 40 CFR Part 373.

<sup>a</sup> **Step-out Criterion**—a level of contaminant concentration established during the RI to determine when stepping out or additional excavation was required for evaluating TPH contamination.

<sup>b</sup> **Baseline Concentration**—the cumulative concentration of an analyte present in soil due to both natural occurrence and anthropogenic activities that are unrelated to activities conducted at a site. Used throughout the RI to represent background concentrations for metals and PAHs.

<sup>c</sup> **Interim Removal Action Guidance Levels or Guidance Levels**—concentrations of specific contaminants used to establish excavation limits during interim removal actions. These levels were recommended by regulatory agencies and resource trustees. These levels were not used as final clean up goals.

**Environmental Action Contaminant Concentration Goals (Action Goals)**—The action goals are based primarily on site-specific ambient concentrations, in combination with RWQCB-developed numbers for San Francisco Bay Ambient sediments and NOAA effects-range low (ER-L) sediment concentrations as defined in the ROD/RAP.

bgs	below ground surface
BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylene
COC	chemical of concern
PAH	polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyls
ppb	parts per billion
ppm	parts per million
SVOC	semivolatile organic compound
TPH	total petroleum hydrocarbons
TPH-D	total petroleum hydrocarbons—diesel
TPH-G	total petroleum hydrocarbons—gasoline
TRPH	Total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons
UHE	unknown extractable hydrocarbons
UHP	unknown purgeable hydrocarbons
VOC	volatile organic compound
yd <sup>3</sup>	cubic yards

ENCLOSURE 7

# Environmental Response Obligation Addendum

## **INTRODUCTION**

This addendum identifies the assurances required to be included in the deed or contract as indicated for the approximately 630 acres to be transferred to the Conservancy. The following conditions, restrictions, and notifications will be placed in the deed to ensure protection of human health and the environment and to preclude any interference with ongoing or completed remediation activities at Hamilton Army Airfield.

## **INCLUSION OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE OBLIGATION ADDENDUM**

The Grantee, its successors, and assigns, will neither transfer the Property, lease the Property, nor grant any possessory interest, privilege, or license whatsoever in connection with the Property without the inclusion of the Environmental Response Obligation Addendum contained herein, and shall require the inclusion of the Environmental Response Obligation Addendum in all further deeds, transfers, leases, or grant of any interest, privilege, or license.

## **DEED ASSURANCES**

Environmental documents were evaluated to identify environmental factors, which may warrant constraints on certain activities in order to ensure that human health and the environment are protected. Such constraints are generally embodied as restrictions in the Deed or as specific notifications in the Deed or other documents supporting the transaction.

The deed for this transfer will include a clause that requires the Conservancy to develop a design for the wetland that incorporates the performance criteria specified in the Record of Decision/Remedial Action Plan for the Main Airfield. The design shall incorporate the performance criteria to assure that the wetland design is protective of future receptors that may inhabit the site.

## **CERCLA NOTICE AND COVENANT**

All response actions necessary to protect human health and the environment will be the responsibility of the Grantor, with respect to any hazardous substance remaining on the Property as a result of storage, release, or disposal prior to the date of conveyance.

The Grantor covenants that when all response actions to protect human health and the environment with respect to any hazardous substance remaining on the Property on the date of transfer have been taken, the Grantor shall execute and deliver to the Grantee an appropriate document containing a warranty that all such response actions have been taken. The making of the warranty shall be considered to satisfy the requirements of CERCLA §120(h)(3)(a)(ii)(I).

The Grantor covenants that any additional remedial action found to be necessary after the delivery of the above warranty with respect to any hazardous substances remaining on the Property shall be conducted by the United States. This covenant shall not apply if the person or entity to whom the Property is transferred is a potentially responsible party under CERCLA by reason of having caused or contributed to such hazardous substance contamination.

## **NOTICE OF SUITABILITY OF USE AND COVENANT**

The Property is suitable only for the intended use as open space for wetland reestablishment. The deed for this transfer will include a clause that requires the Conservancy to develop a design for the wetland restoration project that meets the performance criteria specified in the Record of Decision/Remedial Action Plan for the Inboard Area Sites to assure that the wetland design is protective of future receptors that may inhabit the site.

The Army has undertaken environmental study of the Property and concluded, with the Conservancy's concurrence, that the highest and best use of the Property is limited, as a result of its environmental condition, to open space for wetland reestablishment. To protect human health and the environment and further common environmental objectives and land use plans of the Army and Conservancy, covenants and restrictions will be included to ensure that the use of the Property is consistent with the remedy for the Property. These following restrictions and covenants benefit the public welfare generally and are consistent with State and Federal environmental statutes.

### **RESTRICTED TO NON-RESIDENTIAL USE**

The Conservancy covenants for itself, its successors, and assigns not to use the Property for residential purposes, since the Property is currently being remediated for open space for wetland reestablishment. The Conservancy, for itself, its successors, or assigns covenants that it will not undertake nor allow any activity on or use of the Property that would violate the restrictions contained here. These restrictions and covenants are binding on the Conservancy, its successors, and assigns; will run with the land; and are forever enforceable.

Nothing contained here will preclude the Grantee from undertaking, in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and without any cost to the Army, any additional remediation necessary to allow for residential use of the Property. Upon completion of any remediation required to allow residential use of the Property and upon the Grantee's obtaining the approval of the State, the Army, and if required, any other regulatory agency, the Army agrees, without cost to the Army, to release or, if appropriate, modify this restriction by recordation of an amendment hereto.

## **NOTICE OF THE PRESENCE OF ASBESTOS AND COVENANT**

**a.** The Conservancy is hereby informed and does acknowledge that non-friable and friable asbestos or asbestos containing material (ACM) have been removed from the Property with the exception of the asbestos pipe covering remaining on a small segment of outfall pipes that have been left in place within the levee at Buildings 35 and 39.

**b.** The Conservancy covenants and agrees that its use and occupancy of the Property will be in compliance with all applicable laws relating to asbestos and that the Army assumes no liability for any future remediation of asbestos or damages for personal injury, illness, disability, or death, to the Conservancy, its successors, or assigns or to any other person, including members of the general public arising from or incident to the purchase, transportation, removal,

handling, use, disposition, or other activity causing or leading to contact of any kind whatsoever with asbestos or ACM on the Property, whether the Conservancy, its successors, or assigns have properly warned or failed to properly warn the individual(s) injured. The Conservancy agrees to bear full responsibility for any future remediation of the asbestos pipe covering. The Army assumes no liability for and the Conservancy will bear full responsibility for damages for personal injury, illness, disability, death, or Property damage arising from the Conservancy's remediation of the pipe covering including: (1) any exposure or failure by the Conservancy to comply with any legal requirements applicable to the asbestos pipe covering following the Army's conveyance of such portion of the Property to the Conservancy pursuant to this deed, or (2) any disposal of any asbestos or ACM by the Conservancy following the Army's conveyance of the Property.

**c.** Unprotected or unregulated exposures to asbestos in product manufacturing, shipyard, and building construction workplaces have been associated with asbestos-related diseases. Both Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and the USEPA regulate asbestos because of the potential hazards associated with exposure to airborne asbestos fibers. Both OSHA and USEPA have determined that such exposure increases the risk of asbestos-related diseases, which include certain cancers and can result in disability or death.

**d.** The Conservancy acknowledges that it has had the opportunity to inspect the Property as to its asbestos content and condition and any related hazardous or environmental conditions prior to accepting the responsibilities imposed upon the Conservancy under this section. No warranties, either express or implied, are given with regard to the condition of the Property, including, without limitation, whether the Property does or does not contain asbestos or is or is not safe for a particular purpose. The failure of the Conservancy to inspect; or be fully informed concerning the asbestos condition of all or any portion of Property offered will not constitute grounds for any claim or demand against the Government or any adjustment under this deed.

#### **NOTICE OF THE PRESENCE OF LEAD-BASED PAINT (LBP) AND COVENANT**

**a.** The Conservancy is hereby informed and does acknowledge that all buildings on the Property, which were constructed or rehabilitated prior to 1978, are presumed to contain LBP. The Property does not contain any buildings used or intended to be used as residential real property. All LBP that poses a risk to human health will be addressed by the Conservancy. The ROD/RAP specifies how the Hamilton Wetlands Restoration Program will address possible lead contamination from paint used on the buildings.

LBP, paint chips, and dust can pose health hazards if not managed properly. Every purchaser of any interest in Residential Real Property on which a residential dwelling was built prior to 1978 is notified that such property may present exposure to lead from LBP that may place young children at risk of developing lead poisoning. Lead poisoning in young children may produce permanent neurological damage, including learning disabilities, reduced intelligence quotient, behavioral problems, and impaired memory. Lead poisoning also poses a particular risk to pregnant women. The seller of any interest in Residential Real Property is required to provide the buyer with any information on LBP hazards from risk assessments or inspections in the seller's possession and notify the buyer of any known LBP hazards.

“Residential Real Property” means dwelling units, common areas, building exterior surfaces, and any surrounding land including outbuildings, fences, and play equipment affixed to the land available for use by residents but not including land used for agricultural, commercial, industrial, or other non-residential purposes, and not including paint on the pavement of parking lots, garages, or roadways and buildings visited regularly by the same child, 6 years of age or under, on at least 2 different days within any week, including day-care centers, preschools, and kindergarten classrooms.

**b.** Available information concerning known LBP and/or LBP hazards, the location of LBP and/or LBP hazards, and the condition of painted surfaces have been provided to the Conservancy. All purchasers must receive the federally approved pamphlet on lead poisoning prevention. The Conservancy acknowledges receipt of all of the information described in this subparagraph.

**c.** The Conservancy covenants and agrees that it will not permit the occupancy or use of any buildings or structures on the Property as Residential Real Property as defined in paragraph a. The Conservancy covenants and agrees to bear full responsibility for any abatement or remediation of LBP or LBP hazards on the Property necessary as a result of the subsequent use of the Property for open space for wetland reestablishment. The Conservancy covenants and agrees to comply with solid or hazardous waste laws that may apply to any waste that may be generated during the course of LBP abatement activities.

**d.** The Army assumes no responsibility for remediation or damages for personal injury, illness, disability, or death, to the Conservancy, its successors and assigns, transferees, or any other person, including members of the general public, arising from or incident to possession and/or use of any portion of the Property containing lead-based paint as residential housing.

**e.** The covenants, restrictions, and requirements of this Section shall be binding upon the Conservancy, its successors, assigns, and all future owners and will run with the land. The Conservancy on behalf of itself, its successors, and assigns, covenants that it will include and make legally binding, this Section, in all subsequent transfers, leases, or conveyance documents.

#### **NOTICE OF USE, DISPOSAL, AND POTENTIAL FOR THE PRESENCE OF MUNITIONS OR EXPLOSIVES OF CONCERN (MEC)**

The Archive Search Report identified features that indicated munitions-related activities occurred at this site. These features include, a skeet range, black powder and demolition bombs storage magazines, firing-in-butt, and a "testing" range (which included a firing range). Of these features, only the demolition bombs storage magazine was identified as a potential source for munitions or explosives of concern (MEC), given the possibility, although considered remote, that disposal of unserviceable bombs by burial could have occurred. The demolition bombs storage magazine was demolished and the area was paved over during the extension of the runway circa 1953. The ASR also identified an aircraft harmonization range that was subsequently verified to be an aircraft avionics shop. Only small arms ammunition (.50 cal and below), which do not pose an explosive hazard, would have been used at the other ranges

facilities. In conducting the archives search and the site inspection, there was no indication or evidence of MEC at these facilities. The ROD/RAP proposes future investigations to address potential releases of munitions constituents and other contamination at the Skeet Range, Firing-In-Butt, and the Testing Range.

Notwithstanding the records search conducted by the Army, the parties acknowledge that, because this is a former military installation with a history of munitions use, there is a potential, although remote, for MEC to be present on the Property. (MEC, which distinguishes specific categories of military munitions that may pose unique explosives safety risks, means: (a) unexploded ordnance (UXO), as defined in 10 U.S.C. 2710 (e) (9); (b) discarded military munitions (DMM), as defined in 10 U.S.C. 2710 (e) (2); or (c) explosive munitions constituents (e.g., TNT, RDX) present in high enough concentrations to pose an explosive hazard.) Based upon a review of existing records and available information, none of the buildings and/or land proposed for transfer is known to contain MEC. In the event that the Grantee, its successors, and assigns, discover any MEC, particularly UXO, on the Property, it shall not attempt to disturb, remove or destroy it, but shall immediately notify the local Police Department, and a competent Grantor or Grantor-designated explosive ordnance personnel will be dispatched promptly to dispose of such ordnance at no expense to the Grantee. All future Health and Safety Plans for intrusive construction activities will include the notice provided above, Army points of contact, and educational materials, which will be provided by the Army, to ensure awareness of hazards associated with MEC and with procedures to follow to mitigate potential risks

#### **NOTICE OF THE PRESENCE OF RESIDUAL POLYNUCLEAR AROMATIC HYDROCARBONS (PAHs) AND INBOARD AREA-WIDE DDTs**

Several additional issues related to DDTs (DDT and its breakdown products DDE and DDD) and PAH contamination have been identified within the Inboard Area of the Main Airfield Parcel. These issues include PAHs in soil near the runway and residual Inboard Area-Wide DDTs. The Army has identified these issues as not being CERCLA releases and therefore did not address them in the comprehensive remedial investigation, interim removal actions, human health and ecological risk assessment, or the Focused Feasibility Study for the Inboard Area Sites. The Army agreed with regulatory agencies to address these issues through the HWRP in the ROD/RAP for the Main Airfield Parcel.

#### **NOTICE OF HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCE STORAGE, RELEASE, OR DISPOSAL**

The Grantor hereby notifies the Grantee of the former storage, release, or disposal of hazardous substances on the Property. A summary of the areas the storage, release disposal of hazardous substance is known to have occurred is provided in Enclosure 6 of Exhibit D. For some areas, remediation or mitigation of releases has been conducted. These actions are also summarized in Enclosure 6. This notice is given pursuant to the CERCLA § 120(h)(1) and (3).

#### **GRANTOR RESERVATION OF ACCESS**

The Army reserves a right of access to any and all portions of the Property for environmental investigation remediation or other corrective action. This reservation includes the right of access to and use of, to the extent permitted by law, available utilities at reasonable cost

to the Army. These rights shall be exercisable in any case in which a remedial action, response action or corrective action is found to be necessary after the date of conveyance of the Property, or such access is necessary to carry out a remedial action, response action or corrective action on adjoining property. Pursuant to this reservation, the Army and its officers, agents, employees, contractors, subcontractors, and the State shall have the right (upon reasonable notice to the Conservancy, or the then owner and any authorized occupant of the Property) to enter upon the herein described tracts of land and conduct investigations and surveys, to include drillings, test-pitting, borings, data and/or record compilation, and other activities related to environmental investigation, and to carry out remedial or removal actions as required or necessary under applicable authorities, including but not limited to monitoring wells, pumping wells, and treatment. The Conservancy agrees that notwithstanding any other provisions of the Deed, the Army assumes no liability to the Conservancy, the then owner, or any other person, should the grantor's exercise of its rights hereunder interfere with the Conservancy's use of the Property.

### **PROJECTED SCHEDULE FOR REMEDIAL ACTION**

The RWQCB, as authorized by the Porter Cologne Water Quality Control Act, will adopt site cleanup requirements that will ensure implementation of the final approved ROD/RAP requirements. Through these Site Cleanup Requirements, the RWQCB will ensure that agreed-upon environmental assurance actions are taken to address residual concentrations of Inboard Area-Wide DDTs and PAHs in soils adjacent to the runway through the imposition of Waste Discharge Requirements governing the implementation of the Hamilton Wetland Restoration Project.

The Army shall ensure that the Hamilton Wetland Restoration Project, including implementation of its plan for monitoring and adaptive management, will achieve and maintain the performance criteria throughout the life of the wetland at each site where it is applied. The duration of the Hamilton Wetland Restoration Project obligation shall extend to a date 13 years following the date of levee breach and reintroduction of tidal influence to the Inboard Area. Throughout the period of implementation of the Hamilton Wetland Restoration Project and after, the Army and the property owner shall ensure that the remedy for these sites is maintained to the extent necessary to protect human health and the environment (i.e., 5-year reviews).

Activities in the coastal salt marsh will be conducted in a manner that is sensitive to impacts to plants and animals. The excavated areas in the coastal salt marsh will be backfilled with either clean onsite soil or rehandled dredged material of similar physical characteristics, except in the area proposed as a channel cut by the Hamilton Wetland Restoration Project and existing ditches. A Soils Management Plan will be submitted to support the oversight of these intrusive activities.

Several areas of the HAAF property are still under investigation to determine the final activities necessary for protection of the wetlands reuse. The BRAC and GSA soil stockpiles that were generated from previous excavation activities are currently located on paved surfaces. These areas include the following sites identified in the Archive Search Report:

- Testing Range (ASR Site #4)
- Alleged HTRW Disposal Site (ASR Site #8)

- Skeet Range (ASR Site #18)
- Firing-In-Butt (ASR Site #19)

The RWQCB, through its Site Cleanup Requirements, will detail the process for further investigation and remediation (if needed) of these areas. If remediation is required, the action goals established in this ROD/RAP will apply. All required Army activities must be completed according to a schedule that does not interfere with the progress of the Hamilton Wetland Restoration Project.

A schedule for the activities described above is provided in Enclosure 9.

### **DEFERRED WARRANTY**

The Army warrants that when all response action necessary to protect human health and the environment with respect to any substance remaining on the property on the date of transfer has been taken, the Army shall execute and deliver to the transferee an appropriate document containing a warranty that all such response action has been taken, and the making of the warranty shall be considered to satisfy the requirement of CERCLA §120(h)(3)(A)(ii)(I). This warranty shall be in a form that is recordable in the Office of the Recorder, Marin County, California.

### **BUDGETING FOR RESPONSE ACTIONS**

The Army has submitted and will continue to submit through its established budget channels to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget a request for funds that adequately addresses investigation and completion of all response actions required. Expenditure of any Federal funds for such investigations or response actions is subject to congressional authorization and appropriation of funds for that purpose, and nothing herein shall be interpreted to require obligations or payments by the United States in violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act. The Army will submit its funding request for the projects needed to meet the necessary response actions.

All correspondence regarding these projects will recite that these projects are being undertaken on property being transferred pursuant to CERCLA §120(h)(3)(C) and that once validated, approved, and funded, the funding may not be withdrawn without the consent of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Installations and Environment.

ENCLOSURE 8

## Response to Comments Summary

---

**Responses to Comments on the  
Final Finding of Suitability for Early Transfer (FOSET) for  
Hamilton Army Airfield Main Airfield Parcel  
(July 2003)**

No.	Comments	Responses
<b>Public Meeting, June 16, 2003</b>		
1.	Ross Millerick: The area marked A-4 on this map is different than the one I received in the mail. Is Area A-4 a part of the transfer, and is this map incorrect?	Area A-4 is not a part of the property transfer. The map you received in the mail is correct. A-4 sits just south of the Coast Guard Hangar area and is slated for reuse as a commercial property. That transfer to New Hamilton Partners should be taking place very soon.
2.	Elena Belsky: Could you describe the exact time line for the transfer, what happens from here on out in the process?	<p>Generally the process is as follows: Once the FOSET goes through public comment and we have received public comments, we respond to them. The document will then be forwarded to the Department of the Army for signature and will go up to the Secretary of the Army level. Once that is signed and the accompanying decision document, the Record of Decision/Remedial Action Plan is also completed, and has undergone both its public comment period, and comments responded to, then a package will be prepared to be sent over to the Governor's office. We expect that this package will go out around the third week of July to the Governor, requesting deferral of the covenant for this transfer. The Governor's office will begin to review that documentation. The Water Board will be able to take their actions in adopting their site clean-up requirements. These actions are currently planned for the August time frame. The Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) will take an action also for a Consistency Determination. This is also planned in August, along with the Board's action. The final package is expected to be delivered to the Governor's office for signature with all final documents approximately the third week of August. The Governor's action is expected to take 30 days. That will come back around the third week of September, and property transfer is scheduled before the end of September during Federal Fiscal Year 2003.</p> <p>The schedule provided in the ROD/RAP shows the timeline of these</p>

No.	Comments	Responses
		activities.
3.	Ross Millerick: Where can I find the decision documents?	<p>The FOSET may be found in several places:</p> <p>The BRAC office, located on the south end of Hamilton.</p> <p>The Main Branch of the Novato Public Library. The library is open six days a week, and closed on Fridays. Monday through Thursday, the library is open until 9:00 p.m. On the weekends, the library is open until 5:00 p.m.</p> <p>The Hamilton Town Center on the installation, what is now known as the Art Center</p> <p>On our website at: <a href="http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/cespk-pm/haaf/docs.html">http://www.spk.usace.army.mil/cespk-pm/haaf/docs.html</a></p> <p>Other documents that support the FOSET, such as the EBS are also available at the Novato Public Library, at the BRAC office, and online.</p>
4.	Elena Belsky: Under DoD 7, Conditions for Property – Is this FOSET being used because the property in question is under the categories 5, 6, and 7?	Some, but not all of, the parcels are in category 5, 6, or 7. Because the property is being transferred as a whole (all the parcels) an early transfer is being used to accommodate the parcels in category 5, 6 and 7.
5.	Elena Belsky: Are there any areas that are a category 7? If so, which areas are category 7?	Yes, there are four category 7 areas identified in the decision document. These areas were identified in the Archive Search Report. One area is the alleged disposal area on the northwest end of the runway. There are three additional areas that are each concerned with the firing of weaponry. One was a Firing-In Butt used to align weaponry on aircraft. The second was identified as a skeet range, and the third site was identified as a testing/firing range on the eastern levee.
6.	Elena Belsky: Is there any scheduled investigation into any of these category 7 sites?	Yes. At the northwest runway area, we are in discussions with the agencies on the sampling and analysis plan. For the remaining three areas that are associated with firing of weapons, an investigation of these areas is anticipated by December of this year in accordance with the schedule provided in the ROD/RAP. Any needed actions would be implemented after that time.

No.	Comments	Responses
No.	Army Changes	
1.	In section 4.7 Army deleted the language “The ACM on the Property does not currently pose a threat to human health or the environment. All friable asbestos that posed a risk to human health has been removed.” This is consistent with the EROA and the Deed.	
2.	In section 4.8.1 and the EROA PAH Notice, Army added the language “as described in the ROD/RAP” and “as part of the HWRP” in reference to the issues related to DDT as a clarification.	
3.	In section 4.8.2 Army added the language “Army in conjunction with the State” to clarify that the Army, working with the State, that will determine what additional actions (if any) may be required with respect to the stockpiled soil.	
4.	In section 8.0 Army deleted language “which are acceptable to the Army”. The SCRs is a unilateral document issued by the RWQCB.	
5.	In section 8.1 and the EROA deferred warranty Army reworded the language regarding the State concurrence to Army’s determination of granting the warranty to more accurately reflect the language contained in CERCLA.	
6.	Changes were made in the EROA in the “Notice of Use, Disposal, and Potential for the Presence of Munitions or Explosives of Concern (MEC) “ to more accurately reflect the scope of MEC (OE).	
7.	Changes were made in the EROA in the “ Notice of the Presence of Asbestos and Covenant” and in the “Notice of the Presence of Lead-Based Paint Provision” with respect to the Conservancy’s indemnification responsibilities.	
8.	Through agreement among the City of Novato, the State and the Army, the 14 acres transferring to the City were removed from the FOSET and EROA and will be reflected in a separate document for transfer.	
9.	The language “and existing ditches” was added to the section on Projected Schedule for Remedial Action in the EROA to more accurately reflect the activities.	
10.	A Notice of Hazardous Substance Storage, Disposal or Release was added to the EROA to reflect language included in the Deed for the property.	
11.	The Army is not required to provide a PCB notification apart from the hazardous substance notification, but may provide one if it is of benefit to the property transferee.	
12.	In Section 2.0 a statement was added regarding Civil Works Project authority.	

ENCLOSURE 9

**Approximate Schedule of Cleanup  
Activities for Hamilton**

---

ENCLOSURE 9

Approximate Schedule of Cleanup Activities For Hamilton

Activity	Anticipated Time of Activity <sup>a</sup>
Site Cleanup Requirements	August 2003
Property Transfer	September 2003
Soils Management Plan	September 2004
65 Percent Design for Wetlands (will include where and how the 3 feet of stable cover will be achieved for the site)	September 2004
Waste Discharge Requirements	February 2005
Design for BRAC Inboard Area Activities (sampling)	December 2003
Excavation Inboard Area (as needed)	To Be Determined
Design for Outboard Area Activities (excavation/confirmation sampling)	August 2004
Excavation Outboard Area	February 2005
ASR Sites Investigation	December 2003
ASR Sites Remediation (if necessary)	To Be Determined
Implementation of Wetland Restoration Project	September 2005
Breach of Levee (completion of all the ROD/RAP requirements, except monitoring)	b
Monitoring for Determination of Operating Properly and Successfully, Monitoring by Civil Works/Property Owner Starting After Breach	September 2026
5-Year Reviews (starting after the remedy has been determined operating properly and successfully)	Every 5 Years

<sup>a</sup> These dates are anticipated dates based on the current project understanding and are presented for planning purposes. The dates do not constitute obligations or deadlines and will be further refined through the adoption of the Site Cleanup Requirements.

<sup>b</sup> Levee breach is currently expected to occur 8 years after commencement of the wetland restoration project implementation as long as the requirements of the ROD/RAP are met.